

# ON THE APPROXIMATE FINDING OF FIXED POINTS OF CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

MATHEMATICS

1970

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.47494>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 513.83+518.512

**MATHEMATICS**

**B. A. VERTGEIM**

## ON THE APPROXIMATE FINDING OF FIXED POINTS OF CONTINUOUS MAP- PINGS

*(Presented by Academician L. V. Kantorovich, 16 VII 1969)*

The note discusses methods for constructing approximations to fixed points under the conditions of the theorems of Brouwer, Kakutani, and Lefschetz-Hopf<sup>(1,4,5)</sup>, using the apparatus of simplicial subdivisions. They may find application in nonlinear analysis, as well as in the solution of certain problems of mathematical economics<sup>(2-4)</sup>.

1. Let  $T \subset E^n$  be a closed connected set and let  $f : T \rightarrow T$  be a continuous mapping. The set of fixed points of  $f$ , obviously, coincides with  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\varphi(x) = f(x) - x$ . To describe the construction of passage to a discrete mapping—numbering—we consider  $Z_{n+1} = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  and a family of continuous vector-functions  $v_i : T \rightarrow E^n$ ,  $i \in Z_{n+1}$ , such that for any  $x \in T$  one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} v_i(x) = 0, \quad (v_i(x), v_j(x)) \leq 0$$

for  $i \neq j$ , and the vectors  $\{v_i(x)\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are linearly independent. Further, let

$$M_i = \{x \in T \mid (\varphi(x), v_i(x)) \leq 0\}, \quad \mu(x) = \{i \in Z_{n+1} \mid x \in M_i\}.$$

**Lemma 1.**

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} M_i = \varphi^{-1}(0), \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} M_i = T$$

and, consequently,  $\mu(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in T$ .

**Definition.** A mapping  $\nu : T \rightarrow Z_{n+1}$  such that  $(\forall x) \nu(x) \in \mu(x)$  is called a **numbering**. A set  $D \subset T$  is called **representative** for  $\mu$  if

$$\bigcup_{x \in D} \mu(x) = Z_{n+1},$$

and a simplex  $\sigma$  is representative if its set of vertices is so. A **normal** <sup>(1,2)</sup> simplex in the numbering  $\nu$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma^n$  (whose set of vertices is denoted by the same symbol) for which  $\nu(\sigma^n) = Z_{n+1}$ , and an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma^{n-1}$  is  $(Cj)$ -normal if

$$\nu(\sigma^{n-1}) = Z_{n+1} - \{j\}.$$

Obviously, a normal simplex is representative. Further, by Lemma 1 a one-point representative set is contained in  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ . For the case when  $T = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simplex with vertices  $a_i$ , the classical numbering in the proof of Brouwer's theorem using Sperner's lemma is obtained with the aid of functions  $v_i(x) = v_i^0$ , where  $v_i^0$  are the outer normals to the corresponding (not containing  $a_i$ )  $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of the original simplex, with

$$\sum v_i^0 = 0, \quad (v_i^0, v_j^0) \leq 0.$$

This presupposes a special realization of the simplex  $T$  in  $E^n$ . Below, in item 4, the inner normals of the corresponding faces are chosen as the  $v_i^0$ , and it then turns out that  $\mu(x) \supset Z_{n+1} - I = CI$  for all  $x \in \Gamma(I) = (a_i)_{i \in I}$ , where  $I$  is an arbitrary nonempty subset of  $Z_{n+1}$ ,  $\Gamma(I)$  is the face of the simplex  $T$  determined by the vertices  $a_i$  whose indices belong to  $I$ ; in other words,

$$\Gamma(I) \subset \bigcap_{i \in CI} M_i.$$

2. The estimate given here for the closeness of a representative simplex to a fixed point is essentially based on Lebesgue's lemma <sup>(1,5)</sup> on the existence, for a finite open covering of a metric compactum  $K$ , of a  $\delta > 0$  (a Lebesgue number) such that every set  $M \subset K$  with diameter less than  $\delta$  is contained in one of the sets of the covering.

Let  $x^*$  be an isolated fixed point for  $f$ , and let a closed neighborhood  $U$  of this point contain no other fixed points. Put

$$P_\varepsilon = T \cap U \cap CS(x^*, \varepsilon), \quad Q = \{\varepsilon \mid S(x^*, \varepsilon) \subset U\},$$

$$\gamma(\varepsilon) = \min_{x \in P_\varepsilon} \max_{i \in Z_{n+1}} d(x, M_i), \quad \beta(p) = \sup\{\varepsilon \in Q \mid \gamma(\varepsilon) \leq p\},$$

where  $S(x^*, \varepsilon)$  is the open sphere with center  $x^*$  and radius  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the symbol  $C$ , as usual, denotes the complement of the corresponding set, and  $d(x, M_i)$  is the distance from  $x$  to  $M_i$ . Below, by the radius of a geometric simplex  $\sigma^k = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k+1})$  we mean

$$\rho = \min_{x \in \sigma} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} d(x, a_i),$$

and the center of this simplex is considered to be a point  $z$  such that

$$\max_i d(z, a_i) = \rho.$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let the closed set  $U$  be a neighborhood of a fixed point  $x^*$  of the mapping  $f : T \rightarrow T$ , and let  $U$  contain no other fixed points of this mapping. Then, if  $\sigma$  is a representative simplex of radius  $\rho$ , whose vertices and center  $z$  lie in  $T \cap U$ , then  $d(x^*, z) \leq \beta(\rho)$ .*

**Remark.** In a number of cases, for example if linearization of  $f$  is admissible near  $x^*$ , the quantity  $\gamma(\varepsilon)$  (the Lebesgue number of the open covering  $\{CM_i\}$  of the compact set  $P_\varepsilon$ ) and the function  $\beta$  can be effectively estimated; in any case

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \beta(p) = 0.$$

**3.** The theorem of the preceding section may serve as a basis for constructing approximations to fixed points of a continuous self-mapping of a polyhedron by means of simplicial subdivisions. However, not every fixed point can be approximated in this way; this is not difficult to illustrate by examples for any dimension  $n \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let a fixed point  $x^*$  of a continuous mapping  $f$  of a polyhedron  $T$  into itself have nonzero topological index, and let a polyhedral closed neighborhood of this point  $U \subset T$  be given, with  $U$  containing no other fixed points of the mapping  $f$ . Further, let  $\delta$  be the Lebesgue number of the covering of the boundary of the set  $U$  by the family of open sets  $(CM_i)_{i \in Z_{n+1}}$ . Then, for any subdivision of the polyhedron  $U$ , effected by a complex  $K$  with diameter less than  $\delta$ , under any numbering  $\nu$  constructed for the mapping  $f$ , there exists a normal simplex in the complex  $K$ .*

The proof uses the theorem on the index from paper (6).

**Corollary.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 2 be fulfilled, and let  $K_m$  be a sequence of complexes with diameters  $\delta_m < \delta$ ,  $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|K_m| = U$ . Then, for any sequence  $\{\sigma_m\}$  of representative simplices  $\sigma_m \in K_m$ , every sequence of points  $x_m \in \sigma_m$  converges to the fixed point  $x^*$  of the mapping  $f$ .*

As a further consequence, we obtain a statement concerning fixed points under the conditions of the Lefschetz-Hopf theorem. In doing so, it is necessary to take into account the presence of different variants of numberings of the points of the polyhedron, associated with its different realizations.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f : P \rightarrow P$  be a continuous mapping of a dimensionally homogeneous  $n$ -dimensional polyhedron, having only regular fixed points with respect to some complex  $K$ , and let the Lefschetz number  $\Lambda_f \neq 0$ . Then there exists a fixed point  $x^* \in P$  to which the representative simplices converge (in the sense of the corollary to Theorem 2).*

The method of subdivisions can be justified for a broader class of problems, above all for the search for boundary or non-isolated fixed points and for point-to-set mappings.

4. We describe one algorithm for finding representative simplices. Let  $T = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})$  be an  $n$ -dimensional simplex and let  $K$  be its triangulation. Construct an abstract complex  $K_1$ , representing a refinement of the complex  $K$ . For this we introduce new vertices  $\{b_i\}$ ,  $i \in Z_{n+1}$ , and for all

For  $I \subset Z_{n+1}$ , consider the collection of  $n$ -dimensional simplices  $\tau(I)$ , for each of which the vertices are  $\{b_i\}$ ,  $i \in CI$ , and the vertices of some full-dimensional simplex  $\sigma(I) \in K$  lying in the face  $\Gamma(I) = (a_i)_{i \in I}$  of the original simplex  $T$ . All such simplices  $\tau(I)$  and their faces are included in  $K_1$ . It can be shown that  $K_1$  is a closed pseudomanifold. Let the mapping  $\mu$ , which assigns to the vertices  $x$  of the complex  $K$  subsets  $\mu(x) \subset Z_{n+1}$ , be such that, for all vertices  $x$  from the subcomplex of the complex  $K$  corresponding to the face  $\Gamma(I)$ , one has  $\mu(x) \supset CI$ . One way of constructing such a mapping  $\mu$  is indicated at the end of Section 2. Extend the mapping  $\mu$  to the set of vertices of  $K_1$ , setting for the new vertices  $\mu(b_i) = \{i\}$ , and consider some numbering  $\nu$  of the vertices of the complex  $K_1$ , i.e., a single-valued function such that  $\nu(x) \in \mu(x)$ . The proposed algorithm is based on

**Lemma 2.** *If, for a nonempty  $I \subset Z_{n+1}$ , some simplex  $\tau(I) \in K_1$  is normal in the numbering  $\nu$ , then the corresponding simplex  $\sigma(I) \in K$  is representative for  $\mu$ .*

In the algorithm, as the initial simplex one takes the simplex  $\tau(\emptyset) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n+1})$ , which is, obviously, normal. Suppose now that there is some normal simplex  $\sigma_0 \in K_1$ . Fix  $j \in Z_{n+1}$  and construct  $n$ -dimensional simplices  $\sigma_q \in K_1$ , each of which is obtained from the preceding one by deleting some vertex  $w_{q-1}$  and including a vertex  $w'_q$  distinct from it (uniquely determined), where  $\nu(w_0) = j$ , and, if  $\nu(w'_q) \neq j$ , then as  $w_q$  one takes the unique vertex of  $\sigma_q$  such that  $\nu(w_q) = \nu(w'_q)$ ,  $w_q \neq w'_q$ . From the properties of pseudomanifolds and from the fact that a normal simplex contains one, and the others contain 0 or 2,  $(Cj)$ -normal faces, it follows that after a finite number of steps we obtain  $\nu(w'_q) = j$ . The simplex  $\sigma_q$  corresponding to it and distinct from  $\sigma_0$  is normal, and Lemma 2 is applicable to it.

The algorithm described has common features with the method proposed and successfully tested (for  $n \sim 10$ ) in [7] for approximating fixed points under the conditions of Brouwer's theorem. It can be shown that the family of primitive sets from [7] forms a simplicial complex  $K$  such that the polyhedron  $|K|$  is close to the original simplex  $T$ , and the algorithm in [7] is essentially one of the

economical methods for finding a representative simplex in  $K$ . Therefore one cannot agree with the opposition of the algorithm from [7] to a method based on Sperner's lemma. Moreover, from Theorem 2 given above one can obtain the sufficient condition, absent in [7], for the applicability of the method discussed there.

In conclusion, we note that the information report [8] mentions a recent talk by G. Kuhn devoted to the approximation of fixed points of a mapping of a simplex into itself by means of simplicial subdivisions.

The author thanks the staff of the seminar of the Mathematical-Economic Department of the Institute of Mathematics of the Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR for useful discussions.

Novosibirsk State University

Received  
27 VI 1969

## REFERENCES

1. P. S. Aleksandrov, *Combinatorial Topology*, Moscow, 1947.
2. L. V. Kantorovich, G. P. Akilov, *Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces*, Moscow, 1969.
3. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*, Moscow, 1956.
4. S. Karlin, *Mathematical Methods in Game Theory, Programming, and Economics*, Moscow, 1964.
5. P. Hilton, S. Wylie, *Homology Theory*, Moscow, 1966.
6. Ch. Tompkins, in: *Applied Combinatorial Mathematics*, Moscow, 1968, p. 243.
7. H. Scarf, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **15**, No. 5, 1328 (1967).
8. B. N. Pshenichnyi, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **24**, no. 2 (146), 248 (1969).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*