



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Mathematics

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.87757>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Mathematics

Ya. L. Geronimus

On the Order of the Degree of Exactness of Chebyshev' s Quadrature Formula

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 26 V 1969)

I. Denote by M_n the **degree of exactness** of Chebyshev' s quadrature formula with n nodes

$$\int_{-1}^1 p(x)f(x) dx = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k), \quad -1 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < 1, \quad (1)$$

i.e., the highest degree of a polynomial for which it is valid; the weight function $p(x) \geq 0$ is assumed summable on the interval $[-1, +1]$.

In the present note we set ourselves the goal of finding estimates for the order, relative to n , of the quantity M_n , assuming that it increases without bound together with n ; here we use methods of S. N. Bernstein ⁽¹⁾ and N. I. Akhiezer ⁽²⁾.

II. Introduce the notation

$$t(\theta) = \pi p(-\cos \theta) |\sin \theta|, \quad -\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad x = -\cos \theta, \quad (2)$$

and impose on the behavior of the function $t(\theta)$ the following restrictions of a local character:

A. The function $t(\theta)$ is continuous at the point $\theta = 0$.

B. On the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed small quantity, the function $t(\theta)$ is positive almost everywhere.

C. The function $t(\theta)$ does not decrease on the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$.

By $2m - 1$ denote the greatest odd number not exceeding M_n , and we shall assume m so large that

$$0 < \theta_1^{(m)} < \theta_2^{(m)} < \varepsilon;$$

here $\{x_k^{(m)} = -\cos \theta_k^{(m)}\}_1^m$ are the roots of the polynomial of degree m , orthogonal on the interval $[-1, +1]$ with respect to the weight function $p(x)$.

The inequality

$$\frac{2m}{n} \leq \frac{2m\theta_2^{(m)}}{\pi} t(\theta_2^{(m)}); \quad (3)$$

holds; if, moreover, the function $t(\theta)$ is continuous on $[0, \varepsilon]$, then *

$$\frac{2m}{n} \leq C_1 \omega_2\left(\frac{1}{m}; t\right) + \gamma_m |t(\theta_1^{(m)}) - t(0)| + \gamma_m t(0), \quad (4)$$

where $\omega_2(\delta; t)$ is the modulus of smoothness of the function $t(\theta)$ on the interval $[0, \varepsilon]$, and

$$\frac{8}{3} \leq \gamma_m = \frac{4(2m^2 + 1)}{3m^2} \leq 4.$$

Hence there follows a very simple result: if $t(0) < 3/8$, then

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n/n < 1;$$

thus, the condition $t(0) \geq 3/8$ is necessary (but not sufficient) in order that, for unboundedly increasing values of n , one have $M_n = n$.

* C, C_1, C_2, \dots are constants independent of m and n .

III. In all that follows we shall assume that $t(0) = 0$; in order to estimate the order of the quantity M_n , we need to find an estimate for the quantity $\theta_2^{(m)}$ for unboundedly increasing values of m .

Theorem. There is an estimate $\theta_2^{(m)} \leq C\delta_m$, where the quantity δ_m can be found as the root of the equation*

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \lg \frac{2c_0}{a(\delta; t)} = m, \quad c_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi t(\theta) d\theta; \quad (5)$$

by $a(\delta; t)$ is denoted the modulus of growth (see (4)) of the function $t(\theta)$ on the interval $[-\varepsilon, +\varepsilon]$

$$a(\delta; t) = \inf_{\varphi} \int_{\varphi}^{\varphi+\delta} t(\theta) d\theta, \quad \varphi, \varphi + \delta \in [-\varepsilon, +\varepsilon], \quad (6)$$

which under our conditions is as follows:

$$a(\delta; t) = \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} t(\theta) d\theta = 2 \int_0^{\delta/2} t(\theta) d\theta. \quad (7)$$

The inequalities (3), (4) can now be written as

$$\frac{2m}{n} \leq C_2 m \delta_m t(C \delta_m), \quad (3')$$

$$\frac{2m}{n} \leq C_1 \omega_2 \left(\frac{1}{m}; t \right) + \gamma_m t(C \delta_m). \quad (4')$$

Knowing the quantity δ_m , we can find an upper estimate for m as a function of n , and consequently also an estimate for $M_n < 2m$.

IV. Let first the weight function $p(x)$ have at the point $x = -1$ a singularity of algebraic character

$$p(x) = (1+x)^\gamma p_1(x), \quad -\frac{1}{2} < \gamma, \quad 0 < C_3 \leq p_1(x) \leq C_4, \quad (8)$$

$$x \in [-1, -1 + \eta], \quad \eta > 0$$

(where m is taken so large that $-1 < x_1^{(m)} < x_2^{(m)} < -1 + \eta$). In this case, from (7) we find the estimate $\delta_m \leq C_5 \lg m/m$, after which formulas (3), (4) give

$$\frac{2m}{n} \leq \begin{cases} C_6 \lg m \left(\frac{\lg m}{m} \right)^{2\gamma+1}, \\ C_1 \omega_2 \left(\frac{1}{m}; t \right) + C_7 \left(\frac{\lg m}{m} \right)^{2\gamma+1}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The final determination of the estimate for M_n depends on the relative order of the quantities

$$\lg m (\lg m/m)^{2\gamma+1}, \quad \omega_2(1/m; t), \quad (\lg m/m)^{2\gamma+1},$$

with respect to one another; the results are collected in Table 1**.

V. If additional restrictions are imposed on the behavior of the function $p(x)$ in (8) on the entire interval $[-1, +1]$, then one can obtain the more precise estimate $\delta_m \leq C_8 \cdot 1/m$ (see (5, 6)); in particular, for this it suffices that the function $p_1(x)$ in (8) be continuous and decreasing on the interval $[-1, +1]$, with $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ (condition C may then be discarded); using this estimate, we obtain the inequality

$$2m/n \leq C_1 \omega_2(1/m; t) + C_5 (1/m)^{2\gamma+1}; \quad (10)$$

if $(1/m)^{2\gamma+1} = o[\omega_2(1/m; t)]$, then we arrive at the estimate $M_n \leq \varphi^{-1}(Cn)$; if, however, $\omega_2(1/m; t) = o(1/m)^{2\gamma+1}$, then $M_n \leq C_{10}n^{1/(2\gamma+2)}$,

* For the proof, see (2), Lemma 1.

** The function φ^{-1} is inverse to the function $\varphi(m) = m/\omega_2(1/m; t)$.

VI. Let now the weight function $p(x)$ have at the point $x = -1$ a zero of logarithmic character

$$p(x) = |\lg(1+x)|^{-\gamma} p_1(x), \quad \gamma > 0, \quad x \in [-1, -1 + \eta]; \quad (11)$$

it is not difficult to show that in this case we have the very same estimate $\delta_m \leq C_{11} \lg m/m$; the results are collected in Table 2.

Table 1

| Conditions | Estimates for M_n |
|---|--|
| $\omega_2(1/m; t) = o[(\lg m/m)^{2\gamma+1}]$ | $\{n(\lg n)^{2\gamma+1}\}^{1/(2\gamma+2)}$ |
| $(\lg m/m)^{2\gamma+1} = o[\omega_2(1/m; t)], \quad \omega_2(1/m; t) = o(\lg m(\lg m/m)^{2\gamma+1})$ | $\varphi^{-1}(Cn)$ |
| $\lg m(\lg m/m)^{2\gamma+1} = o[\omega_2(1/m; t)]$ | $n^{1/(2\gamma+2)} \lg n$ |

Table 2

| Conditions | Estimates for M_n |
|--|-------------------------------|
| $\omega_2(1/m; t) = o[(\lg m)^{1-\gamma}/m]$ | $[n(\lg n)^{1-\gamma}]^{1/2}$ |
| $(\lg m)^{1-\gamma}/m = o[\omega_2(1/m; t)], \quad \omega_2(1/m; t) = o((\lg m)^{2-\gamma}/m)$ | $\varphi^{-1}(Cn)$ |
| $(\lg m)^{2-\gamma}/m = o[\omega_2(1/m; t)]$ | $[n(\lg n)^{2-\gamma}]^{1/2}$ |

In the case of a zero of exponential character

$$p(x) = \exp\{-1/(1+x)^\gamma\} p_1(x), \quad \gamma > 0, \quad x \in [-1, -1 + \eta] \quad (12)$$

we find from (7) the estimate $\delta_m \leq C_{12}m^{-1/(2\gamma+1)}$; the final estimate for the degree of precision M_n is again as follows: $M_n \leq \varphi^{-1}(Cn)$.

VII. In conclusion we note the following: we have found an estimate for M_n by considering the behavior of the weight function $p(x)$ near the point $x = -1$; it is necessary to find an analogous estimate M'_n from consideration of its

behavior near the point $x = +1$, and then take the smaller of the quantities M_n and M'_n .

Received
17 IX 1968

REFERENCES

1. S. N. Bernstein, DAN, 14, No. 6 (1937).
2. N. I. Akhiezer, Zhurn. Inst. Mat., AN USSR, No. 3 (1937).
3. Ya. L. Geronimus, Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem., 27, No. 3, 529 (1963).
4. J. Shohat, Ann. Math., 18, 201 (1939).
5. P. Erdős, P. Turan, Ann. Math., 39, No. 4 (1938).
6. G. Freud, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 4, No. 3-4 (1953).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.