



Soviet-era science, translated into English

STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF SOME DEFINING FUNCTIONALS

MATHEMATICS

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.91336>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.51

MATHEMATICS

E. V. VORONOVSKAYA

**STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF SOME
DEFINING FUNCTIONALS**

(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev on 24 VII 1967)

It was proved in ⁽¹⁾ that a segment-functional of the form

$$(\mu_i)_0^n = 0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1_s, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_l \quad (s + l = n; s > n/2 + 1) \quad (*_s^+)$$

determines, for the variables (θ_i) in a bounded l -dimensional domain, all extremal polynomials $\{Q_n(x)\}$ of class II $[0, s, 0]$, and only them.

Since at each point $(\theta_i)_1^l$ of the infinite space the segment $(*_s^+)$ is also served by a unique extremal polynomial (only of another passport) ⁽¹⁾, it is of interest to determine the capacity of such service in view of the fact that segments of type $(*_s^+)$ are convenient for the analytic construction of extremal polynomials ⁽¹⁾, and also to generalize the form $(*_s^+)$.

Recall the general criterion of extremality ⁽¹⁾: $Q_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i x^i$ serves $(\mu_i)_0^n$ if and only if it is reduced, i.e.

$$\max_{[0,1]} |Q_n(x)| = 1,$$

and, for its distribution σ_i^+ (i.e. for the points $0 \leq \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_s \leq 1$, where $Q_n \sigma^+ = +1$; $Q_n(\sigma) = -1$), the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i \sigma_i^k = \mu_k \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n) \quad (V)$$

gives, for the loads (δ_i) , solutions with $\text{sgn } \delta_i = Q_n(\sigma_i)$ or $\delta_i = 0$. If $\delta_k \neq 0$, we shall call σ_k an active node of $Q_n(x)$. The totality of active nodes forms a subdivision, or selection, for $Q_n(x)$ and is the true (unique) distribution of the segment-functional $(\mu_i)_0^n$. The whole system (V) is compatible.

Introduce the following abbreviated notation. If some segment (a) is served by the polynomial $Q_n(x)$, then the segment multiplied termwise by $\alpha > 0$, i.e. $\alpha \cdot (a)$, is also served. If another segment (b) has the same $Q_n(x)$, then the segment

$$(c) = \frac{\alpha \cdot (a) + \beta \cdot (b)}{\alpha + \beta}$$

also has it ($\alpha > 0$; $\beta > 0$); moreover, if (a) and (b) have the form $(*_s^+)$, then so does (c) . If (δ'_i) and (δ''_i) are the loads of (a) and (b) , then the loads of (c) are

$$\frac{\alpha \cdot \delta'_i + \beta \cdot \delta''_i}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

Hence follows

Remark 1. The domain of service of $(*_s^+)$ by a certain selection $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$ forms a simply connected (convex) set.

In what follows we shall consider segments $(\nu_i)_0^n$ in which s ($1 \leq s \leq n$) parameters at any prescribed places are equal to zero. Among them there is always $\nu_0 = 0$. The remaining parameters $(\theta_k)_1^{i+n-s}$ are variable, with the exception of $\theta_{k_1} = 1$ or -1 ($k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{n+1-s}$). We shall denote such segments by $\nu_{(s)}^+$ and $\nu_{(s)}^-$, respectively. Separating out all their constant parameters, we obtain the following “bases” :

$$0_0, 0_{l_1}, \dots, 1_{k_1}, \dots, 0_{l_{s-1}}, \quad (1^+)$$

$$0_0, 0_{l_1}, \dots, -1_{k_1}, \dots, 0_{l_{s-1}} \quad (k_1 \leq s). \quad (1^-)$$

Remark 2. The bases $(1\pm)$ require that the number s_1 of nodes in the segments $(\nu_{(s)}^\pm)$ be $s_1 \geq s + 1$; when $s_1 = s + 1$, the corresponding loads $(\delta_i)_1^{s_1}$ give alternants of the form $\dots + - +$ for $\nu_{(s)}^+$ and $(\dots - + -)$ for $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$. This is obvious if, from system (V), one selects $s + 1$ equations with right-hand side corresponding, taking account of the numbering, to the numbers $(1\pm)$. The determinant of the selected system is > 0 .

Theorem 1. Let $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ be served at the points $(\theta_{k_i}^{(0)})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ by a sample $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$, with all active nodes and with loads $(\delta_i)_1^{s_1}$, where $s + 1 \leq s_1 < S$ (the total number of nodes of $Q_n(x)$). Then, when any σ^* from $Q_n(x)$ is added to the sample, there will always be found a point $(\theta_{k_i}^{(1)})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ at which $\nu_{(s)}^+$ is served by the entire sample supplemented by the node σ^* .

Indeed, extend the basis $(1+)$ by zeros, $s_1 - s$ in number, at arbitrary free numbers; we obtain an incomplete segment $(^1)$ with $s_1 + 1$ parameters (zeros and ones). Decompose it with respect to the nodes $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ with the adjoined σ^*

(it remains unnumbered). We obtain loads $(\Delta_i)_1^{s_1}$ and Δ^* for (σ^*) , of alternating signs in the order of increase of all σ , according to Remark 2. Next we fill this segment structurally by these nodes. This means: construct the segment $(\varepsilon_i)_0^n$, in which the same extended basis is present, and at any free place with number p put

$$\varepsilon_p = \sum_{i=1}^s \Delta_i \sigma_i^p + \Delta^* \sigma^{*p}.$$

Compare $(\Delta_i)_1^{s_1}$ and $(\delta_i)_1^{s_1}$. There are no zeros among them. Find $a_i > 0$ such that $a_i |\Delta_i| = |\delta_i|$. Choose $0 < \alpha < \min(a_i)$; then $\alpha |\Delta_i| < |\delta_i|$. If $\text{sgn } \Delta^* = Q_n(\sigma^*)$, construct the segment

$$\frac{\nu_s^+ + \alpha(\varepsilon_i)_0^n}{1 + \alpha}$$

with the same basis as (ν_s^+) , and with loads

$$\frac{\alpha \Delta_i + \delta_i}{1 + \alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha \Delta^*}{1 + \alpha}.$$

Since $\text{sgn}(\alpha \Delta_i + \delta_i) = \text{sgn } \delta_i$, $Q_n(x)$ is its extremal. If $\text{sgn } \Delta^* = -Q_n(\sigma^*)$, require additionally in the choice of α that $\alpha < 1$, and construct the segment

$$\frac{\nu_{(s)}^+ - \alpha(\varepsilon_i)_0^n}{1 - \alpha}$$

also with the same basis and with loads

$$\frac{\delta_i - \alpha \Delta_i}{1 - \alpha}$$

and

$$-\frac{\alpha \Delta^*}{1 - \alpha},$$

i.e. $Q_n(x)$ is its extremal.

Corollary. There will always be found points $(\theta_{k_i})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ at which $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ is served by the original sample of nodes of $Q_n(x)$ with the addition of any number of nodes of $Q_n(x)$ not included in it.

Remark 3. A sample $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ containing exactly $q = s$ alternants contains, in any $s + 1$ of its points with a continuous alternant, only either a (+)-alternant or a (-)-alternant. If, however, in the sample $q > s$, then it contains both $s + 1$ points with a (+)-alternant and $s + 1$ points with a (-)-alternant.

Remark 4. Every sample of nodes of $Q_n(x)$ consisting of $s + 1$ points with a (+)-alternant serves only either $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$ or $(\nu_{(s)}^-)$, and moreover at only one point. Let $(\sigma_i)_1^{s+1}$ be this sample. The basis $(\nu_{(s)}^+)$, when decomposed with respect to these nodes, gives loads (δ_i) , $\text{sgn } \delta_{s+1} = (-1)^{s+k}$, and the structural completion of the basis with respect to these nodes is unique.

Theorem 2. A subsample $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$, where $s+1 \leq s_1 \leq S$, has the following properties: 1) if the number of alternants $q = s$, then the sample serves one and only one of the two segments of the form $(v_{(s)}^+)$ or $(v_{(s)}^-)$; 2) if $q < s$, the sample serves neither the one nor the other.

The proof is by induction.

Let $s_1 = s + 1$. According to Remark 4, under the condition of a continuous alternant the sample serves one and only one of the segments of the form

$(v_{(s)}^\pm)$ (and only at one point). Suppose the theorem has been proved for any sample with number of nodes $\leq s_1$; we shall prove its validity for $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1+1}$ with number of alternations $q \leq s_1$.

1. Let $q = s_1$, and, for definiteness, suppose that this is a (+)-alternation (see Remark 3). Then one of the two intervals $(v_{(s)}^\pm)$, at some point $(\theta_{k_i}^1)_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$, in accordance with Theorem 1, is served by the sample $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1+1}$ with all active nodes and with loads (δ'_i) . Let this be an interval of the form $(v_{(s)}^+)$. Suppose now that $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1+1}$ also serves $(v_{(s)}^-)$ at some point $(\theta'_{k_i})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ with all active nodes and loads (δ''_i) . Then one must have $\text{sgn } \delta'_i = \text{sgn } \delta''_i$. In the equalities $a_i \delta'_i = \delta''_i$ ($a_i > 0$), take $\alpha = \min(a_i) = a_p$; then $\alpha \delta'_p = \delta''_p$ and $\alpha |\delta'_i| < |\delta''_i|$ for $i \neq p$. Form the interval

$$(\mu_i)_0^n = \frac{(v_{(s)}^-) - \alpha_p (v_{(s)}^+)}{1 + \alpha},$$

it has the nodes (σ_i) for $i \neq p$, with loads

$$\frac{\delta''_i - \alpha_p \delta'_i}{1 + \alpha}$$

in number $\leq s_1$, while the number of alternations $q' \leq s$. By the induction hypotheses, $q' < s$ is impossible. If, however, $q' = s$, then a (+)-alternation has been preserved, and then $(\mu_i)_0^n$ of type $(v_{(s)}^-)$ is served by the same sample as is $(v_{(s)}^+)$; this too is impossible by the induction hypothesis.

2. Let in $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1+1}$ we have $q < s$. Suppose that at the point $(\theta_{k_i}^{(0)})_{i=1}^{n+1-s}$ the sample serves $(v_{(s)}^+)$. From the general system (V), which gives the structural decomposition of $(v_{(s)}^+)$ by the nodes $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1+1}$, choose $s_1 + 1$ equations with $s_1 + 1$ unknowns (Δ_i) . In this system take all $s+1$ equations with right-hand side equal to the $s + 1$ basis parameters. We shall vary one of the parameters $\theta_l^{(0)}$ of this system by setting $\theta_l^{(0)} = \theta$. Then all Δ_i become linear functions of θ . Let us find, closest to $\theta_l^{(0)} = \theta$, a value of θ at which at least one of the loads Δ_i becomes zero (the others retain their initial signs). Let this occur at $\theta = \theta^*$. Then the interval $(v_{(s)}^+)$, in which

$\theta_i^{(0)}$ is replaced by θ^* , is served by $(\sigma_i)^\pm$ with one (at least) node removed, which does not increase the number of alternations; this is impossible by the induction hypothesis. Theorem 2 is proved.

For simplicity of the subsequent exposition, we shall consider only special intervals of the form $0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, \pm 1_s, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-s} = (\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$. All results will also be valid in the general case. We shall compare $(\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$, $(\mu_{(s+1)}^\pm)$, and $(\mu_{(s-1)}^\pm)$. If in $(\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$ the (θ_i) are variables and range over a domain of the form $\theta_i = \lambda\theta'_i + \theta''_i$, where $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $\theta'_i \neq 0$, we shall call such a domain a ray (the simplest cone).

Theorem 3. If $Q_n(x)$ has a subdistribution $(\sigma_i)_1^{\pm, s_1}$ containing a number of alternations $q \geq s + 1$, then this sample serves an interval of the form $(\mu_{(s)}^\pm)$ in an infinite domain of the (θ_i) of ray type.

Take from the sample two groups of $s + 1$ points (they may have common nodes), one forming a (+)-alternation, the other a (-)-alternation. Find the decompositions of the two bases $0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, \pm 1$ respectively by these groups of points and, respectively, continue them in a structural way. We have:

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1, \theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_{n-s}; \quad (2)$$

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, -1, \theta_1^{(2)}, \dots, \theta_{n-s}^{(2)}; \quad (3)$$

$Q_n(x)$ is extremal for (2) and for (3). On the basis of Theorem 2 the original subdistribution also serves some interval

$$0_0, \dots, 0_s, 1_{s+1}, \theta_2^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_{n-s}^{(0)}. \quad (4)$$

Compose $\lambda(4) + (2)$ (or $\lambda \cdot (4) + (3)$), where $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary. We obtain

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1, \lambda + \theta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda\theta_{n-s}^{(0)} + \theta_{n-s}^{(1)},$$

and the required ray has been found, if all $\theta_i^0 \neq 0$; but this can always be achieved by a small change of the parameters in the system of equations (V).

Corollary. If $Q_n(x)$ serves (μ_s^+) in a finite domain (θ_i) , then it does not serve at all a segment of the form $(\mu_{(s+1)})$ (i.e. one in which the number of alternations is $q = s$).

Theorem 4. If a sample $(\sigma_i)_1^{s_1}$ serves the segment $(\mu_{(s)}^+)$ in an infinite domain, then this domain contains a ray.

Let us note that for $s_1 = s + 1$ the sample can serve $(\mu_{(s)}^+)$ only at one point. Let $s_1 = s + 2$, and let the sample serve $(\mu_{(s)}^+)$ in an infinite (one-dimensional!) domain. At any point of this domain the loads $(\Delta_i)_1^{s+2}$ are determined from the

first $s + 2$ equations (V), and therefore are linear functions of one parameter θ_1 , i.e. $\Delta_i = \alpha_i \theta_1 + \beta_i$ ($\alpha_i \neq 0$); the remaining

$$\theta_k = \sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \Delta_i \sigma_i^{k+s} = \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \alpha_i \sigma_i^{s+k} + \sum_{i=1}^{s+2} \beta_i \sigma_i^{s+k}.$$

Consequently, θ_1 assumes arbitrarily large values (according to what was noted above, one may assume $\theta_k \neq 0$). Then finally we obtain a functional of the form

$$0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 1_s, \theta_1, \gamma_2 \theta_1 + \beta_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-s} \theta_1 + \beta_{n-s}, \quad \gamma_i \neq 0, \quad (5)$$

i.e. a ray. These conclusions remain valid also for $s_1 > s + 2$, since the domain (θ_i) served by a poorer subdistribution $Q_n(x)$ is a boundary domain for the domain served by an enriched subdistribution (see Theorem 1).

Corollary 1. The sample of Theorem 4 also serves ($\mu_{(s+1)}$).

Indeed, divide (5) by $|\theta_1|$, and in the limit as $\theta_1 \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$0_0, \dots, 0_{s-1}, 0_s, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-s}.$$

The mentioned sample, serving ($\mu_{(s+1)}$), must contain not fewer than $s + 1$ alternations.

Corollary 2. A subdistribution $Q_n(x)$ containing the number of alternations $q_{\max} = s$ serves ($\mu_{(s)}$) in a finite domain, since otherwise there would, in the contrary case, be a ray in this domain, and then the subdistribution would serve ($\mu_{(s+1)}$), which is impossible.

Remark. The theorems proved extend in a completely analogous way to the case when the initial segments $(\mu_i)_0^n$ contain S zeros arranged arbitrarily, under the condition $\mu_0 = 0$.

Finally, let us note an application of the proved theorems to the problem of V. A. Markov ⁽²⁾ on finding a polynomial $Y_n(x)$ least deviating from 0 on $[0, 1]$ among those whose coefficients (y_i) are connected by the relation

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_i y_i = A (\neq 0). \quad (6)$$

Since this problem is identical to the problem of finding the extremal polynomial $Q_n(x)$ of the segment-functional $(\mu_i)_0^n$ ⁽¹⁾, we immediately obtain:

1. Whatever the relation (6), containing l coefficients (but not containing y_0), $Y_n(x)$ has in its active distribution not fewer than $n + 1 - l$ alternations.
2. If, in addition, (6) contains $l < n/2$ coefficients, then the solution of Markov's problem is unique.

Leningrad Electrotechnical
Institute of Communications

Received
24 V 1967

CITED LITERATURE

1. E. V. Voronovskaya, *The Method of Functionals and Its Applications*, Leningrad, 1963.
2. V. A. Markov, *On Functions Least Deviating from Zero*, 1892.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.