

**$\setminus(s\setminus)$ -CAPACITY AND  
THE BEHAVIOR OF  
THE SOLUTION OF A  
SECOND-ORDER  
ELLIPTIC EQUATION  
WITH  
DISCONTINUOUS  
COEFFICIENTS IN A  
NEIGHBORHOOD OF A  
BOUNDARY POINT**

MATHEMATICS

1968

SovietRxiv

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 517.946

*MATHEMATICS*

E. M. LANDIS

***s*-CAPACITY AND THE BEHAVIOR OF THE SOLUTION OF A SECOND-ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATION WITH DISCONTINUOUS COEFFICIENTS IN A NEIGHBORHOOD OF A BOUNDARY POINT**

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovsky on 24 VI 1967)*

In this note we consider a linear elliptic equation of the second order

$$Lu \equiv \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u = 0. \quad (1)$$

It is assumed that there exist two positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $M$  such that, in the domain where the equation is considered,

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} \xi_i \xi_k \geq \alpha |\xi|^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} < M; \quad (2)$$

$$|b_i| < 1, \quad -1 < c \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

By a solution of such an equation we shall mean a classical solution—a continuous function possessing the derivatives entering into the equation and satisfying the equation identically.

In the note the question of the regularity of a boundary point for the Dirichlet problem for this equation will be considered.

If in equation (1) the coefficients of the highest derivatives are smooth or even merely continuous, but with a modulus of continuity satisfying the Dini condition, then the conditions for regularity of a boundary point are the same as for the Laplace equation <sup>(1-3)</sup>. The same will be true if the equation has divergence form (the coefficients in this case may be arbitrary bounded ones) <sup>(4)</sup>. In the general case, however, as is shown by the example given in Sec. 3°, this is no longer so.

**Definition 1.** Let positive numbers  $\alpha$  and  $M$  be given. A point  $x^0$  of the boundary  $\Gamma$  of a domain  $D$  is called  $\alpha, M$ -regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem if there exists a continuous function  $\omega(r)$ ,  $0 \leq r < r_0$ ,  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\omega(r) > 0$  for  $r > 0$ , such that, whatever the neighborhood  $\sigma$  of the point  $x^0$ , the domain  $D' \subset D$  with boundary  $\Gamma'$ , and the equation (1), for which inequalities (2) hold with the given constants  $\alpha, M$ , and whatever the solution  $u(x) < 1$  of this equation, continuous on  $D' \cup \Gamma'$  and nonpositive on the intersection  $\Gamma' \cap \sigma$ , there is a neighborhood  $\sigma'$  of the point  $x^0$ , depending only on  $\sigma, \alpha$ , and  $M$ , such that  $u(x) < \omega(|x - x^0|)$  for  $x \in \sigma' \cap D$ .

We shall give sufficient conditions for regularity of a boundary point.

1°.  **$s$ -capacity.** Let  $s$  be a positive number. Let  $E$  be a  $B$ -set of  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Consider all possible measures  $\mu$ , defined on subsets of the set  $E$ , such that

$$\int_E \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x - y|^s} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \notin E; \quad (4)$$

the number  $C_s(E) = \sup \mu(E)$ , where the least upper bound is taken over all measures  $\mu$  satisfying inequality (4), will be called the  $s$ -capacity of the set  $E$ .

**Lemma 1.** The  $s$ -capacity of a ball of radius  $R$  is not less than  $R^s$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $s > 1$  and let  $\Pi_{\rho, h}$  be the cylinder:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2 < \rho^2, \quad 0 < x_n < h,$$

where  $\rho < h$ . Then  $C_s(\Pi_{\rho, h}) \geq Ch\rho^{s-1}$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant depending on  $s$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $s < n$ ; let  $E$  be located inside the ball of unit radius. Then  $C_s(E) \geq \eta \text{mes } E$ , where  $\eta > 0$  is a constant depending on  $s$  and  $n$  (by  $\text{mes } E$  is denoted the Lebesgue measure of the set  $E$ ).

**Lemma 4.** For any  $a > 0$  and  $M > 0$  there exist  $s > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that, for every operator  $L$  of the form (1) satisfying inequalities (2) and (3), the inequality

$$L(1/|x - x^0|^s) \geq 0$$

holds, where  $x^0$  is a fixed point of  $R_n$ ,  $x$  is a point of the domain where the operator  $L$  is defined, and  $|x - x^0| < r_0$ .

In this case we shall say that the  $s$ -capacity is **upper** for the operator  $L$  in radius  $r_0$ .

**Remark 1.** The number  $s$  can be made to depend only on the ratio  $M/a$ .

The proof of these lemmas is elementary.

**2°. Sufficient conditions for regularity of a boundary point.** In what follows, by  $Q_R^{x^0}$  we shall denote the ball of radius  $R$  with center at the point  $x^0$ .

**Lemma 5 (main).** Let a domain  $D$  be situated in the ball  $Q_{4R}^{x^0}$ , have limit points on the surface of the ball  $Q_R^{x^0}$ , and intersect the ball  $Q_R^{x^0}$ . Let  $H$  be the intersection of the complement of the domain  $D$  with the ball  $Q_R^{x^0}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be that part of the boundary of the domain  $D$  which is situated strictly inside  $Q_{4R}^{x^0}$ . Let equation (1) be defined in  $D$ . Let  $u(x)$  be its solution, positive in  $D$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , and vanishing on  $\Gamma$ . Let the numbers  $s > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$  be such that the  $s$ -capacity is upper for the operator  $L$  in radius  $r_0$  and  $8R < r_0$ .

Then

$$\max_{\bar{D}} u \geq \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi}{R^s} C_s(H) \right] \max_{D \cap Q_R^{x^0}} u,$$

where  $\xi > 0$  is a constant depending on  $s$ .

**Proof.** Choose an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let the measure  $\mu$  be such that

$$U(x) = \int_H \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^s} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \notin H, \quad \mu(H) > C_s(H) - \varepsilon.$$

Let

$$M = \max_{\bar{D}} u.$$

Put

$$v(x) = M \left[ 1 - U + \frac{C_s(H)}{(3R)^s} \right].$$

We have  $Lv \leq 0$  in  $D$ , and  $v$  is not less than  $u$  on the boundary of the domain  $D$ . Therefore

$$\max_{D \cap Q_R^{x^0}} u \leq \max_{D \cap Q_R^{x^0}} v \leq M \left[ 1 - \frac{C_s(H)}{R^s} \left( \frac{1}{2^s} - \frac{1}{3^s} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{(3R)^s} \right],$$

which, by the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ , proves the lemma.

With the help of Lemma 5 one proves

**Lemma 6.** Let, in the spherical layer

$$R < |x - x^0| < 4R,$$

there be situated a domain  $D$ , having limit points on both spheres  $|x - x^0| = R$  and  $|x - x^0| = 4R$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be that part of the boundary of the domain  $D$  which is situated strictly inside the layer. Let equation (1) be defined in  $D$ , and let  $u(x)$  be its solution, positive in  $D$ , continuous in  $\bar{D}$ , and vanishing on  $\Gamma$ . Let the numbers  $s > 0$  and  $r_0 > 0$  be such that the  $s$ -capacity is upper for the operator  $L$  in radius  $r_0$  and  $8R < r_0$ .

Then

$$\max_{x \in \bar{D}} u(x) > \left[ 1 + \frac{\zeta C_s(H)}{R^s} \right] \max_{x \in D, |x-x^0|=2R} u(x),$$

where  $\zeta$  is a constant depending on  $s$  and  $r_0$ , and  $H = (Q_{3R}^{x^0} \setminus Q_{2R}^{x^0}) \setminus D$ .

With the aid of Lemma 5 one proves

**Theorem 1.** Let there be a domain  $D$  with boundary  $\Gamma$ , and let  $x^0 \in \Gamma$ . Denote by  $H$  the complement of the domain  $D$ . Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $M > 0$  be given numbers, and let  $s > 0$  be such that  $s$ -capacity is an upper one for every operator  $L$  satisfying inequality (2).

Set  $\gamma_m = C_s(H \cap Q_{2^{-m}}^{x^0})$ , and suppose that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{ms} \gamma_m = \infty. \quad (5)$$

Then the point  $x^0$  is  $\alpha, M$ -regular, and, if we denote

$$S(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{[t]} 2^{ms} \gamma_m,$$

then for the function  $\omega(r)$ , for sufficiently small  $r$ , the estimate

$$\omega(r) < e^{-aS(|\ln r|)}$$

will hold, where the constant  $a > 0$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $M$ .

In the case where the coefficients of the equation satisfy the Hölder condition, an analogous result was obtained in [5].

With the aid of Lemma 6 one proves

**Theorem 2.** Let  $D, \Gamma$ , and  $x^0$  have the same meaning as in Theorem 1. Let equation (1) be defined in  $D$ , and let  $s > 0$  be such that  $s$ -capacity is upper for the operator  $L$ . Let  $\gamma_m$  have the same meaning as above, and let condition (5) be fulfilled. Then a bounded solution of equation (1), continuous on  $\Gamma$  in a neighborhood of  $x^0$ , is also continuous at  $x^0$ .

Such a point will be called  $O$ -regular.

From Lemma 1 and Theorems 1 and 2 it follows that

**Theorem 3.** If a boundary point of a domain can be touched by a vertex cone from outside the domain, then such a point is regular (in both senses) for any equation (1), and as  $\omega(r)$  one may take  $r^\beta$  for sufficiently small  $\beta > 0$  ( $\beta$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $M$ , more precisely on their ratio).

Lemma 2 makes it possible to give a milder sufficient condition for regularity:

**Theorem 4.** Let the point  $x^0$  of the boundary  $\Gamma$  of the domain  $D$  possess the following property. One can introduce an orthogonal coordinate system  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  with origin at this point such that the points belonging to the cusp

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i^2 \right)^{1/2} < \frac{y_n}{(\ln 1/y_n)^{1/(s-1)}}, \quad 0 < y_n < h \quad (6)$$

for some  $h$ ,  $0 < h < 1$ , do not belong to the domain  $D$ . Then the point  $x^0$  is  $O$ -regular for every equation (1) for which  $s$ -capacity is upper. For any  $\alpha$  and  $M$  to which this  $s$  corresponds, the point  $x^0$  is  $\alpha, M$ -regular.

This condition corresponds to the Uryson condition [6] for the Laplace equation when  $n \geq 4$ . It is all the more interesting that when  $n = 3$ , for a general equation (1), in contrast to the Laplace equation, this condition cannot be substantially weakened, as is shown by the example given in the following section.

If  $M/\alpha < n + 2$ , then one can find  $s < n$  for which  $s$ -capacity is upper for the corresponding equations. Lemma 3 permits, in this case, replacing in Theorems 1 and 2 the capacity of the intersection  $H \cap Q_{2^{-m}}^{x^0}$  by its Lebesgue measure.

**3°. One example of an irregular point.** For any  $\delta > 0$  one can find a homogeneous elliptic equation with three independent

variables

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^3 a_{ik}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} = 0,$$

whose coefficients differ from the coefficients of Laplace's equation by less than  $\delta$ , defined in a domain  $D$ , the boundary  $\Gamma$  of which has a point  $x^0$  of the same type as in Theorem 4, but with another exponent of the logarithm in inequality (6):

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i^2 \right)^{1/2} < \frac{y_n}{(\ln 1/y_n)^a},$$

where  $a > 0$  is a number depending on  $\delta$ , and find a solution  $u(x)$  of this equation in the domain  $D$  such that:  $u(x)$  is bounded,  $u(x)$  is continuous at all boundary points except  $x^0$ , in a neighborhood of  $x^0$  it assumes on the boundary the value equal to one, and, at the same time,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x^0} u(x) < 1.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and  $a > (1 + \varepsilon)/\varepsilon \ln 2$ . Put  $s = 1 + \varepsilon$ . Put

$$u(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{m=N}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-m(s-1)}}{m^s} \int_{2^{-(m+1)}}^{2^{-m}} \frac{dt}{[x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_3 - t)^2]^{s/2}}.$$

The function  $u$  is defined outside the positive half-axis  $x_3$ . Choose the number  $N$  so that  $u(0, 0, 0) < 1/2$ , which is possible, since at this point the series converges. The set of points where  $u(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 1$  forms a funnel  $B$  around the positive half-axis  $x_3$ , containing the funnel

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i^2 \right)^{1/2} < \frac{x_3}{(\ln 1/x_3)^a}, \quad 0 < x_3 < 2^{-N}.$$

Take as the domain  $D$  the ball of radius  $2^{-N}$  with center at the origin, from which the funnel  $\bar{B}$  has been removed.

We now construct an equation whose solution is  $u(x)$ . Through every point  $x \in D$  draw a plane  $\pi$  through this point and the axis  $x_3$  (at points of the axis  $x_3, x_3 < 0$ , one may take as  $\pi$  any plane passing through the axis  $x_3$ ). Introduce a local orthogonal coordinate system  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  so that the axes  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  lie in the plane  $\pi$ . At this point  $x$ , in the coordinates  $\xi$ , define the equation as follows:

$$\frac{2}{2 + \varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi_2^2} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi_3^2} = 0.$$

**Remark 2.** The condition of nonnegativity of the coefficients  $C(x)$  can be replaced by the condition that this coefficient be bounded from above.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
25 VI 1967

## REFERENCES

1. O. A. Oleinik, *Matem. sborn.*, **24** (66), 1, 3 (1949).
2. R. H. Hervé, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **12**, 415 (1962).
3. N. V. Krylov, *UMN*, **21**, 2 (128), 233 (1966).
4. W. Littman, G. Stampacchia, N. F. Weinberger, *Regular Points for Elliptic Equations with Discontinuous Coefficients*, Minnesota, 1962.

5. V. G. Maz' ya, *Collection: Problems of Mathematical Analysis*, Leningrad, 1966, p. 45.

6. P. S. Urysohn, *Math. Zs.*, **23**, 155 (1925).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*