

# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN A BANACH SPACE

MATHEMATICS

1969

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196901.79812>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 517.91+517.948

**MATHEMATICS**

**N. V. MEDVEDEV**

## **PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN A BANACH SPACE**

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii on 27 V 1968)*

Consider the differential equation

$$dx/dt = f(t, x), \quad (1)$$

where  $x = x(t)$  is the unknown function with values in a certain complex Banach space  $E$ ;  $f(t, x)$  is an operator which, for each fixed  $t$ , acts in  $E$  and is periodic in  $t$  with period  $2\pi$ . It is assumed that the operator  $f(t, x)$  maps a strongly continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $x(t)$  into the likewise strongly continuous function  $f(t, x(t))$ .

There are various methods for studying periodic solutions of equation (1), for example, <sup>(1,2)</sup>. In the present work one more method is proposed for solving the problem of the existence and finding of periodic solutions of equation (1), which is close to Cesari's method <sup>(2)</sup>.

Consider the operator  $B_1$ , defined by the equality

$$B_1 x(t) = a + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_t^{t+2\pi} (s-t-2\pi) f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Let  $X$  be the set consisting of strongly continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic functions. Then the operator  $B_1$  acts in  $X$  for any value of the parameter  $a \in E$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $x(t, a)$  be a fixed point of the operator  $B_1$ . If*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(s, x(s, a)) ds = 0, \quad (2)$$

*then the function  $x(t, a)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of equation (1).*

The operator  $B_1$  is convenient for studying the equation

$$dx/dt = A(t)x + f(t), \quad (3)$$

where  $A(t)$  is a linear bounded operator, continuous and periodic in  $t$  with period  $2\pi$ , and  $f(t)$  is a continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic function.

Introduce the following series:

$$U(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t+2\pi}^t A(s) ds + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t+2\pi}^t \left( \int_{t+2\pi}^s A(s) ds \right) A(s) ds - \dots,$$

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t+2\pi}^t f(s) ds - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{t+2\pi}^t \left( \int_{t+2\pi}^s A(s) ds \right) f(s) ds + \dots$$

In this case we have

$$U(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} V(t, 0)(V(2\pi, 0) - I)V(0, t),$$

where  $I$  is the identity operator, and  $V(t, \tau)$  is the solution of the integral equation

$$V(t, \tau) = I + \int_{\tau}^t V(t, s)A(s) ds.$$

**Theorem 1.** *If equation (3) has a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution  $x(t)$ , then the equality  $U(t)x(t) + g(t) = 0$  holds.*

The proof is carried out using the operator  $B_1$ .

One can give the following sufficient condition for the invertibility of the operator  $U(t)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose

$$\alpha = \|A(0)\|, \quad \gamma = \sup_t \|A(t)\|, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|A(s) - A(0)\| ds.$$

Suppose the operator  $I - 2\pi A(0)$  is invertible and

$$\|(I - 2\pi A(0))^{-1}\| = (1 + 2\pi\rho)^{-1}.$$

Then, if

$$\frac{1 + 2\pi\beta \exp(2\pi\gamma)}{1 + 2\pi\rho - 4\pi^2\alpha\gamma \exp(2\pi\gamma)} < 1,$$

equation (3) has one and only one  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.

We now introduce a more general operator  $B_2$ , where

$$B_2 y(t) = -\varphi(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_t^{t+2\pi} (s-t-\pi) f(s, a_0 + \varphi(s) + y(s)) ds,$$

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{0 < |n| \leq k} a_n e^{int}.$$

The operator  $B_2$ , for arbitrary values of the parameters  $a_0, a_1, a_{-1}, \dots, a_k, a_{-k}$ , also acts in  $X$ . If  $y(t, a_0, \dots, a_{-k})$  is a fixed point of this operator, then  $x(t) = a_0 + \varphi(t) + y(t, a_0, \dots, a_{-k})$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of equation (1), when the parameters satisfy equation (2).

**Theorem 3.** Suppose the operator  $f(t, x)$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, x') - f(t, x'')\| \leq p(t) \|x' - x''\|, \quad x', x'' \in E. \quad (4)$$

Suppose  $H(t)$ , for each fixed  $t$ , is a linear bounded operator, continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $t$ , and satisfying the condition

$$\|H(t)(x' - x'') - f(t, x') + f(t, x'')\| \leq q(t) \|x' - x''\|, \quad x', x'' \in E. \quad (5)$$

Here  $p(t)$  and  $q(t)$  are certain positive  $2\pi$ -periodic functions. In addition, suppose that for the operator  $\int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt$  there exists a bounded inverse, and that the inequalities

$$\mu = \sup_t \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |s| p(s+t+\pi) ds < 1,$$

$$\frac{1}{1-\mu} \left\| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt \right)^{-1} \right\| \int_0^{2\pi} (q(t) + \mu \|H^*(t)\|) dt < 1,$$

hold, where

$$H^*(t) = H(t) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt.$$

Then equation (1) has one and only one  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.

**Proof.** The operator  $B_2$  with one parameter  $a_0$ , i.e., with  $\varphi(t) \equiv 0$ , is, by (4), a contraction operator. Further, taking into account the identity

$$\int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt a_0 = \int_0^{2\pi} (H(t)x(t) - f(t, x(t))) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} H^*(t)y(t, a_0) dt + \int_0^{2\pi} f(t, x(t)) dt,$$

where  $x(t) = a_0 + y(t, a_0)$ ,  $y(t, a_0)$  is a fixed point of  $B_2$ , the operator is constructed

$$F a_0 = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt \right)^{-1} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} (H(t)x(t) - f(t, x(t))) dt - \int_0^{2\pi} H^*(t)y(t, a_0) dt \right].$$

By virtue of (5), the operator  $F$  has a fixed point  $a_0^*$ . Then the function  $x^*(t) = a_0^* + y(t, a_0^*)$  is a periodic solution of equation (1). The theorem is proved.

By a somewhat different method one can obtain a proposition for equation (3).

**Theorem 4.** *Let the operator  $\int_0^{2\pi} A(s) ds$  have a bounded inverse with norm  $\eta$ , and*

$$M = \sup_t \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|sA(s+t+\pi)\| ds, \quad N = \int_0^{2\pi} \|A(s)\| ds.$$

*Then, if  $M(1 + \eta N) < 1$ , equation (3) has a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.*

**Proof** is carried out by the method of successive approximations, putting

$$y_{n+1}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_t^{t+2\pi} (s-t-\pi)[A(s)(a_n + y_n(s)) + f(s)] ds,$$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} A(s)(a_n + y_n(s)) ds + \int_0^{2\pi} f(s) ds = 0.$$

The following proposition is, in a certain sense, a generalization of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space such that for every function  $x(t) \in X$  the equality*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|x(t)\|^2 dt = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_n\|^2, \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t)e^{-int} dt. \quad (6)$$

holds. Let the operator  $f(t, x)$  satisfy condition (4), where  $p(t) = p$  is constant. Let there exist a constant linear operator  $H$  satisfying condition (5), and suppose that the eigenvalues of the operator  $H$  are distinct from numbers of the form  $ni$ ,  $n = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm k$ , where  $k$  is some natural number. Then, if

$$\mu_k = 2p^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < 1, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi(1 - \mu_k)} \int_0^{2\pi} q^2(t) dt \sum_{|n| \leq k} \|(niI - H)^{-1}\|^2 < 1, \quad (8)$$

then equation (1) has one and only one  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.

**Proof.** In the metric space of sequences of the form  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $n = \pm(k+1), \pm(k+2), \dots$ ,  $a_n \in E$ ,  $\sum \|a_n\| < +\infty$ , an operator  $\Phi$  is constructed, defined by the right-hand sides of the equalities

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi ni} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, a_0 + \varphi(t) + y(t)) e^{-int} dt, \quad |n| > k,$$

where

$$y(t) = \sum_{|n| > k} a_n e^{int}.$$

The operator  $\Phi$ , by virtue of (7), has a fixed point  $\{a_n^*\}$ , the coordinates of which depend on the parameters. Next, in the parameter space an operator  $\Psi$  is introduced, defined by the right-hand sides of the equalities

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} (-niI + H)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (Hx(t) - f(t, x(t))) e^{-int} dt, \quad |n| \leq k,$$

where

$$x(t) = a_0 + \varphi(t) + y^*(t), \quad y^*(t) = \sum_{|n| > k} a_n^* e^{int}.$$

By virtue of (8), the operator  $\Psi$  has a fixed point with coordinates  $a_n^*$ ,  $|n| \leq k$ . Then, in turn, the function  $y^*(t)$ , for  $a_n = a_n^*$ ,  $|n| \leq k$ , is a fixed point of the operator  $B_2$ . The theorem is proved.

We note that for the linear equation (2), when  $A$  is a constant matrix, the conditions of Theorem 5 are at the same time necessary. Indeed, in this case it is necessary that the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A$  be distinct from numbers

of the form  $ni$ ,  $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ . Then the role of the operator  $H$  is played by the matrix  $A$ . In this case condition (8) holds for any  $k$ , and condition (7) for sufficiently large  $k$ . We also note that Theorem 5 can be formulated using a variable operator  $H(t)$ .

The preceding method can be generalized by considering equations of higher order. For example, for the equation

$$d^2x/dt^2 = f(t, x), \quad (9)$$

where  $f(t, x)$  is the same operator as in equation (1), the following holds.

**Theorem 6.** *Let the operator  $f(t, x)$  satisfy condition (4). Let there exist an operator  $H(t)$ , satisfying condition (5), such that the eigenvalues of the operator*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt$$

*are distinct from numbers of the form  $-2\pi n^2$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , where  $k$  is some natural number or zero. Then, if*

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(t) dt \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < 1,$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \mu_k} \sum_{|n| \leq k} \left\| \left( 2\pi n^2 I + \int_0^{2\pi} H(t) dt \right)^{-1} \right\| \int_0^{2\pi} (q(t) + \|H^*(t)\|) dt < 1,$$

*then equation (9) has one and only one  $2\pi$ -periodic solution.*

**Proof** is carried out using the operator

$$B_3 y(t) = -\varphi(t) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_t^{t+2\pi} (s-t-\pi) \int_s^{s+2\pi} (\tau-s-\pi) f(\tau, a_0 + \varphi(\tau) + y(\tau)) d\tau.$$

We note that if, for any  $x(t) \in X$ , equality (7) holds, then under the conditions of Theorem 6 the estimates can, in a certain sense, be improved.

**Remark.** The method presented can without particular difficulty be extended to equations of the form (3)

$$dx/dt = \omega(t, \tilde{x}),$$

where  $\tilde{x} = x(t)$ ,  $\omega(t, \tilde{x})$  is an operator with values in  $E$ , defined for each  $t$  on the set  $X$  and periodic in  $t$  with period  $2\pi$ , i.e., the identity  $\omega(t + 2\pi, \tilde{x}) = \omega(t, \tilde{x})$  holds for all  $t$  and any fixed  $\tilde{x} \in X$ .

Vladimir Pedagogical Institute

Received  
21 V 1968

### CITED LITERATURE

1. M. A. Krasnosel' skii, UMN, 21, no. 3 (1966).
2. L. Cesari, Contrib. diff. eq., 1, N. Y., 1963.
3. M. A. Krasnosel' skii, DAN, 152, No. 4 (1963).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*