



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# TRANSVERSAL MAPPINGS OF FOLIATIONS

MATHEMATICS

1968

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.50350>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 513.836

*MATHEMATICS*

**M. L. GROMOV**

## TRANSVERSAL MAPPINGS OF FOLIATIONS

*(Presented by Academician L. S. Pontryagin, 22 I 1968)*

**1. Introduction.** A distribution (see (1)) on a smooth manifold  $M$  (which in what follows will be assumed connected, without boundary, but not necessarily compact), defined by a subbundle  $\xi$  of the tangent bundle  $\tau(M)$ , will be denoted by  $(M, \xi)$ . The dimension  $\dim T$  of the distribution  $T = (M, \xi)$  will mean the dimension  $\dim \xi$ , and its codimension  $\text{codim } T$  the difference  $\dim M - \dim \xi$ . Involutive distributions (see (1)) will be called foliations. If two distributions  $S = (M, \xi)$ ,  $T = (N, \theta)$  and a smooth mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$  are given, then by  $D_f : \xi \rightarrow \tau(N)/\theta$  we denote the composition of three homomorphisms: the inclusion  $\xi \rightarrow \tau(M)$ , the differential  $d_f : \tau(M) \rightarrow \tau(N)$ , and the projection  $\tau(N) \rightarrow \tau(N)/\theta$ . We shall call the mapping  $f$  transversal with respect to the distributions  $S, T$  if the homomorphism  $D_f$  is injective (i.e., its restriction to each fiber is injective).

On every manifold  $M$  there exist two standard distributions  $T_0(M) = (M, 0)$ , where  $0$  is the zero-dimensional bundle, and  $T_\tau(M) = (M, \tau)$ , where  $\tau$  is the tangent bundle  $\tau(M)$ . It is obvious that a smooth mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is an embedding (immersion) if and only if this mapping is transversal with respect to the distributions  $T_\tau(M), T_0(N)$ .

If  $S = (M, \xi)$  and  $T = (N, \theta)$  are distributions, then by  $i(S, T)$  we denote the space of all smooth mappings  $M \rightarrow N$  that are transversal with respect to  $S, T$ . If  $K \subset M$  is an arbitrary submanifold, then by  $I_K(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$  we denote the space of all injections of the restriction  $\xi_K$  of the bundle  $\xi$  to  $K$  into the bundle  $\tau(N)/\theta$ . The correspondence  $f \rightarrow D_f$  defines a continuous mapping

$$D : i(S, T) \rightarrow I_M(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta).$$

It was shown by S. Smale and M. Hirsch in (6, 4) that, for  $\dim M < \dim N$ , the mapping

$$D_* : \pi_0(i(T_\tau(M), T_0(N))) \rightarrow \pi_0(I_M(\tau(M), \tau(N)))$$

is one-to-one and onto. In fact, in these works it is proved, though not explicitly formulated, that for  $\dim M < \dim N$  the mapping  $D$  is a weak homotopy

equivalence. In the present paper this result is extended to the case of more general distributions.

**Theorem 1.** *Let a foliation  $S = (M, \xi)$  and a distribution  $T = (N, \theta)$  be given, with  $\dim S \leq \text{codim } T$ . Then the mapping*

$$D : i(S, T) \rightarrow I_M(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$$

*is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

The theorem 2, corollaries A, B, C, and theorem 3 given below follow directly from theorem 1. If  $S = (M, \xi)$  is a foliation, then a smooth mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$  will be called an  $S$ -embedding if the restriction of  $f$  to the leaves of the foliation  $S$  is an embedding, or, equivalently, if the mapping  $f$  is transversal with respect to the distributions  $S, T_0(N)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $S = (M, \xi)$  is a foliation, then for the existence of an  $S$ -embedding  $f : M \rightarrow N$  homotopic to a given continuous mapping  $g : M \rightarrow N$ , with  $\dim \xi < \dim N$ , it is necessary and sufficient that there exist a vector bundle  $\alpha$  on the manifold  $M$  such that*

$$\alpha \oplus \xi = g!(\tau(N)).$$

**Corollary A.** *Suppose that on the manifold  $M$  a smooth action without ne-fixed points is the group  $R^1$ . Then there exists a smooth mapping  $f : M \rightarrow R^2$ , whose restriction to each trajectory is an immersion.*

**Corollary B.** *For any  $k$ -dimensional foliation  $S$  on Euclidean space  $R^n$  there exists a smooth  $S$ -immersion  $f : R^n \rightarrow R^{k+1}$ .*

**Corollary C.** *If on a manifold  $M$  there exist  $q$  linearly independent commuting vector fields, then there exists a smooth mapping  $f : M \rightarrow R^{q+1}$ , the rank of whose differential at every point is not less than  $q$ .*

Let  $T = (M, \xi)$  be a distribution on a compact oriented  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ . We shall call a homology class  $x \in H_i(M, Z)$  transversally realizable with respect to the distribution  $T$  if there exist a smooth closed oriented  $i$ -dimensional manifold  $X$  and a smooth mapping  $f : X \rightarrow M$ , transverse with respect to the distributions  $T_\tau(X), T$ , such that the image of the fundamental class of the manifold  $X$  is carried by the mapping  $f$  into the class  $x$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a vector bundle over the manifold  $M$ , then denote by  $x_\alpha$  the cohomology class from the group  $H^{n-i+\dim \alpha}(M^\alpha, Z)$  (where  $M^\alpha$  is the Thom space of the bundle  $\alpha$ ) obtained from the class  $x$  by applying Poincaré duality and the Thom isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.** *In order that the class  $x$  be transversally realizable with respect to the distribution  $T$  for  $n - i > k = \dim \xi$ , it is necessary and sufficient that, for a vector bundle  $\alpha$  of sufficiently high dimension such that  $\alpha \oplus \xi = E$  ( $E$  is*

the trivial bundle), the cohomology class  $x^\alpha$  be realizable with respect to the group  $SO(n - k - i)$  (see (7)).

**Corollary.** For any  $x \in H_i(M, Z)$  with  $k < n - i$  there exists a natural number  $m$  such that the class  $mx$  is transversally realizable with respect to  $T$ .

We note that a result analogous to Theorem 3 is valid for homology classes modulo 2 of nonorientable manifolds, and also for homology classes with noncompact carriers in the case of open manifolds.

**Plan of the further exposition.** Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 4 and 6. The proof of Theorem 5 and of Theorem 6 following from it rests on Propositions 1 and 2. In addition to Theorems 5 and 6, in § 4 some corollaries of Theorem 6 are formulated which supplement Theorem 1. In § 5 results of a geometric character are given, whose proof can be carried out according to the same scheme as the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. Triangulation of foliations

For each pair of nonnegative integers  $k, p$ , where  $k \geq p$ , fix a Euclidean space  $R^k$  and a rectilinear  $p$ -dimensional simplex  $s_p \subset R^k$ . The direct product  $s_p \times s_q \subset R^k \times R^l$  will be called the standard bicell  $s_{pq}^{kl}$ .

Consider on a manifold  $M$  a foliation  $T$ , and put  $k = \dim T$ ,  $l = \text{codim } T$ . A cellular decomposition  $\widetilde{M}$  of the manifold  $M^n$  will be called regular with respect to the foliation  $T$  if for every closed cell  $\sigma \in \widetilde{M}$  there exist nonnegative numbers  $p, q$  and a diffeomorphism  $f_\sigma$  of some neighborhood  $U \subset R^k \times R^l$  of the standard bicell  $s_{pq}^{kl} \subset R^k \times R^l$  into the manifold  $M^n$ , possessing two properties: a) the diffeomorphism  $f_\sigma$  carries the  $k$ -dimensional layers into which the neighborhood  $U \subset R^k \times R^l$  is naturally decomposed into layers of the foliation  $T$ ; b) the bicell  $s_{pq}^{kl} \subset U$  is thereby mapped diffeomorphically onto the cell  $\sigma$ . We note that a cellular decomposition regular with respect to  $T$  is a subdivision of some smooth triangulation of the manifold  $M^n$ . Whitehead's triangulation theory<sup>(8)</sup> can be transferred to smooth foliations; in particular, the following is valid.

**Theorem 4.** For any foliation  $T$  on a smooth manifold  $M$  there exists a cellular decomposition  $\widetilde{M}$  regular with respect to  $T$ .

3. **Homotopy sheaves.** With each  $CW$ -complex  $K$  there is associated the category  $\mathcal{K}$  of all its (closed) subcomplexes and their inclusions into one another. A contravariant functor from  $\mathcal{K}$  to the category  $\mathcal{T}$  of topological spaces and continuous maps will be called a topological presheaf over  $K$ . Since the closed subcomplexes of the complex  $K$  satisfy the axioms for the open sets of a certain topology, and the objects of the category  $\mathcal{T}$  are sets while the morphisms are maps, one can (see (2)) single out the notion of a topological sheaf over  $K$ . A presheaf (sheaf) will be called a homotopy presheaf (sheaf) if its values on the morphisms of the category  $\mathcal{K}$  are Serre fibrations. We shall call a homomorphism  $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_2$  of presheaves  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  over the complex  $K$  a natural transformation of functors  $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_2$ . A

homomorphism  $\varphi : \Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_2$  will be called a weak homotopy equivalence if, for any subcomplex  $L \subset K$ , the continuous map  $\varphi_L : \Phi_1(L) \rightarrow \Phi_2(L)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.

**Proposition 1.** *In order that a topological sheaf  $\Phi$  over the complex  $K$  be a homotopy sheaf, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every closed cell  $\bar{\sigma} \subset K$  and inclusion  $i : \dot{\sigma} \rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$  of the boundary  $\dot{\sigma}$ , the continuous map  $\Phi(i)$  be a Serre fibration.*

**Proposition 2.** *In order that a homomorphism  $\varphi : \Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_2$  of homotopy sheaves  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  over the complex  $K$  be a weak homotopy equivalence, it is necessary and sufficient that, for every closed cell  $\bar{\sigma} \subset K$ , the continuous map  $\varphi_{\bar{\sigma}} : \Phi_1(\bar{\sigma}) \rightarrow \Phi_2(\bar{\sigma})$  be a weak homotopy equivalence.*

4. **Topological sheaves of germs of maps.** Let  $S = (M, \xi)$  be a foliation,  $T = (N, \theta)$  a distribution,  $\widetilde{M}$  a decomposition of the manifold  $M$  regular with respect to  $S$ , and  $K$  a subcomplex of the decomposition  $\widetilde{M}$ .

Denote by  $F_K(S, T)$  the topological sheaf over the complex  $K$  which assigns to each subcomplex  $L \subset K$  the inductive limit of the spaces  $i(S_{U_j}, T)$  over all neighborhoods  $U_j \subset M$  of the complex  $L \subset M$  ( $S_{U_j}$  is the restriction of the foliation  $S$  to the neighborhood  $U_j$ ). To an inclusion  $Q \subset L$  the sheaf  $F_K$  assigns the map arising, under passage to the inductive limit, from the restriction maps  $I(S_U, T) \rightarrow I(S_V, T)$  ( $U \supset V$ ).

**Theorem 5.** *If  $\dim S \leq \text{codim} T$  and  $\dim K < \text{codim} T$ , then the sheaf  $F_K(S, T)$  is a homotopy sheaf.*

The proof of Theorem 5 in the special case where the complex  $K$  is a standard plaque is carried out by direct geometric arguments. The general case reduces to this special one by virtue of Proposition 1.

Denote by  $\Phi_K(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$  the topological sheaf which assigns to each subcomplex  $L \subset K$  the space  $I_L(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$ , and to an inclusion  $Q \subset L$  the restriction  $I_L(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta) \rightarrow I_Q(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$ . It is clear that the sheaf  $\Phi_K(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$  is a homotopy sheaf. For all open sets  $U \subset M$  continuous maps  $D : i(U_S, T) \rightarrow I_U(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$  are defined, by means of which a homomorphism  $D_K : F_K(S, T) \rightarrow \Phi_K(\xi, \tau(N)/\theta)$  is constructed.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $\dim S \leq \text{codim} T$  and  $\dim K < \text{codim} T$ , then  $D_K$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

The proof of Theorem 6 consists in reducing it, by means of Theorem 5 and Proposition 2, to the special case of a standard plaque. The proof in that case is carried out by simple geometric arguments.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 6 is

**Theorem 7.** *If  $\dim S < \text{codim} T$ , then a continuous map  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , homotopic to a map  $g : M \rightarrow N$  transverse with respect to  $S, T$ , can be approximated*

by a map  $F$  transverse with respect to  $S, T$ .

Using the results of paper (5) and Theorem 6, we obtain:

**Theorem 8.** *If  $M$  is an open manifold, then the continuous mapping*

$$D : i(T_\tau(M), T) \rightarrow I_M(\tau(M), \tau(N)/\theta)$$

*is a weak homotopy equivalence. (Here it is not assumed that  $\dim M < \text{codim } T$ .)*

The notion of transversality introduced by us generalizes to the case of foliations on continuous mappings.

Let  $S, T$  be foliations on manifolds  $M$  and  $N$ . A mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is called topologically transversal with respect to  $S, T$  if, for any two leaves  $s \subset M$  and  $t \subset N$  and any two points  $\mu \in s$  and  $\nu \in t$ , there exist neighborhoods  $U_\mu \subset s$  and  $U_\nu \subset t$  such that the intersection  $f^{-1}(U_\nu) \cap U_\mu$  consists of no more than one point. Using the argument of paper (3) and Theorems 6, 7, we obtain:

**Theorem 9.** *If  $\frac{1}{2} \dim M < \text{codim } T - \dim S$ , then any continuous mapping  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , topologically transversal with respect to  $S, T$ , can be approximated by a smooth mapping transversal with respect to  $S, T$ .*

**5. Metric theorems.** Let distributions  $S = (M, \xi)$ ,  $T = (N, \theta)$  be given, and let a Riemannian metric be introduced in the manifold  $N$ . Denote by  $i_\varepsilon(S, T) \subset i(S, T)$  the subset formed by those smooth mappings  $f \in i(S, T)$  that have the following property: if  $x_\nu \in \theta$  and  $y_\nu \in \text{Im } d_f$  are unit tangent vectors at the point  $\nu \in N$ , then  $\langle x_\nu, y_\nu \rangle < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 10.** *If  $S$  is a foliation,  $\dim S < \text{codim } T$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , then the inclusion  $i_\varepsilon(S, T) \subset i(S, T)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Theorem 1 of the present paper can be interpreted as a theorem on the existence of a secant surface of a special kind in the trivial skew product  $M \times N \rightarrow M$ . For an arbitrary smooth skew product  $X \rightarrow M$ , foliation  $(M, \xi)$ , and distribution  $(X, \theta)$ , one can prove an analogous theorem. We formulate the corresponding result in one special case.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $M$  be a manifold with affine connection and  $N$  a submanifold of smaller dimension. Then there exists on the manifold  $M$  a vector field  $X$ , affinely nondegenerate at each point  $\nu \in N$ . (For any vector  $y_\nu \neq 0$ , the covariant derivative  $\nabla_{y_\nu}(x)$  is nonzero.)*

We note that in the case of a flat connection (i.e., a connection with trivial holonomy group), Theorem 11 is equivalent to Hirsch's theorem on immersing a manifold in Euclidean space.

I express my gratitude to Prof. V. A. Rokhlin for his interest in this work and for valuable advice.

Leningrad State University  
named after A. A. Zhdanov

Received  
16 I 1968

## REFERENCES

1. R. Bishop, R. Crittenden, *Geometry of Manifolds*, Moscow, 1967.
2. R. Godement, *Algebraic Topology and the Theory of Sheaves*, Moscow, 1961.
3. A. Haefliger, M. Hirsch, *Ann. Math.*, **75**, No. 2, 231 (1962).
4. M. Hirsch, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **93**, 242 (1959).
5. M. Hirsch, *Ann. Math.*, **74**, No. 3 (1961).
6. S. Smale, *Ann. Math.*, **69**, No. 2, 327 (1959).
7. R. Thom, in: *Foliated Spaces*, Moscow, 1958.
8. S. H. C. Whitehead, *Ann. Math.*, **41**, No. 4, 809 (1940).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*