

# ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF ONE CLASS OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF THE THEORY OF ELASTICITY AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

1970

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.80941>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 517.948.32:539.3

*MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS*

**V. A. BABESHKO**

## ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF ONE CLASS OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF THE THEORY OF ELASTICITY AND MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

*(Presented by Academician Yu. N. Rabotnov on 19 I 1970)*

The solution of mixed problems of the theory of elasticity <sup>(1, 2)</sup> and of mathematical physics for a layer with a circular line of change of boundary conditions can be reduced to the study of the integral equation

$$K_s q \equiv \int_0^a k_s(r, \rho) q(\rho) \rho d\rho = f(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq a, \quad (1)$$

with kernel

$$k_s(r, \rho) = \int_0^\infty u K(u) J_s(ur) J_s(u\rho) du; \quad (2)$$

$J_s(z)$  is the Bessel function of integer index  $s$ .

Some dual integral equations <sup>(3)</sup> are also reduced to equation (1).

The problem of hydrodynamic impact considered in <sup>(4)</sup> is equivalent to the equation

$$\Delta K_0 q = f(r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq a.$$

$\Delta$  is the axisymmetric Laplace operator.

Equation (1) is a spatial analogue of the convolution equation on a finite interval <sup>(5)</sup>. If a certain plane mixed problem of mathematical physics gives rise to a convolution equation on an interval, then the same mixed problem in the spatial formulation with a step condition of boundary conditions on a circle of radius  $a$  leads to equation (1).

In papers <sup>(1-3)</sup> a method was proposed for the asymptotic study of equation (1) as  $a \rightarrow 0$ . In the same papers an expansion of the solution in the parameter  $a$  is given. In <sup>(3)</sup> another method of solving this equation was proposed, based on the study of dual integral equations. However, both methods prove ineffective if  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . In <sup>(6)</sup> a method was proposed for constructing the zero term of the asymptotics of equation (1) as  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . In the author's paper <sup>(5)</sup>, under the assumption of meromorphy of the function  $K(u)$ , a regular representation of the solution of the indicated equation for large  $a$  is constructed.

In the present note a theorem is given that answers a number of general questions concerning the properties of the solution of equation (1) for large  $a$ . An analytic form of the solution is given and the domain of its existence and uniqueness is indicated.

1. Let  $E$  denote the set of smooth contours  $\Gamma$ —the boundaries of all possible convex neighborhoods  $V(\Gamma)$  of the point  $-i\infty$ , lying entirely in the lower half-plane and having no common points with the real axis. By  $\mu(\Gamma)$  we shall denote the distance from  $V(\Gamma)$  to the real axis. We shall write  $\Gamma_2 > \Gamma_1$  if  $V(\Gamma_2) \supset V(\Gamma_1)$  and the distance between the contours  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_1$  is bounded below by a fixed number. It is not difficult to see that for  $\Gamma_1 \in E$  one can easily construct, and moreover not uniquely, a contour  $\Gamma_2 \in E$

and such that  $\Gamma_2 > \Gamma_1$ . The contour  $\Gamma_2$  can be constructed by deforming, for example,  $\Gamma_1$  in such a way that its points are displaced along the outward normal by an amount not reaching the distance from  $\Gamma_1$  to the real axis.

Let there be found a contour  $\Gamma_1 \in E$  with equation  $z = x + iy(x)$  ( $y(x) < -\mu(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $|y(x)| = O(x^\varepsilon)$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon \geq 1$ ) such that the function  $K(z)$  is regular in the domain  $\Omega: |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq -y(x)$ ,  $|x| < \infty$ , and is continuous on  $\Gamma_1$ .

In addition, we shall assume that  $K(z)$  is an even function, real on the real axis, and possessing the asymptotic behavior

$$\begin{aligned} K(z) &= c^2 z^{-2\gamma} [1 + O(z^{-\alpha})], & z \in \Omega + \Gamma_1, & |z| \rightarrow \infty, \\ &0 < \gamma < 1, & \alpha > 0. & \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In this case, for  $K(z)$  the representation

$$K(z) = K_-(z)K_+(z) \quad (4)$$

holds.

$K_-(z)$  is regular in the domain  $\Omega \cup \operatorname{Im} z < 0$ ,  $K_+(z)$ , respectively, in  $\Omega \cup \operatorname{Im} z > 0$ , and, moreover, the estimate

$$K_+(z), \quad K_-(z) \sim cz^{-\gamma}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty \quad (5)$$

is valid.

Denote by  $A$  the set of functions  $\varphi(z)$ , regular in the domain  $S = \Omega \cap \text{Im } z \leq -\delta$  ( $\delta > 0$  is an arbitrarily small fixed number) and admitting the representation (5)

$$\varphi(z) = \psi(z)z^{-1}, \quad \max_{z \in S} |\psi(z)| < \infty, \quad 0 < \delta < \mu(\Gamma_1).$$

If in  $A$  we introduce a norm by the relation

$$\|\varphi\|_A = \max_{z \in S} |\psi(z)|, \quad (6)$$

then  $A$  becomes a Banach space.

On elements of  $A$  we define the operator

$$F(a, z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{p(t_2, t_1)\varphi(t_1) dt_1 dt_2}{z - t_2}, \quad z \in \Gamma_3; \quad (7)$$

$$p(t_2, t_1) = K_+(t_2) [R_1(t_1) + R_2(t_2)] / (t_2^2 - t_1^2) K_+(t_1),$$

$$\Gamma_3 > \Gamma_2 > \Gamma_1, \quad \Gamma_k \in E, \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$R_1(t) = tI_{s+1}(ita)I_s^{-1}(ita) - t, \quad R_2(t) = tK_{s+1}(ita)K_s^{-1}(ita) - t; \quad (8)$$

$I_s(t), K_s(t)$  are modified Bessel functions of order  $s$ .

It is not difficult to establish that the operator  $F(a, z)$  acts continuously in  $A$ . The norm of the operator  $A$ , acting from the contour  $\Gamma_1$  to the contour  $\Gamma_3 > \Gamma_2 > \Gamma_1$ , is estimated by the inequality

$$\|F\|_{\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_3} \leq \max_{z \in \Gamma_3} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Gamma_2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left| \frac{zp(t_2, t_1)}{(z - t_2)t_1} \right| |dt_1 dt_2|. \quad (9)$$

The same operator, acting in  $A$  from the contour  $\Gamma_3$  to  $\Gamma_1$ , we represent in the form

$$F(a, z)\varphi = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\Gamma_4} \int_{\Gamma_3} \frac{p(t_4, t_3)\varphi(t_3) dt_3 dt_4}{z - t_4} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_3} p(z, t_3)\varphi(t_3) dt_3 + \\ + \varphi(z) \frac{R_1(z) + R_2(z)}{2z}, \quad z \in \Gamma_1, \quad \Gamma_3 < \Gamma_4 \in E, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\|F\|_{\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_1} \leq \max_{z \in \Gamma_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Gamma_4} \int_{\Gamma_3} \left| \frac{zp(t_4, t_3)}{(z - t_4)t_2} \right| |dt_3 dt_4| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_3} \left| \frac{zp(z, t_3)}{t_3} \right| |dt_3| + \left| \frac{R_1(z) + R_2(z)}{2z} \right| \right\}. \quad (11)$$

The functions under the integral sign in relations (7), (10) are, obviously, analytic in  $S$ ; therefore the contours of integration may be deformed. In doing so, the form of the operator will not change if the contour does not intersect a singularity of the integrand. We complete  $E$  by piecewise-smooth  $\Gamma$ 's. The integration in (7), (10) must be performed in the order in which the differentials occur, i.e., first the inner integral is evaluated, then the outer one.

**Theorem.** The unique solution in  $L_p(0, a)$  ( $p > 1$ ) of the integral equation (1) with right-hand side  $f(r) \in c_2^\lambda(0, a)$  ( $\lambda \geq \gamma$ ) for values  $a > a_0$  is given by the relation

$$q(r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(\eta)\eta J_s(\eta r) d\eta}{K(\eta)} + \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n S(r) F^{nD}; \quad (12)$$

$a_0$  is the greatest root of the equation

$$1 = \inf_{\gamma_k \in E} \|F\|_{\gamma_3 \rightarrow \gamma_1} \|F\|_{\gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_3}. \quad (13)$$

Here the infimum is taken over all contours  $\gamma_k \in E$  such that  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_3 < \gamma_4$ . Moreover, the relation

$$q(r)(a - r)^\gamma \in c(0, a), \quad (14)$$

holds, and for the partial sum

$$q_m(r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(\eta)\eta J_s(\eta r) d\eta}{K(\eta)} + \sum_{n=0}^m (-1)^n S(r) F^{nD} \quad (15)$$

the asymptotic estimate

$$[q(r) - q_m(r)](a - r)^\gamma = O[a^{-2(m+1)}], \quad a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

holds.

Here the following notation has been introduced:

$$S(r)f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty-i\varepsilon_1}^{\infty-i\varepsilon_1} \frac{I_s(itr)f(t) dt}{I_s(it a)K_+(t)}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_1 < \mu(\Gamma_1),$$

$$\psi(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{\tau K_{s+1}(i\tau a)J_s(\eta a)}{K_s(i\tau a)} + i\eta J_{s+1}(\eta a) \right] \frac{\eta \Phi(\eta) d\eta}{(\eta^2 - \tau^2)K(\eta)}, \quad \text{Im } \tau < 0, \quad (17)$$

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{K_+(\tau)\psi(\tau) d\tau}{t - \tau}, \quad \Gamma_1 \leq \Gamma \in E, \quad t \in \Gamma_2 > \Gamma,$$

$$f(r) = \int_0^\infty \eta \Phi(\eta) J_s(\eta r) d\eta,$$

$F^n(a, z)$  is the  $n$ -th iteration of the operator  $F(a, z)$ ;  $c_2^\lambda(0, a)$  is the set of functions whose second derivative satisfies a Hölder condition with exponent  $\lambda$  on  $[0, a]$ ;  $c(0, a)$  is the set of continuous functions on  $[0, a]$ .

2. As an example, consider equation (1) for  $s = 0$  in the case (6) when

$$K(z) = (z^2 + b^2)^{-0.5}, \quad K_+(z) = (b - iz)^{-0.5}, \quad K_-(z) = (b + iz)^{-0.5},$$

and the cut connects the infinitely remote point along the imaginary axis with the points  $+ib$ ,  $-ib$ ,  $ib$ , respectively, for each function. The branches of the functions are chosen from the condition

$$K_+(z) \rightarrow z^{-0.5} \exp(i\pi/4), \quad K_-(z) \rightarrow z^{-0.5} \exp(-i\pi/4), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us compute  $a_0$ . As the contours  $\gamma_k$  we take the contours described by the equations

$$\gamma_k = x - i(|x| + B_k), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad b > B_1 > B_2 > B_3 > B_4 > 0.$$

For  $B_4 \geq 1$  we obtain a substantially simplified, and therefore overestimated, bound of the form

$$\|F\|_{\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_1} \|F\|_{\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_3} < Q(B_1, B_2, B_3, b) \left[ Q(B_3, B_4, B_1, b) + \frac{108}{\pi} (B_1 - B_2) \sqrt{B_1 B_3} + 14B_3^{-2} \right] a^{-4}.$$

Here

$$Q(B_1, B_2, B_3, b) = \frac{18}{\pi^2} \left[ \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{B_1}} + \frac{3\sqrt{B_1+b}}{B_2} \ln(B_1 + B_2) \right] \times \left[ \frac{2}{B_1 - B_2} + \pi (B_2 - B_3 + B_1^{-0.5}) \right].$$

For  $b = 5$ , setting  $B_k = 5 - k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) and carrying out the calculations, we obtain  $a_0 < 11.3$ . Thus, in the present case, the series (12) represents a solution of equation (1) in the interval

$$11.3 \leq a < \infty.$$

Rostov State University

Received  
7 I 1970

## CITED LITERATURE

1. V. M. Aleksandrov, I. I. Vorovich, PMM, 24, no. 2 (1960).
2. I. I. Vorovich, Yu. A. Ustinov, PMM, 23, no. 3 (1959).
3. N. N. Lebedev, Ya. S. Ufliand, PMM, 22, no. 3 (1958).
4. I. I. Vorovich, V. I. Yudovich, PMM, 21, no. 4 (1957).
5. V. A. Babeshko, DAN, 186, No. 6 (1969).
6. V. M. Aleksandrov, V. A. Babeshko, V. A. Kucherov, PMM, 30, no. 1 (1966).
7. V. A. Babeshko, PMM, 31, no. 1 (1967).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*