



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

MATHEMATICS

1970

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.13154>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR  
1970. Volume 190, No. 2

UDC 577.93

*MATHEMATICS*

D. K. GVAZAVA

**ON THE UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION  
OF THE TRICOMI PROBLEM FOR ONE  
CLASS OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS**

*(Presented by Academician M. A. Lavrent'ev on 2 VI 1969)*

Let  $D$  be a simply connected bounded domain in the plane of the variables  $x, y$  with boundary  $\Gamma = \sigma + AC + CB$ , where  $\sigma$  is an open Jordan curve in the half-plane  $y > 0$  with endpoints at  $A(0, 0), B(1, 0)$ . The curves  $AC$  and  $CB$  lie in the lower half-plane and are given by the equations

$$x - \frac{2}{m+2}(-y)^{(m+2)/2} = 0, \quad x + \frac{2}{m+2}(-y)^{(m+2)/2} = 1$$

respectively. The number  $m$  is odd and positive.

In this domain we consider the equation

$$T[u] \equiv y^m u_{xx} + u_{yy} = f(x, y, u), \quad (1)$$

where  $f(x, y, u)$  is a function which, for all  $(x, y) \in \bar{D}$  and for all  $|u| < \infty$ , satisfies the following conditions:  $f(x, y, u)$  and  $f_u(x, y, u)$  are continuous,

$$f_u(x, y, u) > 0, \quad (2)$$

$$f_u(x, y, u) \leq m(m+4)4^{-(m+4)/(m+2)}(m+2)^{-2m/(m+2)}y^{-2} \quad \text{for } y < 0. \quad (3)$$

Equation (1) is elliptic for  $y > 0$ , degenerates parabolically for  $y = 0$ , is hyperbolic for  $y < 0$ , and the curves  $AC$  and  $CB$  are its characteristics.

By  $\varphi(s)$  we denote a continuous function prescribed on  $\sigma$  of the arc length  $s$ , measured from the point  $B(1, 0)$  in the positive direction. The function  $\psi(x, y)$

is defined for  $(x, y) \in \bar{D}$ ,  $y \leq 0$  and is continuously differentiable once. In addition, we introduce the notation  $D_1 = D \cap \{y > 0\}$ ;  $D_2 = D \cap \{y < 0\}$ . By  $D^\varepsilon$  we denote the subdomain of  $D$  obtained by cutting off from  $D$  a piece by the curves  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  and  $B_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon$ , where

$$\sigma_\varepsilon : (x-1)^2 + \frac{4}{(m+2)^2} y^{m+2} = \varepsilon^2, \quad B_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon : x + \frac{2}{m+2} (-y)^{(m+2)/2} = 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Accordingly, we shall denote

$$D_1^\varepsilon = D^\varepsilon \cap \{y > 0\}, \quad D_2^\varepsilon = D^\varepsilon \cap \{y < 0\}.$$

**Tricomi problem.** It is required to find a solution  $u(x, y)$  of equation (1), regular in  $D$  and continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , satisfying the conditions

$$u|_\sigma = \varphi, \tag{4}$$

$$u|_{AC} = \psi|_{AC}, \tag{5}$$

and moreover its first derivatives may have integrable singularities at the point  $A$  and on the closed arc  $BC$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If conditions (2), (3) are satisfied, then problem (1), (4), (5) can have only one solution.*

The validity of this assertion follows directly from the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *If conditions (2), (3) are satisfied, then any solution of problem (1), (4), (5), if it exists, satisfies the estimate*

$$|u| \leq kK, \tag{6}$$

where  $k > 0$  is a constant depending on the diameter of the domain  $D$ , and the number  $K$  is determined

is determined as follows:

$$K = \max_{\bar{D}} |f(x, y, 0)| + \max_{\bar{\sigma}} |\varphi(s)| + \max_{AC} |\psi(x, y)| + \max_{AC} |x^{m/(m+2)} \psi_\eta|, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\psi_\eta = -\frac{1}{2} \psi_x + \frac{1}{2} (-y)^{-m/2} \psi_y.$$

First consider the equation

$$T[u] = -c(x, y)u + F(x, y) \quad (8)$$

and prove the validity of estimate (6) for it.

**Theorem 3.** *If the function  $z(x, y)$  satisfies the conditions*

$$z(x, y) \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^1(D \cup AC) \cap C^2(D),$$

$$T[z] + C(x, y)z = E(x, y) \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

$$z_\eta(x, y)|_{AC} \geq 0, \quad (10)$$

*then the greatest positive value of the function  $z(x, y)$ , if it exists, is attained on  $\bar{\sigma}$ .*

To prove this assertion we shall use the following known theorems.

**Theorem** (see (1, 2)). If conditions (2), (3), (9), (10) are satisfied, then the function  $z(x, y)$  can attain its greatest positive value in the closed domain  $\bar{D}^\varepsilon$  only on the segment  $y = 0$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem** (see (2)). Under the conditions of the preceding theorem, if  $z(x, y)$  attains its greatest positive value in  $\bar{D}_2$  at the point  $(x_0, 0)$ ,  $0 < x_0 < 1$ , then

$$z_y(x_0, 0) > 0. \quad (11)$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Fix an arbitrary number  $\varepsilon > 0$ . According to the condition of the theorem,  $z(x, y) \in C(\bar{D})$ , and therefore it is continuous in the closed subdomain  $\bar{D}^\varepsilon$ . Denote its greatest positive value in  $\bar{D}^\varepsilon$  by  $M_\varepsilon$ . According to the first theorem, we have

$$0 \leq \max_{\bar{D}^\varepsilon_2} z(x, y) = \max_{AB_\varepsilon} z(x, y) = z(x_0, 0), \quad 0 \leq x_0 \leq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where, naturally,  $z(x_0, 0) \leq M_\varepsilon$ . By the known maximum principle for elliptic equations (see (3)) we have

$$\max_{\bar{D}^\varepsilon_1} z(x, y) = \max_{\bar{\sigma}_0 \cup AB_\varepsilon \cup \bar{\sigma}_\varepsilon} z(x, y) \leq M_\varepsilon,$$

where

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma \setminus \left\{ (x-1)^2 + \frac{4}{(m+2)^2} y^{m+2} \leq \varepsilon^2 \right\}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\sup \left\{ \max_{\overline{D^{\varepsilon_2}}} z(x, y), \max_{\overline{D^{\varepsilon_1}}} z(x, y) \right\} = \max_{\overline{D^{\varepsilon}}} z(x, y) = \max_{\overline{\sigma_0 \cup AB} \cup \overline{\sigma^{\varepsilon}}} z(x, y). \quad (12)$$

On the other hand,  $z(x, y)$  is continuous in the closed domain  $\overline{D_1}$ , twice continuously differentiable in  $D_1$ , and satisfies condition (9). According to the maximum principle,

$$\max_{\overline{D_1}} z(x, y) = \max_{\overline{\sigma \cup AB}} z(x, y),$$

and, consequently,

$$\max_{\overline{\sigma^{\varepsilon}}} z(x, y) \leq \max_{\overline{\sigma \cup AB}} z(x, y). \quad (13)$$

From (12) and (13) we obtain

$$\max_{\overline{D^{\varepsilon}}} z(x, y) \leq \max_{\overline{\sigma \cup AB}} z(x, y) = \max_{\overline{D_1}} z(x, y).$$

Suppose that  $\max_{\overline{D_1}} z(x, y)$  is attained on  $AB$  at some point  $(x_0, 0)$ ,  $0 < x_0 < 1$ . Then, according to Zaremba's lemma <sup>(2,4)</sup>, at this point we shall have  $z_y(x_0, 0) < 0$ , which contradicts inequality (11). Consequently, our supposition is false. Thus, finally we obtain

$$M_{\varepsilon} = \max_{\overline{\sigma}} z(x, y)$$

for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as was required to prove.

**Proof of Theorem 2 for equation (8).** Consider the function

$$h(y) = K(\alpha - \beta e^{2y}), \quad (14)$$

where

$$\beta > \sup \left\{ (1 + e^{2\bar{y}}), \left[ 1 + 2 \left( \frac{2}{m+2} \right)^{m/(m+2)} e^{-1} \right] \right\}, \quad \bar{y} = \max_{\overline{D}} |y|, \quad \alpha > 1 + \beta e^{2\bar{y}}.$$

By direct calculation we obtain

$$h_\eta(y) = -\beta(-y)^{-m/2}e^{2y}K,$$

$$-h_\eta(y)|_{AC} = \beta \left(\frac{2}{m+2}\right)^{m/(m+2)} \exp \left[ -2 \left(\frac{m+2}{2}x\right)^{2/(m+2)} \right] x^{-m/(m+2)}K.$$

Hence, multiplying both sides by  $x^{m/(m+2)}$ , we have

$$-x^{m/(m+2)}[h_\eta(y)]_{AC} \geq K \geq \max_{AC} |x^{m/(m+2)}\psi_\eta|,$$

i.e.

$$-x^{m/(m+2)}[h_\eta(y)]_{AC} \geq |x^{m/(m+2)}\psi_\eta|_{AC},$$

whence it follows that

$$-x^{m/(m+2)}[h_\eta(y)]_{AC} \geq -x^{m/(m+2)}[\psi_\eta(x, y)]_{AC}, \quad (15)$$

$$-x^{m/(m+2)}[h_\eta(y)]_{AC} \geq x^{m/(m+2)}[\psi_\eta(x, y)]_{AC}. \quad (16)$$

Further,

$$-\{T[h] + c(x, y)h\} = \{4\beta e^{2y} - c(\alpha - \beta e^{2y})\}K \geq K \geq \max_D |F(x, y)|. \quad (17)$$

In addition, the inequalities

$$h|_\sigma \geq \max_\sigma |\varphi(s)|, \quad (18)$$

$$h|_{AC} \geq \max_{AC} |\psi(x, y)|. \quad (19)$$

are obvious.

Take the function  $z(x, y) = u(x, y) - h(y)$ , where  $u(x, y)$  is a solution of problem (4), (5), (8). For it, according to (17) and (15), we have

$$T[z] + cz = F(x, y) - \{T[h] + ch\} \geq F(x, y) + \max_D |F(x, y)| \geq 0, \quad z_\eta(x, y)|_{AC} \geq 0.$$

From (18) and (19), respectively, the inequalities follow

$$z(x, y)|_{\sigma} \leq 0, \quad z(x, y)|_{AC} \leq 0. \quad (20)$$

Thus, the function  $z(x, y)$  satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 3. Therefore it must be that

$$0 \leq \max_{\bar{D}} z(x, y) = \max_{\sigma} z(x, y),$$

whence, on the basis of inequality (20), we obtain

$$z(x, y) = u(x, y) - h(y) \leq 0 \quad \text{everywhere in } \bar{D}. \quad (21)$$

Next consider  $z_1(x, y) = -u(x, y) - h(y)$ , for which, analogously, we obtain  $T[z_1] + cz_1 \geq -F(x, y) + \max |F(x, y)| \geq 0$ . On the basis of condition (16) we have  $(z_1)_{\eta}|_{AC} \geq 0$ , and from (18), (19) it follows that

$$z_1(x, y)|_{\sigma} \leq 0, \quad z_1(x, y)|_{AC} \leq 0. \quad (22)$$

According to Theorem 3 we may write

$$z_1(x, y) = -u(x, y) - h(y) \leq 0 \quad \text{everywhere in } \bar{D}. \quad (23)$$

Inequalities (22) and (23) give  $u(x, y) \leq h(y)$ ,  $-h(y) \leq u(x, y)$ , whence we finally have  $|u(x, y)| \leq h(y)$ . Denoting  $k = \max_{\bar{D}}(a - \beta e^{2y})$ , we obtain estimate (6).

It is now easy to prove Theorem 2. Let there exist a solution of the Tricomi problem (1), (4), (5), namely  $u(x, y)$ . Substituting it into equation (1), we obtain the identity  $T[u] \equiv f(x, y, u)$ , which can be written in the form (5)  $T[u] - f_u(x, y, \tilde{u}) = f(x, y, 0)$ , where  $\tilde{u}$  lies between zero and  $u(x, y)$ . In the identity thus obtained, the coefficient of  $u(x, y)$  satisfies conditions (2), (3). Referring to the assertion proved above, we obtain the estimate  $|u| \leq h$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Suppose that the Tricomi problem (1), (4), (5) has two solutions  $u_1(x, y)$  and  $u_2(x, y)$ . Then the difference  $v(x, y) = u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)$  vanishes on the curves  $\sigma$  and  $AC$ , respectively. Consequently,  $v_{\eta}|_{AC} = 0$ . Moreover, we have the identity (see (6))

$$\begin{aligned} T[v] &\equiv T[u_1] - T[u_2] \equiv f(x, y, u_1) - \\ &- f(x, y, u_2) = (u_1 - u_2) \int_0^1 \Phi_{u_1}(x, y, u_1, t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad \text{where } \Phi(x, y, u_1, t) = \\ &= f(x, y, u_2 + t(u_1 - u_2)). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of  $v = u_1 - u_2$  satisfies the required conditions.

On the basis of Theorem 2 it follows that  $v(x, y) = 0$  everywhere in  $\bar{D}$ , i.e.  $u_1(x, y) \equiv u_2(x, y)$ . The uniqueness theorem is proved.

Institute of Mathematics Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR Novosibirsk

Received 26 V 1969

## CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> A. Haar, C. R., **187**, 23 (1928).
- <sup>2</sup> S. Agmon, L. Nirenberg, M. Protter, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **6**, 455 (1953).
- <sup>3</sup> A. V. Bitsadze, *Boundary-Value Problems for Elliptic Equations of Second Order*, Moscow, 1966.
- <sup>4</sup> S. Zaremba, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **1**, 3-4, 125 (1946).
- <sup>5</sup> R. Courant, *Partial Differential Equations*, Moscow, 1964.
- <sup>6</sup> K. Miranda, *Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type*, Moscow, 1957.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*