



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

MATHEMATICS

1970

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.03514>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR
1970. Volume 192, No. 5

UDC 517.917

MATHEMATICS

I. T. Kiguradze

ON A SINGULAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR AN ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE n -TH ORDER

(Presented by Academician I. N. Vekua on 9 XII 1969)

In the present note, sufficient conditions are given for the solvability of the boundary value problem

$$u^{(n)} = f(t, u, u', \dots, u^{(n-1)}), \quad (1)$$

$$u^{(i-1)}(a) = u_{0i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \quad u^{(m-1)}(b) = u_0, \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, $-\infty < u_{0i}, u_0 < +\infty$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$), and the function $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is defined in the domain $a < t < b$, $-\infty < x_1, \dots, x_n < +\infty$, is measurable with respect to t , continuous with respect to x_1, \dots, x_n , and

$$f^*(t; \rho) = \sup\{|f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)| : |x_k| \leq \rho \ (k = 1, 2, \dots, n)\} \in \\ \in L(a + \delta, b - \delta)$$

for any $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, b - a/2)$.

In contrast to the cases considered by other authors (see, for example, ^(1, 2)), below it is assumed that the function $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, having singularities at $t = a$ and $t = b$, is in general not summable with respect to t on the interval $a < t < b$.

For what follows it is convenient to introduce the following

Definition. Let $\omega(t, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a nonnegative function defined in the domain $t_1 < t < t_2$, $-\infty < x_1, \dots, x_n < +\infty$. We shall say that it **belongs to**

the set $B_j^k(t_1, t_2)$, where $j \in \{1, 2\}$, if for every $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$ there exists a nonnegative function $\varphi_\rho(t)$, continuous on the interval $t_1 < t < t_2$, such that $(t-t_j)^{k-1}\varphi_\rho(t) \in L(t_1, t_2)$ and $|v^{(k)}(t)| \leq \varphi_\rho(t)$ for $\min\{t_0, t_j\} < t < \max\{t_0, t_j\}$, whatever the number $t_0 \in [t_1, t_2]$ and the function $v(t)$, absolutely continuous together with $v^{(i)}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) on the interval $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ and satisfying the conditions

$$|v^{(i-1)}(t)| \leq \rho |t - t_j|^{1-i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k),$$

$$v^{(k+1)}(t) \operatorname{sign}[(t_j - t)v^{(k)}(t)] \leq \omega(t, v'(t), \dots, v^{(k)}(t)) \quad \text{for } t_1 < t < t_2,$$

$$|v^{(k)}(t_0)| < \rho.$$

By $D_r(t_1, t_2)$ below we denote the set

$$\begin{aligned} D_r(t_1, t_2) = \\ = \{t, x_1, \dots, x_n) : t_1 < t < t_2, |x_k| \leq r \ (k = 1, \dots, m), \\ |x_k| \leq r(b-t)^{m-k} \ (k = m+1, \dots, n-1), |x_n| < +\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *If*

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \operatorname{sign} x_{n-1} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } a < t < b,$$

$$-\infty < x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} < +\infty, \quad r_0 \leq |x_{n-1}| < +\infty,$$

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \geq -\omega_1(t, x_n) \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_r(a, \beta), \quad (3)$$

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \leq \omega_2(t, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D(a, \beta),$$

where $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 > 0, \quad r = (n-m)!(n-1)(1+b-a)^{n-2} \times \\ \times \max\{|u_{0i}| \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), |u_0|, r_0\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_1(t, x_1) \in B_1^1(a, \beta), \quad \omega_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-m}) \in B_2^{n-m}(a, b), \quad (4)$$

then problem (1)–(2) is solvable.

With a special choice of the functions $\omega_1(t, x_1)$ and $\omega_2(t, x_1, \dots, x_{n-m})$, from Theorem 1 one can obtain a number of sufficient conditions for the solvability of problem (1)–(2). We give some of them.

Theorem 2. Let conditions (3) and (4) be satisfied,

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \geq -h_1(t)(1 + |x_n|)^{\lambda_1} \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_r(a, \beta), \quad (5)$$

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \leq h_2(t)(1 + |x_n|)^{\lambda_2} \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_r(a, \beta), \quad (6)$$

where $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$, and λ_1 and $h_1(t)$ satisfy one of the following two conditions:

- 1) $\lambda_1 < 1$, $h_1(t) \geq 0$, $h_1(t) \in L(t_0, \beta)$ for every $t_0 \in (a, \beta)$, and

$$\left[\int_t^\beta h_1(\tau) d\tau \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda_1}} \in L(a, \beta);$$

- 2) $1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq 2$ and

$$(1 + |\ln(t - a)|)^{-1} h_1(t) \in L^{p_1}(a, \beta),$$

where

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{2 - \lambda_1} \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 < 2, \quad p_1 = +\infty \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 = 2,$$

and λ_2 and $h_2(t)$ satisfy one of the following two conditions:

- 1) $\lambda_2 < 1$, $h_2(t) \geq 0$, $h_2(t) \in L(a, t_0)$ for every $t_0 \in (a, b)$, and

$$(b - t)^{n-m-1} \left[\int_a^t h_2(\tau) d\tau \right]^{1/(1-\lambda_2)} \in L(a, b);$$

- 2) $1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2$ and

$$(b - t)^{(n-m-1)(1-\lambda_2)} (1 + |\ln(b - t)|)^{-1} h_2(t) \in L^{p_2}(a, b),$$

where

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{2 - \lambda_2} \quad \text{if } \lambda_2 < 2, \quad p_2 = +\infty \quad \text{if } \lambda_2 = 2.$$

Then problem (1)–(2) is solvable.

Theorem 3. Let conditions (3), (4), and (5) be satisfied, where λ_1 and $h_1(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2. Suppose further that

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \leq h_{20}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} h_{2k}(t)(1 + |x_{m+k}|)^{(n-m+1)/(k-1/kp_{2k})} \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_r(a, b),$$

where

$$a < \alpha < b, \quad 1 \leq p_{2k} < +\infty \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - m), \\ (b - t)^{n-m} h_{20}(t) \in L(a, b), \quad h_{2k}(t) \in L^{p_{2k}}(a, b) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - m).$$

Then problem (1)–(2) is solvable.

Theorem 4. Let conditions (3), (4), and (6) be satisfied, where $a < \alpha < b$, $\lambda_2 > 1$, the function $h_2(t)$ is positive, $h_2(t) \in L(a, b)$, and

$$\int_a^b (b - t)^{n-m-1} \left[\int_t^b h_2(\tau) d\tau \right]^{1-(1-\lambda_2)} dt = +\infty.$$

Suppose, further,

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \geq -h_1(t)(1 + |x_n|)^{\lambda_1} \quad \text{for } (t, x, \dots, x_n) \in D_r(a, b),$$

where λ_1 and $h_1(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 for any $\beta \in (a, b)$. Then problem (1)–(2) is solvable.

Theorem 5. Suppose that conditions (3), (4), and (5) are satisfied, where $a < \beta < b$, $\lambda_1 > 1$, the function $h_1(t)$ is positive, $h_1(t) \in L(a, \beta)$, and

$$\int_a^\beta \left[\int_a^t h_1(\tau) d\tau \right]^{1/(1-\lambda_1)} dt = +\infty.$$

Suppose, further,

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \operatorname{sign} x_n \leq h_2(t)(1 + |x_n|)^{\lambda_2} \quad \text{for } (t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D_r(a, b),$$

where λ_2 and $h_2(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 for any $\alpha \in (a, b)$. Then problem (1)–(2) is solvable.

Institute of Applied Mathematics
of Tbilisi State University

Received
3 XII 1969

CITED LITERATURE

1. L. Ya. Lepin, A. D. Myshkis, DAN, **169**, No. 1, 16 (1966).
2. Yu. A. Klokov, DAN, **176**, No. 3, 512 (1967).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.