



Soviet-era science, translated into English

D. O. BALADZE

1969

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196901.99448>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

D. O. BALADZE

GENERALIZED STEENROD HOMOLOGY GROUPS

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 29 XI 1968)

Let L and L' be some simplicial complexes. A mapping $f : L \rightarrow L'$ will be called a closed simplicial embedding if it is a simplicial mapping that maps L homeomorphically onto some closed subcomplex of the complex L' .

We shall consider a certain category \mathfrak{A} , whose objects are locally finite simplicial complexes of a specified kind, and whose mappings are closed simplicial embeddings of a specified kind. In particular, for every complex L that is an object of the category \mathfrak{A} , the mapping 1_L , which is the identity mapping of the complex L onto itself, is defined in this category. In what follows we shall assume that the category \mathfrak{A} has the following properties:

1°. If L_1 and L_2 are arbitrary objects of the category \mathfrak{A} , then the union $L_1 \cup L_2$ (without identifications) is also an object of the category \mathfrak{A} , and the natural mappings $L_1 \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2$ and $L_2 \rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2$ belong to the category \mathfrak{A} . More precisely, this means the following: for any objects $L_1, L_2 \in \mathfrak{A}$ there are in the category \mathfrak{A} an object L and mappings $f_1 : L_1 \rightarrow L$, $f_2 : L_2 \rightarrow L$ such that $f_1(L_1) \cap f_2(L_2) = \emptyset$ and $f_1(L_1) \cup f_2(L_2) = L$ (recall that every mapping of the category \mathfrak{A} is a closed simplicial embedding and, in particular, a homeomorphism).

2°. If $f : L \rightarrow L_1$ and $g : L \rightarrow L_2$ are mappings belonging to the category \mathfrak{A} , then the complex L^* , composed of L_1 and L_2 , having common part $f(L) = g(L)$, also belongs to the category \mathfrak{A} , and the natural mappings $L_1 \rightarrow L^*$, $L_2 \rightarrow L^*$ belong to the category \mathfrak{A} . More precisely, this means the following:

If $f : L \rightarrow L_1$ and $g : L \rightarrow L_2$ are mappings belonging to the category \mathfrak{A} , then there exists in the category \mathfrak{A} a complex L^* and mappings $\alpha : L \rightarrow L^*$, $\beta : L_1 \rightarrow L^*$, $\gamma : L_2 \rightarrow L^*$, for which $\beta \circ f = \gamma \circ g = \alpha$, $\beta(L_1) \cup \gamma(L_2) = L^*$, and, moreover, for zero-dimensional skeleta the equality $\beta(L_1^0) \cap \gamma(L_2^0) = \alpha(L^0)$ holds. In other words, the complex L^* is the union of its two subcomplexes $\beta(L_1)$ and $\gamma(L_2)$, the intersection of which contains the subcomplex $\alpha(L)$ (although it may not coincide with it), while the subcomplexes $\beta(L_1)$ and $\gamma(L_2)$ have no common vertices except those that belong to the subcomplex $\alpha(L)$.

Suppose further that some group G is fixed and that, in each complex L which is an object of the category \mathfrak{A} , a certain class of chains $\alpha(L)$ over the coefficient group G is specified and a differential operator d is defined, satisfying the con-

dition $d \circ d = 0$, and that the following conditions are fulfilled (the lower indices indicate a certain filtration, hereafter called dimension):

1. If $x_p \in \alpha(L)$, then also $dx_p \in \alpha(L)$.
2. If $x_p, y_p \in \alpha(L)$, then $x_p + y_p \in \alpha(L)$.
3. If $x_p \in \alpha(L)$ and g is some endomorphism of the group G , then $gx_p \in \alpha(L)$.
4. If $x_p \in \alpha(L)$ and $f : L \rightarrow L_1$ is a mapping of the category \mathfrak{A} , then $f(x_p) \in \alpha(L_1)$.

We note that if K is some ring and G is the additive group of this ring, then the correspondence $g \mapsto kg$ for any $k \in K$ defines an endomorphism of the group G . Thus, in this case it follows from axiom 3, in particular, that if $x_p \in a(L)$, then also $kx_p \in a(L)$ for any $k \in K$. Moreover, from axiom 3 it follows (in the case of any abelian group G) that if $x_p \in a(L)$, then $-x_p \in a(L)$. Together with axiom 2 this means that all p -dimensional chains belonging to $a(L)$ form an abelian group $a_p(L)$ (with respect to the usual addition of chains). Thus the mappings $d : a_p(L) \rightarrow a_{p-1}(L)$ and $f : a_p(L) \rightarrow a_p(L_1)$ (for any mapping $f : L \rightarrow L_1$ belonging to the category \mathfrak{A}) are homomorphisms.

Finally, let L be an arbitrary object of the category \mathfrak{A} , and let φ be some mapping of its zero-dimensional skeleton L^0 into a compact metric space Φ . We shall call the mapping φ regular (cf. ⁽¹⁾) if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, only a finite number of simplices of the complex L have their vertices mapped into a subset of the compactum Φ whose diameter is less than ε .

If $L \in \mathfrak{A}$, $x_p \in a_p(L)$, and $\varphi : L^0 \rightarrow \Phi$ is a regular mapping, then the triple (L, φ, x_p) will be called a p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chain of the space Φ over the coefficient group G . If $dx_p = 0$, then the triple (L, φ, x_p) will be called a regular p -dimensional (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycle of the space Φ over the group G .

Two p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles (L_1, φ_1, x'_p) and (L_2, φ_2, x''_p) of the space Φ over the group G are called homologous to each other if there exist mappings $f_1 : L_1 \rightarrow L$, $f_2 : L_2 \rightarrow L$ of the category \mathfrak{A} and a $(p+1)$ -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chain (L, φ, x_{p+1}) such that φ coincides with φ_1 on $f_1(L_1)$ (i.e. $\varphi \circ f_1 = \varphi_1$) and with φ_2 on $f_2(L_2)$ (i.e. $\varphi \circ f_2 = \varphi_2$), and $dx_{p+1} = f_1(x'_p) - f_2(x''_p)$.

It follows from this definition in a trivial way that if (L, φ, x_p) is some p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycle of the space Φ over the group G , and $f : L \rightarrow L_1$ is a mapping of the category \mathfrak{A} , and there exists a regular mapping $\varphi_1 : L_1^0 \rightarrow \Phi$ satisfying the condition $\varphi_1 \circ (f|L^0) = \varphi$, then the p -dimensional regular cycles (L, φ, x_p) and $(L_1, \varphi_1, f(x_p))$ are homologous to each other.

Next, it is easy to show that the homology relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive (cf. ⁽¹⁾). Thus the p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles (L, φ, x_p) , $x_p \in a(L)$, of the space Φ over the group G split into disjoint classes of mutually homologous regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles. These classes are called homology classes of regular p -dimensional (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles of the space Φ over G .

The sum of two p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chains (L_1, φ_1, x'_p) and (L_2, φ_2, x''_p) is called the p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chain $(L_1 \cup L_2, \varphi, x'_p + x''_p)$, where

$L_1 \cup L_2$ is the union of the complexes L_1 and L_2 without identifications, and the mapping φ coincides with φ_1 on L_1 and with φ_2 on L_2 . More precisely, this definition can be formulated as follows. Let (L_1, φ_1, x'_p) and (L_2, φ_2, x''_p) be two p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chains. Let, further, L be an object of the category \mathfrak{A} , and let $f_1 : L_1 \rightarrow L$, $f_2 : L_2 \rightarrow L$ be mappings of the category \mathfrak{A} satisfying the conditions $f_1(L_1) \cup f_2(L_2) = L$, $f_1(L_1) \cap f_2(L_2) = \emptyset$. Denote by $\varphi : L \rightarrow \Phi$ the mapping satisfying the relations $\varphi \circ f_1 = \varphi_1$, $\varphi \circ f_2 = \varphi_2$ (under these conditions the mapping φ , evidently, is defined and moreover uniquely). Next, put $x_p = f_1(x'_p) + f_2(x''_p)$. We then obtain the p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chain

$$(L, \varphi, x_p) = (L, \varphi, f_1(x'_p) + f_2(x''_p)),$$

which is called the sum of the two (\mathfrak{A}, a) -chains under consideration. (By this definition the sum is specified uniquely up to equivalence.)

The sum of two homology classes of regular p -dimensional (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles is defined uniquely as the homology class containing the sum of two p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles respectively belonging to the summands.

classes under consideration. The addition of homology classes thus defined turns the totality of all homology classes of p -dimensional regular (\mathfrak{A}, a) -cycles into a commutative group, which we shall denote by $H_p^{(\mathfrak{A}, a)}(\Phi, G)$.

The group $H_p^{(\mathfrak{A}, a)}(\Phi, G)$ will be called, by definition, the p -dimensional **generalized homological Steenrod group** of the space Φ over the coefficient group G , or, otherwise, the p -dimensional (\mathfrak{A}, a) -homology group of the space Φ over the coefficient group G .

It is easy to see that if for \mathfrak{A} one takes the category of all locally finite complexes and all their closed simplicial embeddings, and for $a(L)$ one takes the totality of all (infinite) chains of the complex L over the coefficient group G (with the usual understanding of dimension), then in this case the (\mathfrak{A}, a) -homology group coincides with the Steenrod group defined in ⁽¹⁾. In other words, the Steenrod groups can be obtained as a special case of the construction described above. It is precisely this fact that is the reason why the (\mathfrak{A}, a) -homology groups defined above have been called generalized Steenrod homological groups.

Moreover, as we shall show in the following note, many of the previously defined homology groups (see ⁽²⁻⁴⁾) are obtained as special cases of the construction described above. For example, as special cases of this construction one can obtain the K -homology groups defined by the author earlier in ⁽³⁾, and hence also Sitnikov' s homological groups $\Delta_p(\Phi, G)$, defined by him in ⁽⁴⁾. Thus, the homologies considered by K. A. Sitnikov do not fall outside the circle of Steenrod' s ideas.

Received
12 XI 1968

CITED LITERATURE

¹ N. E. Steenrod, UMN, 2, issue 2(18), 56 (1947).

² D. O. Baladze, Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the Georgian SSR, 50, No. 1, 15 (1968).

³ D. O. Baladze, Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the Georgian SSR, 52, No. 2, 283 (1968).

⁴ K. A. Sitnikov, Mat. sbornik, 34(76), No. 1, 3 (1954).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.