

# EXPERIMENTAL DETECTION OF ELECTRIC GYROTROPY IN $\mathrm{SrMoO}_4$ CRYSTALS

PHYSICS

1970

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-197001.02682>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 537.226

**PHYSICS**

**Yu. V. SHALDIN**

## EXPERIMENTAL DETECTION OF ELECTRIC GYROTROPY IN $\text{SrMoO}_4$ CRYSTALS

*(Presented by Academician M. A. Prokhorov on 17 VII 1969)*

Taking spatial dispersion into account leads to qualitatively new phenomena <sup>(1)</sup>, among which one should include the effect of the appearance of gyrotropy in crystalline media placed in an external electric field. Phenomenologically, the effect of electric gyrotropy can be described by taking into account terms of the form  $\alpha_{ijkl}E_k k_l$  in the expansion of the tensor\*

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}(E) = \varepsilon_{0ij}^{-1} + \alpha_{ijkl}E_k k_l + o(\beta), \quad (1)$$

the inverse tensor of dielectric permittivity  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ , in the approximation of a weak external perturbation. From the symmetry principle for kinetic coefficients it follows that the components of the tensor  $\alpha_{ijkl}$  are antisymmetric in the first two indices and, in the absence of absorption in the medium, are purely imaginary. Taking this into account, the correction to the quantity  $\varepsilon_{0ij}^{-1}$  due to the external electric field may be written as follows:  $\alpha_{ijkl}E_k k_l = e_{ijm}a_{mkl}E_k k_l$ , where  $e_{ijm}$  is the completely antisymmetric unit pseudotensor of rank 3. In turn,  $a_{mkl}$ , skew-symmetric in the indices  $kl$ , after the symmetrization operation takes the form  $a'_{mkl} = a_{mkl} + e_{min}B_{mn}$ , where  $B_{mn}$  is a tensor of rank 2. Then we obtain that

$$\alpha_{ijkl}E_k k_l = e_{ijm}a'_{mkl}E_k k_l + e_{ijm}e_{kln}B_{mn}E_m k_n.$$

In this expression the first term describes the electric gyrotropy of crystals, and the second describes the electro-optic effect linearized with respect to  $k_n$ .

The character of the symmetry of crystals imposes definite restrictions both on the very fact of observing the effects and on the number of linearly independent coefficients of tensors of the form  $a_{mkl}$  <sup>(2)</sup> and  $B_{mn}$  <sup>(3)</sup>. The consequences following from the symmetry conditions are only sufficient, but not yet necessary, for the observation of electric gyrotropy, since, according to <sup>(4)</sup>, the propagation conditions of an electromagnetic wave are affected not by the gyration vector  $G_i$  itself, but by its projection onto the wave normal. Therefore, the attempts

of the authors of <sup>(5)</sup> to interpret the effect they discovered—the influence of an electric field on the specific rotation of the polarization plane of a light beam in cubic crystals of bismuth germanate (point group 23)—as an effect of electric gyrotropy are erroneous in view of what has been said; moreover, the magnitude of the effect itself suggests that in the investigated GeBi<sub>12</sub>O<sub>20</sub> crystals, under the action of the electric field and light, photochemical reactions occur that lead to a substantial rearrangement of the electronic absorption bands <sup>(6)</sup>.

From the standpoint of setting up the experiment, greatest interest is presented by those classes of optically inactive crystals in which

---

\* The remaining corrections to  $\varepsilon_{0ij}^{-1}$  may be regarded as small.

electric gyrotropy will not be masked by linear and  $k_n$ -linearized electro-optic effects. In choosing the object of investigation we settled on optically uniaxial crystals of the SrMoO<sub>4</sub> type, crystallizing in the class 4 :  $m$ . It can be shown that the magnitude of rotation of the plane of polarization of a light beam propagating along the  $z$  axis

$$\rho_z = \frac{\pi}{\lambda_0 n_0^2} \alpha'_{zzz} E_z k_z l,$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is the wavelength of the light,  $n_0$  is the refractive index for the ordinary light ray,  $E_z$  is the component of the electric field, and  $l$  is the length of the specimen in the direction of light propagation.

**Fig. 1.** Block diagram of the experimental setup for observing the effect of electric gyrotropy. 1 —helium-neon laser; 2 — $\lambda/4$ -plate; 3 —SrMoO<sub>4</sub> crystal specimen; 4 —analyzer; 5 —photodetector; 6 —ORION TT-1301 selective voltmeter; 7 —VK-79 voltmeter; 8 —sinusoidal-voltage generator

The block diagram of the experimental setup for measuring the rotation of the plane of polarization of light caused by electric gyrotropy is shown in Fig. 1. The maximum sensitivity of the recording system, consisting of an M12FD 35 photomultiplier tube (5), an ORION TT-1301 selective amplifier (6), and a VK-79 voltmeter (7), was achieved by rotating the analyzer (4) by an angle of 45° relative to the direction of polarization of the light beam. The electric field on the crystal specimen (3), which had a cylindrical shape, was produced by means of semitransparent SnO<sub>2</sub> electrodes deposited on thin plates of fused quartz. The distribution of the electric field in the gap of such a capacitor was chosen to be asymmetric with respect to ground.

When an electric field acts on the specimen, the plane of polarization of the linearly polarized light beam is rotated; then, by means of the analyzer, this is transformed into a change in intensity at the frequency of the electric field. Then the magnitude of the useful signal, for  $\rho_z \ll 1$ , is determined by the expression

$$v_{\sim} = v_{=} \left( \frac{\pi}{\lambda_0^2 n_0^2} \alpha'_{zzz} E_z k_z l \right),$$

linearly dependent on the magnitude of the potential difference on the specimen, since  $E_z l = U_z$  (see Fig. 2). Measuring the dc  $v_{=}$  and ac  $v_{\sim}$  components of the voltage at the output of the photodetector and determining from the experimental data  $\text{tg } \alpha = v_{\sim}/U_z$ , one can calculate the values of the coefficient  $\alpha_{333}$  by the formula

$$\alpha'_{333} = \frac{\lambda_0^2 n_0^2 \text{tg } \alpha}{2\pi^2 v_{=}}.$$

**Fig. 2.** Voltage of the useful signal  $v_{\sim}$  as a function of the magnitude of the voltage  $U_m$  applied to the crystal specimen.  $\text{tg } \alpha = 2.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$

As a result of processing the experimental data, it was found that  $\alpha_{333} = 9 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}$  at a wavelength of 6328 Å, if  $n_0 \sim 2.15$  is assumed. To confirm the data indicating that the effect of electric gyrotropy is observed in the described experiment, a control was performed

experiment: a circularly polarized beam of light was passed through the specimen by means of a  $\lambda/4$ -plate (2). In this case the effect disappeared, as was to be expected.

To compare the experimental data with theory one may use the following considerations: in hypothetical fields of the order of atomic fields, the corrections to the value of  $\varepsilon_{0ij}^{-1}$  due, for example, to the linear electro-optic effect and to electric gyrotropy should be of the same order. Then it is not difficult to obtain an estimate of the magnitude of the effect from experimental data on the magnitude of the electro-optic effect in crystals (7). It turned out that the estimate obtained for  $\alpha_{333}$  lies in the range  $10^{-14} - 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}$  and is in satisfactory agreement with experiment.

Thus, it may be stated that, in addition to natural and magnetic gyrotropy, electric gyrotropy also exists in crystals, leading to the rotation of the plane of polarization of light in an external electric field.

I consider it my duty to express my gratitude to A. N. Lobachev for his interest in the work, to L. N. Demyanets for providing the  $\text{SrMoO}_4$  crystals, and to V. M. Nesterova for preparing the specimens.

Institute of Crystallography  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR  
Moscow

Received  
9 VII 1969

## REFERENCES

1. V. M. Agranovich, V. L. Ginzburg, *Crystal Optics with Allowance for Spatial Dispersion and the Theory of Excitons*, "Nauka," 1965.
2. I. S. Zheludev, *Crystallography*, **9**, no. 4, 501 (1964).
3. R. A. Saaten, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **26**, 766 (1957).
4. L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, Moscow, 1957.
5. R. V. Lenzo, E. G. Spencer, A. A. Ballman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **19**, no. 11, 641 (1967).
6. B. P. Litvin, Yu. V. Shaldin, I. E. Pitavranova, *Crystallography*, **13**, no. 6, 1106 (1968).
7. Yu. V. Shaldin, Author' s abstract of dissertation, Moscow Institute of Steel and Alloys, 1967.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*