



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR MULTICHANNEL SERVICE SYSTEMS

MATHEMATICS

1970

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.62393>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

## Abstract

## Full Text

UDC 519.21

*MATHEMATICS*

Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR A. A. BOROVKOV

# ERGODIC THEOREMS FOR MULTICHANNEL SERVICE SYSTEMS

1. Let, on the underlying probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ , a sequence of pairs of random variables  $\{\tau_j^e, \tau_j^s; j \geq \infty\}$  be given. We shall say that an  $m$ -channel service system with losses is governed by this sequence if calls enter the system at the times  $0, \tau_1^e, \tau_1^e + \tau_2^e, \dots$ , and the servicing of the  $j$ -th call (if such servicing takes place) requires time  $\tau_j^s$ . If an arriving call finds all  $m$  channels busy, then it is refused and drops out of consideration. If, however, the number  $q_n$  of busy channels at the moment just before the arrival of the  $n$ -th call is less than  $m$ , then the call is accepted for service by one of the free channels. Thus, for the systems under consideration one always has  $q_n \leq m$ . For simplicity we shall assume that  $q_1 = 0$  (see Sec. 4).

Along with the "occupancy"  $q_n$ , we shall consider more precise characteristics of the systems—the processes  $\{q_n(x); n \geq 1, x \geq 0\}$ , where the value  $q_n(x)$  indicates how many of those calls that were in the system before the moment  $t_n = \tau_1^e + \dots + \tau_{n-1}^e$  of arrival of the  $n$ -th call will remain in it after time  $x$  (i.e., at the moment  $t_n + x$ ), so that  $q_n = q_n(0)$ .

Suppose that the governing sequence is strictly stationary. Then, without loss of generality, one may assume that on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  there is given a "two-sided infinite" stationary sequence

$$\{\tau_j^e, \tau_j^s; -\infty < j < \infty\}, \quad (1)$$

by which the system is governed.

2. Let first the number of channels be  $m = \infty$ . Denote by  $I(A)$  the indicator of the event  $A$ ,

$$q^k(x) = I(\tau_k^s > \tau_k^e + x) + I(\tau_{k-1}^s > \tau_{k-1}^e + \tau_k^e + x) + \dots + I(\tau_{k-2}^s > \tau_{k-2}^e + \tau_{k-1}^e + \tau_k^e + x) + \dots \quad (2)$$

**Theorem 1.** *If the sequence (1) is strictly stationary,  $\mathbf{M}\tau^s < \infty$ , and the sequence  $\{\tau_j^e; -\infty < j < \infty\}$  is, moreover, metrically transitive, then the distribution of the processes*

$$\{q_{n,k}(x); k \geq 0; x \geq 0\} = \{q_{n+k}(x); k \geq 0, x \geq 0\}$$

*converges monotonically, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to the distribution of a proper stationary process in  $k$ ,*

$$\{q^k(x); k \geq 0, x \geq 0\}.$$

*More precisely: for every  $n$  there exists a process  $\tilde{q}^k(x)$  with the same distribution as  $q^k(x)$ , and such that  $q_{n+k}(x) \leq \tilde{q}^k(x)$ , it increases with  $n$ , and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\mathbf{P} \left( \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{x \geq 0} \{q_{n+k}(x) \neq \tilde{q}^k(x)\} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

The proof of this theorem is not difficult to obtain, using the stationarity of the governing sequence and the representation

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(x) = & I(\tau_1^s > \tau_1^e + \dots + \tau_{n-1}^e + x) + I(\tau_2^s > \tau_2^e + \dots + \tau_{n-1}^e + x) + \dots \\ & \dots + I(\tau_{n-1}^s > \tau_{n-1}^e + x). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\{\tau_j^e\}$  and  $\{\tau_j^s\}$  are two independent sequences of independent identically distributed random variables and  $\mathbf{M}\tau^e < \infty$ , then the condition  $\mathbf{M}\tau^s < \infty$  is necessary and sufficient for the finiteness of  $q_n(x)$ . The probabilities*

$$P_k(x) = \mathbf{P}(q^0(x) = k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(x) &= \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{P}(\tau^e < t) \mathbf{P}(\tau^s < t + x) P_0(t + x), \\ P_k(x) &= \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{P}(\tau^e < t) \mathbf{P}(\tau^e \geq t + x) P_{k-1}(x) + \\ &+ \int_0^\infty d\mathbf{P}(\tau^e < t) \mathbf{P}(\tau^s < t + x) P_k(t + x), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which, in the class of systems of functions of bounded variation possessing the properties  $P_0(x) \rightarrow 1$ ,  $P_k(x) \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , have a unique solution.

Hence it is easy to obtain, in particular, that if  $\mathbf{P}(\tau^e \geq x) = e^{-\alpha x}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$P_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \left( \int_x^\infty \alpha \mathbf{P}(\tau^s \geq t) dt \right)^k \exp \left\{ - \int_x^\infty \alpha \mathbf{P}(\tau^s \geq t) dt \right\}.$$

The ‘‘occupancy’’  $q(t, x)$  at time  $t$ , i.e., the number of calls that are in the system at time  $t + x$  and whose service has already lasted more than  $x$ , has analogous properties. If  $\mathbf{P}(\tau^e \geq x) = e^{-\alpha x}$ , then the limiting distributions of  $q_n(x)$  and  $q(t, x)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , coincide.

3. The number of channels  $m < \infty$ . Keeping the notation of the governing sequence and  $q_n(x)$ , we shall now denote the right-hand side in (2) by  $Q_k(x)$ . This is, as we have seen, a stationary characteristic of the system governed by the same sequence (1), but with an infinite number of channels. Next denote by  $A_k$  the event consisting in the fact that, for some  $0 \leq L \leq m - 1$ ,  $l_j \geq 1$ ,  $j = 0, L$ , such that  $\sum_{j=0}^L l_j = m$ , the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k(0) \leq m - l_0, \quad Q_k(\tau_{k+1}^e) \leq m - l_0 - l_1, \dots, \quad Q_k(\tau_{k+1}^e + \dots + \tau_{k+L}^e) \leq \\ \leq m - l_0 - \dots - l_L = 0 \end{aligned}$$

are satisfied.

**Theorem 3.** Let the sequence (1) be strictly stationary and metrically transitive. Suppose, in addition, that the condition

$$\mathbf{P}(A_0) > 0. \tag{3}$$

is fulfilled. Then the distribution of the processes  $\{q_{n+k}(x); k \geq 0, x \geq 0\}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , converges to the distribution of some process stationary in  $k$ ,  $\{q^k(x); k \geq 0, x \geq 0\}$ , such that

$$\mathbf{P}(q^0(0) < m) < 1.$$

Convergence here is understood in the same strong sense as in Theorem 1.

There exist various simple sufficient conditions ensuring the fulfillment of (3).

Consider, for example, the case when  $\{\tau_j^e\}$ ,  $\{\tau_j^s\}$  are composed of independent random variables. Then for (3) to hold it is sufficient that

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau^s \leq m\tau^e) > 0, \quad \mathbf{M}\tau^s < \infty. \quad (4)$$

A condition in a certain sense opposite will also be sufficient: for all  $x \geq x_0$ ,  $\Delta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau^s \in (x, x + \Delta)) > 0, \quad \mathbf{M}\tau^s < \infty \quad (5)$$

for some  $x_0 > 0$ .

We now describe, for independent  $\tau_j^e, \tau_j^s$ , the limiting distribution  $q_n(x)$ . The trajectory of the nondecreasing step process  $q^0(x)$  is, evidently, completely described by the positions of its jumps. Denote by

$$\mu(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{j \text{ times}}, dx_{j+1}, \dots, dx_m)$$

the probability that  $q^0(0) = m - j$ , and that the  $(m - j)$  jumps of the process  $q^0(x)$  are contained respectively in the intervals  $dx_k = (x_k, x_k + dx_k)$ ,  $k = j+1, \dots, m$  (the use of the symbol  $dx_k$  simultaneously to denote a scalar quantity and an interval will not lead to misunderstandings).

**Theorem 4.** *If (4) or (5) holds, the stationary distribution  $\mu(0, \dots, 0, dx_{j+1}, \dots, dx_m)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, m$ , satisfies the equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0, \dots, 0, dx_{j+1}, \dots, dx_m) &= \sum_{k=j+1}^m \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(\tau^e \in dt) \mathbf{P}(\tau^s \in t + dx_k) M_{1,k} \\ &+ \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(\tau^e \in dt) \mathbf{P}(\tau^s \leq t) M_2 + \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(\tau^e \in dt) M_3, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,k} &= \int_{0 \leq y_2 \leq y_3 \leq \dots \leq y_{j+1} \leq t} \dots \int \mu(0, dy_2, \dots, dy_{j+1}, dx_{j+1} + t, \dots, dx_{k-1} + t, \\ &+ t, dx_{k+1} + t, \dots, dx_m + t). \end{aligned}$$

$$M_2 = \int_{0 \leq y_2 \leq y_3 \leq \dots \leq y_j \leq t} \dots \int \mu(0, dy_2, \dots, dy_j, dx_{j+1} + t, \dots, dx_m + t),$$

$$M_3 = \int_{0 < y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_j \leq t} \dots \int \mu(dy_1, \dots, dy_j, dx_{j+1} + t, \dots, dx_m + t).$$

This equation has a unique solution satisfying the condition

$$\int_{0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_m} \dots \int \mu(dx_1, \dots, dx_m) = 1.$$

In these formulas, by the interval  $dy$  when  $y = 0$  one should understand the point 0. Thus the integrals  $M_{1,k}$  and  $M_2$  also include “discrete” values, such as  $\mu(0, \dots, 0, dx_{j+1} + t, \dots, dx_m + t)$  (in  $M_2$ ) and others. They do not enter into  $M_3$ .

In the equation for  $\mu(0, \dots, 0)$ , the terms containing  $M_{1,k}$  will be absent on the right-hand side; in the equation for  $\mu(dx_1, \dots, dx_m)$  with  $x_1 > 0, \dots, x_m > 0$ , the term containing  $M_2$  will be absent. The integral  $M_3$  in this equation becomes  $\mu(dx_1 + t, \dots, dx_m + t)$ .

By direct verification one can establish, for example, that in the case

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau^e \geq x) = e^{-\alpha x}, \quad M\tau^s = a < \infty$$

$$\mu(0, \dots, 0, dx_{j+1}, \dots, dx_m) = ca^{m-j} \mathbf{P}(\tau^s \geq x_{j+1}) \dots \mathbf{P}(\tau^s \geq x_m) dx_{j+1} \dots dx_m,$$

$$c = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(a\alpha)^k}{k!} \right]^{-1},$$

satisfies equation (6) and, consequently, is the stationary distribution  $q^k(x)$ .

As in the case  $m = \infty$ , here one can also establish that, for the exponential distribution of  $\tau^e$ , the limiting distributions  $q_n(x)$  and  $q(t, x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \infty$  coincide. From this, in particular, will follow Sevast’yanov’s theorem concerning Erlang’s formulas for the limiting, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , distribution  $q(t, x)$ .

When  $\tau_j^e, \tau_j^s$  are independent, one can also estimate the rate of convergence of the distribution  $q_n(x)$  to the stationary one. If, for example,  $\mathbf{P}(\tau^s \leq \tau^e) > 0$  and  $Me^{\lambda\tau^s} < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ , then this rate of convergence is related to an exponential one.

For  $m = 1$ , Theorem 3 implies

**Theorem 5.** *If the sequence (1) is strictly stationary and metrically transitive and*

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau_0^s \leq \tau_0^e, \tau_{-1}^s \leq \tau_{-1}^e + \tau_0^e, \tau_{-2}^s \leq \tau_{-2}^e + \tau_{-1}^e + \tau_0^e, \dots) > 0,$$

then there exists

$$p(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(q_n(x) = 1). \quad (7)$$

If the vectors  $(\tau_i^e, \tau_i^s)$  are independent and  $d$  is the greatest common divisor of the numbers  $k$  for which

$$r_k = \mathbf{P}(\tau_1^s \in (X_{k-1}, X_k)) > 0, \quad X_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \tau_j^e,$$

then, for the existence of (7), it is necessary and sufficient that  $d = 1$ . If this condition is fulfilled,

$$p(x) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k r_k \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\tau_1^s > X_j + x).$$

4. The theorems on the existence of a stationary limiting distribution for the sequence  $\{q_{n+k}(x); k \geq 0, x \geq 0\}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , formulated above, admit generalization in the following three directions simultaneously:

- 1) For arbitrary proper initial conditions (at time 0,  $q_0$  channels are busy and the service times of the "initial" calls are equal to  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{q_0}$ ).
- 2) Calls may arrive in batches. In this case, on  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  one should consider strictly stationary sequences, say, of the form  $\{\tau_j^e, \nu_j^e, \tau^s; -\infty < j < \infty\}$ , where  $\nu_j^e$  is the number of calls arriving in the  $j$ -th batch,  $\tau^s = (\tau_{j,1}^s, \dots, \tau_{j,\nu_j^e}^s)$  is the vector of service times of the calls in the  $j$ -th batch. In this case the condition  $M\tau^s < \infty$  in Theorem 1 must be replaced by the requirement  $M[\tau^s] < \infty$ , where  $[\mathbf{x}]$  denotes the sum of the coordinates of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- 3) For the existence of the limiting distribution  $q_{n+k}(x)$ , the sequence (1) need not be stationary at all. It is sufficient only that, in a certain sense, the sequences  $\{\tau_j^e, \tau_j^s; j \geq 0\}$  converge as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to a stationary one.

Institute of Mathematics  
Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR  
Novosibirsk

Received  
21 V 1970

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*