

ON THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF ILL-POSED PROBLEMS REPRESENTED BY INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF CONVOLUTION TYPE

MATHEMATICS

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.87142>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.948.32

MATHEMATICS

V. N. STRAKHOV

ON THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF ILL-POSED PROBLEMS REPRESENTED BY INTEGRAL EQUATIONS OF CONVOLUTION TYPE

(Presented by Academician A. N. Tikhonov on 13 III 1967)

Many problems of exploration geophysics (^{1,2} and others) lead to linear integral equations of convolution type

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(\xi) K(x - \xi) d\xi, \quad -\infty \leq x \leq +\infty, \quad (1)$$

whose kernels satisfy the conditions:

- 1) $|k(t)| \leq 1, \quad -\infty \leq t \leq +\infty;$
- 2) $k(t) \neq 0$ for almost all $t, \quad -\infty < t < +\infty.$

Here

$$k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(x) e^{-itx} dx \quad (3)$$

is the spectrum (Fourier transform) of the kernel $K(x)$.

We write equation (1) in operator form:

$$f = A\varphi; \quad \|A\| = \sup_t |k(t)| = 1, \quad (4)$$

where A is a linear integral operator acting in the space L^2 , from the set P , singled out by condition 2); f and φ are functions from the space L^2 . Obviously, there exists an inverse operator A^{-1} to A , but

$$\|A^{-1}\| = \sup_t |1/k(t)| = +\infty, \quad (5)$$

so that the solutions of equation (1) possess instability: small (in the norm in L^2) variations δf may correspond to large variations $\delta\varphi$.

A. N. Tikhonov^(3,4) proposed a method for the approximate solution of problems of the type (4)–(5)—the *regularization method*. It consists in introducing, instead of equation (4), a linear operator T_α in the space L^2 , depending on a parameter α , $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0$, and satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad & \|T_\alpha\| < +\infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < \alpha_0; \\ 2) \quad & \|\varphi - T_\alpha A\varphi\| \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad (\text{for any } \varphi \in L^2). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

(7)

The function $T_\alpha f$, for some $\alpha = \alpha^*$, is taken as an approximate solution of equation (4). V. K. Ivanov⁽⁵⁾ introduced the notion of uniform regularization. Namely, the operator T_α uniformly regularizes equation (4) on some set $m \subseteq L^2$, if, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, $\|\varphi - T_\alpha A\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for all $\varphi \in m$.

If an equation $f_\delta = A\varphi$ is given, where $f_\delta = f + \delta f$, $\|\delta f\| \leq \delta$, and moreover $f \in M$, $\delta f \in M$, then $f_\delta \in M$, and a solution of the equation does not exist for any $\delta > 0$. However, an approximate solution can always be constructed, pro-

than

$$\|\varphi - T_\alpha f_\delta\| \leq \|\varphi - T_\alpha A\varphi\| + \|T_\alpha\|\delta, \quad (8)$$

and by an appropriate choice of α one can achieve sufficiently good results.

Definition 1. The value of the parameter α determined from the condition

$$\sup_{\varphi \in m} \|\varphi - T_\alpha A\varphi\| + \|T_\alpha\|\delta = \min \quad (9)$$

is called **optimal on the set m of uniform regularization for a given $\delta > 0$** .

Accordingly, $T_\alpha f_\delta$, where α is chosen according to (9), will be an optimal (on m for the given δ) solution.

Definition 2. A linear operator L , $\|L\| < +\infty$, acting in the space L^2 , will be called **computationally finite-dimensional** if, in order to find the function Lf , $f(x) \in L^2$, at one value of the argument x , a finite number of values $f(x)$ is used, on which a finite number of arithmetic operations is performed. Operators L of the opposite kind will be called **computationally infinite-dimensional**.

If T_α is a regularizing operator, but computationally infinite-dimensional, then constructing the function $T_\alpha f$ even for a single x is practically impossible. Therefore one proceeds as follows: the operator T_α is approximately approximated by some computationally finite-dimensional operator \tilde{T}_α , and the approximate solution of the problem is taken to be not $T_\alpha f$, but $\tilde{T}_\alpha f$. However, if the method for constructing the operator \tilde{T}_α from the operator T_α is not regular, in a certain way connected with the value of α used, then convergence may be lost: although $T_\alpha A\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, it may be that $\tilde{T}_\alpha A\varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$, $\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\| > 0$. Hence it follows that the procedure of passing from the computationally infinite-dimensional operator T_α to the computationally finite-dimensional \tilde{T}_α must also be regularized. Such an operation is most simply carried out by introducing a second parameter $\beta = n$ (n natural) and putting $\tilde{T}_\alpha = T_{\alpha,n}$, where, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $T_{\alpha,n} f \rightarrow T_\alpha f$ for any $f \in L^2$.

Definition 3. A linear operator $T_{\alpha,n}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, $n_0 \leq n \leq +\infty$, is called **regularizing equation (4) on the space L^2** if: 1) for all n and α , except the case when simultaneously $n = +\infty$ and $\alpha = 0$,

$$\|T_{\alpha,n}\| < +\infty; \quad (10)$$

2) if at least for one sequence of values α and n

$$\|\varphi - T_{\alpha,n} A\varphi\| \xrightarrow{\alpha \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in L^2. \quad (11)$$

Definition 4. The operator $T_{\alpha,n}$ is called **uniformly regularizing equation (4) on the set $m \in L^2$** if, for all $\varphi \in m$ and at least for one sequence (α, n) , $\|\varphi - T_{\alpha,n} A\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly.

Definition 5. The values of the parameters α and n , determined from the condition

$$\sup_{\varphi \in m} \|\varphi - T_{\alpha,n} A\varphi\| + \|T_{\alpha,n}\| \delta = \min, \quad (12)$$

are called **optimal on the set m of uniform regularization for a given $\delta > 0$** .

Theorem 1. *In order that the two-parameter operator $T_{\alpha,n}$ be regularizing, it is sufficient that $T_{\alpha,\infty} = T_\alpha$ be a one-parameter regularizing operator and*

$$\|T_\alpha - T_{\alpha,n}\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (13)$$

uniformly on any interval $0 < \alpha_0^ \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_0$.*

Proof follows from the inequality

$$\|\varphi - T_{\alpha,n}A\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi - T_{\alpha}A\varphi\| + \|T_{\alpha} - T_{\alpha,n}\| \|A\varphi\|. \quad (14)$$

Let us proceed to the construction of methods for the approximate solution of integral equations of convolution type (1)–(4) by means of computationally finitely supported two-parameter regularizing operators. We shall seek the latter in the class of operators

$$S_{\alpha,n} = \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k E_{\alpha}^k, \quad (15)$$

where E_{α} is the shift operator, $E_{\alpha}^k\{f(x)\} = f(x + k\alpha)$, and the c_k are numerical coefficients depending on α and n . The approximate solution $\varphi_{\alpha,n}(x)$ of equation (1) on the basis of the operator $S_{\alpha,n}$ is determined by the expression

$$\varphi_{\alpha,n}(x) = \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k f(x + k\alpha). \quad (16)$$

Clearly, under the conditions for the existence of a solution $\varphi(x) \in L^2$ of equation (1),

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_{\alpha,n}\|_{L_2} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(t)|^2 \left| \frac{1}{k(t)} - \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ik\alpha t} \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad (17)$$

where $F(t)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $f(x)$.

A natural method is the choice of coefficients from the conditions of expanding the function $1/k(t)$ in a Fourier series on the interval $|t| \leq \pi/\alpha$:

$$c_k = c_k(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/\alpha}^{+\pi/\alpha} \frac{e^{ik\alpha t}}{k(t)} dt, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (18)$$

Consider the subclass of integral equations (1)–(4) satisfying the additional conditions, fulfilled for all $\alpha > 0$:

$$\mu(\alpha) = \sup_{|t| \leq \pi/\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{k(t)} \right| < +\infty; \quad (19)$$

$$|1 - k(t)/k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)| \leq C, \quad |t| > \pi/\alpha, \quad (20)$$

where C is an absolute constant, and $k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)$ is the $2\pi/\alpha$ -periodic repetition of the values of the function $k(t)$ on the interval $|t| \leq \pi/\alpha$.

Theorem 2. In order that, under conditions (19)–(20), the operator $S_{\alpha,n}$ (15) with coefficients (18) be regularizing, it is sufficient that the expansion

$$\frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} c_k(\alpha) e^{-ik\alpha t}, \quad -\infty \leq t \leq +\infty, \quad (21)$$

converge uniformly for all $\alpha > 0$.

Proof. The operator $S_{\alpha,n}$ is bounded for arbitrary $\alpha > 0$, $n < +\infty$:

$$\|S_{\alpha,n}\| = \sup_t \left| \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ik\alpha t} \right| < +\infty. \quad (22)$$

The operator $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha,\infty}$ is regularizing. Indeed,

$$\|S_\alpha\| = \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(t)|^2 \left| \frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = \sup_{|t| \leq \pi/2} \left| \frac{1}{k(t)} \right| = \mu(\alpha) < +\infty \quad (23)$$

and ($\Phi(t)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $\varphi(x)$):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi - S_\alpha A\varphi\| &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(t)|^2 \left| \frac{1}{k(t)} - \frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\pi/\alpha} |\Phi(t)|^2 dt + \int_{\pi/\alpha}^{+\infty} |\Phi(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and since

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Phi(t)|^2 dt < +\infty,$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ the right-hand side in (24) tends to zero. Since, in addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_\alpha - S_{\alpha,n}\| &= \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F(t)|^2 \left| \frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} - \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ik\alpha t} \right|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sup_{|t| \leq \pi/\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} - \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ik\alpha t} \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and, by virtue of the uniform convergence (21), tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the validity of Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1.

Let $\varphi_\sigma(x) \in L^2$ be a collection of functions whose spectra $\Phi_\sigma(t)$ satisfy the boundedness condition:

$$\Phi_\sigma(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } |t| > \sigma. \quad (26)$$

The set m of functions φ on which the two-parameter regularizing operator $S_{\alpha,n}$ admits uniform regularization can be specified as the collection of functions with bounded spectrum (26), with $\|\varphi_\sigma\| \leq N$.

In this case, for $\varphi = \varphi_\sigma \in m$, we have the estimate

$$\|\varphi_\sigma - S_{\alpha,n} A \varphi_\sigma\| \leq N \sup_{|t| \leq \sigma} \left| \frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} - \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ikat} \right| + \delta \sup_{|t| \leq \sigma} \left| \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ikat} \right|. \quad (27)$$

The operator $S_{\alpha,n}$ optimal on the set $\varphi_\sigma \in m$, for a given δ , is determined by the condition

$$\frac{\delta}{N} \sup_{|t| \leq \sigma} \left| \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ikat} \right| + \sup_{|t| \leq \sigma} \left| \frac{1}{k_{\text{per}}^{(\alpha)}(t)} - \sum_{-n}^{+n} c_k e^{-ikat} \right| = \min. \quad (28)$$

Up to now we have considered regularization on the whole space L^2 . However, regularization may also be considered on certain sets E of functions from L^2 admitting a stronger metrization. In these cases, the operator regularizing on the set E is defined analogously, with the norm from L^2 in (7) and (11) replaced by the stronger norm of this set.

Theorem 3. Let $L_{(k+1)}^2$ be the set of functions differentiable $k+1$ times, with derivatives belonging to L^2 . Under the condition $\varphi(x) \in L_{(k+1)}^2$ and the fulfillment of the restrictions (19)–(21), the operator $S_{\alpha,n}$ is regularizing in the sense of uniform convergence

$$\max_x |d^r \varphi(x)/dx^r - d^r \varphi_{\alpha,n}(x)/dx^r| \rightarrow 0, \quad r \leq k, \quad (29)$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, and convergence

$$\|d^{k+1} \varphi(x)/dx^{k+1} - d^{k+1} \varphi_{\alpha,n}(x)/dx^{k+1}\| \rightarrow 0$$

in the space L^2 .

Schmidt Institute of Physics of the Earth,
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
16 II 1967

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. M. Lavrent' ev, *On Some Ill-Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics*, Publishing House of the Siberian Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1962.
2. M. M. Lavrent' ev, V. G. Vasil' ev, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zhurn.*, **7**, No. 3, 559 (1966).
3. A. N. Tikhonov, *DAN*, **151**, No. 3, 501 (1963).
4. A. N. Tikhonov, *DAN*, **153**, No. 1, 49 (1963).
5. V. K. Ivanov, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zhurn.*, **7**, No. 3, 546 (1955).
6. V. N. Strakhov, *DAN*, **153**, No. 3, 533 (1963).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.