

# ON AN INVERSE PROBLEM FOR THE TELEGRAPH EQUATION

MATHEMATICS

1969

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196901.05362>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 517.917

**MATHEMATICS**

**S. ELUBAEV**

## **ON AN INVERSE PROBLEM FOR THE TELEGRAPH EQUATION**

*(Presented by Academician G. I. Marchuk, 24 IV 1969)*

This paper is devoted to finding the coefficient for the telegraph equation.

Consider the equation

$$u_{xy} = au \quad (1)$$

with data on the characteristics

$$u(x, y, \lambda)|_{x=0} = g(y - \lambda), \quad u(x, y, \lambda)|_{y=0} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$u(x, y, \lambda)|_{y=c} = \varphi(x, \lambda), \quad (3)$$

where  $u(x, y, \lambda)$  is a family of solutions of (1), depending on the parameter  $\lambda$  ( $0 < \lambda < c$ );  $a = a(x, y)$  is the coefficient of equation (1);  $g(y - \lambda)$  is the Dirac function;  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  is a function having a continuous mixed second derivative with respect to  $x$  and  $\lambda$  in the rectangle  $Q = [0, h; 0, c]$ . The rectangle  $Q$  is bounded by the coordinate axes and the straight lines  $x = h$ ,  $y = c$ ;  $h$  and  $c$  are positive constants.

Let  $a(x, y)$  be an unknown continuous function in the rectangle  $Q$ , and let

$$\sup_{0 \leq x \leq h, 0 \leq y \leq c} |a(x, y)| = M,$$

where  $M$  is some positive number.

Let  $c$  and  $h$  satisfy the condition

$$M^* ch S < 1, \quad (4)$$

where  $S$  and  $M^*$  are certain positive numbers, which will be discussed below.

Multidimensional inverse problems in a linearized formulation were considered in work (1).

In this paper the problem is considered in the following formulation: for a given function  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  in the rectangle  $Q$ , it is required to find the coefficient  $a(x, y)$  of equation (1) in this rectangle.

The solution of equation (1) satisfying the boundary conditions (2) is equivalent to the solution of the integral equation:

$$u(x, y, \lambda) = g(y - \lambda) + \int_0^y \int_0^x d(\xi, \eta) u(\xi, \eta, \lambda) d\xi d\eta. \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) is a Volterra integral equation of the second kind with respect to  $u(x, y, \lambda)$ .

From (5), applying the method of successive approximations, we obtain

$$u(x, y, \lambda) = g(y - \lambda) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^y \int_0^x g(t - \lambda) a_n(x, y, \tau, t) d\tau dt, \quad (6)$$

where

$$a_n(x, y, \tau, t) = \int_t^y \int_\tau^x a(\xi, \eta) a_{n-1}(\xi, \eta, \tau, t) d\xi d\eta$$

is the  $n$ -th iterated kernel for  $a(x, y)$ . In view of the boundedness of  $a(x, y)$  in the rectangle  $Q$ , the series in (6) will converge absolutely and uniformly in this rectangle. Therefore, interchanging the order of summation and integration in (6), we obtain

$$u(x, y, \lambda) = g(y - \lambda) + \int_0^y \int_0^x g(t - \lambda) R(x, y, \tau, t) d\tau dt, \quad (7)$$

where

$$R(x, y, \tau, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(x, y, \tau, t), \quad a_1 = a(\tau, t).$$

From (7), by virtue of condition (3), we obtain

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = g(c - \lambda) + \int_0^c \int_0^x g(t - \lambda) R(x, c, \tau, t) d\tau dt. \quad (8)$$

Differentiating relation (8) with respect to  $x$ , and then with respect to  $\lambda$ , we obtain

$$-\varphi''_{x\lambda}(x, \lambda) = a(x, \lambda) + \int_{\lambda}^c \int_0^x a(x, \eta) R(x, \eta, \tau, \lambda) d\tau d\eta. \quad (9)$$

Relation (9) can be written in the form

$$a(x, \lambda) = Aa, \quad (10)$$

where

$$Aa = -\varphi''_{x\lambda}(x, \lambda) - \int_{\lambda}^c \int_0^x a(x, \eta) R(x, \eta, \tau, \lambda) d\tau d\eta \quad (11)$$

is a nonlinear operator.

We now show that this operator is a contraction operator in the space  $C[0, h; 0, c]$ . Take two arbitrary elements  $a(x, y)$  and  $b(x, y)$  from the space  $C[0, h; 0, c]$ ,

$$\rho(a, b) = \max_{x, y \in C[0, h; 0, c]} |a - b|.$$

Let for  $b(x, y)$  in the rectangle  $Q$  the condition

$$\sup_{0 \leq x \leq h, 0 \leq y \leq c} |b(x, y)| = M_1$$

be satisfied.

Denote by  $M^*$  the larger of the numbers  $M$  and  $M_1$ . Using relation (11), we write  $\rho(Aa, Ab)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(Aa, Ab) &= \|Aa - Ab\| = \\ &= \left\| \int_{\lambda}^c \int_0^x [b(x, \eta) R^*(x, \eta, \tau, \lambda) - a(x, \eta) R(x, \eta, \tau, \lambda)] d\tau d\eta \right\|, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R^*(x, \eta, \tau, \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(x, \eta, \tau, \lambda), \quad b_1 = b(\tau, \lambda).$$

From (12) we obtain

$$\rho(Aa, Ab) \leq \rho(a, b)M^*hcS, \quad (13)$$

where  $S$  is the sum of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)|M^*hc|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(n-1)!}.$$

From (13), in view of condition (4), we see that the operator  $A$  is a contraction operator. Therefore, on the basis of the contraction mapping principle, from the functional equation (10) we determine the unique coefficient  $a(x, y)$  in the rectangle  $Q$ .

Kzyl-Orda State  
Pedagogical Institute  
named after N. V. Gogol

Received  
11 III 1969

## CITED LITERATURE

1. M. M. Lavrent'ev, V. G. Romanov, DAN, **171**, No. 6 (1966).
2. S. Elubaev, Vestn. AN KazSSR, 1969.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*