

# ON THE PRESERVATION OF THE MULTIPLICITY OF A BASE UNDER A PERFECT MAPPING

MATHEMATICS

1968

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.21105>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 513.83

**MATHEMATICS**

**V. V. Filippov**

## ON THE PRESERVATION OF THE MULTIPLICITY OF A BASE UNDER A PERFECT MAPPING

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on XII 1, 1967)*

In the present note a positive answer is given to the question posed by P. S. Aleksandrov: is the multiplicity of a base preserved under a perfect mapping?

**Definition\***. We say that the **multiplicity of a family  $\Phi$  of subsets of a set  $F$  does not exceed the cardinal number  $\tau$** , if each point of the set  $F$  is contained in no more than  $\tau$  elements of the family  $\Phi$ .

In fact it turned out that even the following is true.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a pseudo-open bicomact mapping of a  $T_1$  space  $X$ , possessing a base of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ , onto the space  $Y$ . Then  $Y$  also possesses a base of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ .*\*

**Corollary.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect mapping, and let  $X$  have a base of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ . Then  $Y$  also has a base of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ .*

This is precisely the answer to P. S. Aleksandrov's question mentioned above.

In the present note we shall give a direct proof of the corollary and an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

We begin the proof of the corollary with the following lemma.

**Lemma.** *Let  $Z' \subseteq Z$  be arbitrary sets, and let  $\theta', \theta$  be families of subsets, respectively of  $Z'$  and  $Z$ , whose multiplicity does not exceed  $\tau$ . Consider the sets*

$$\gamma_{\theta'} = \bigcup \{ \vartheta, \vartheta \in \theta, \emptyset \neq \vartheta \cap Z' \subseteq \vartheta' \}.$$

*Under the assumptions made, the multiplicity of the family  $\Gamma = \{ \gamma_{\vartheta'} \}_{\vartheta' \in \theta'}$  does not exceed  $\tau$ .*

First of all, the set  $\vartheta \cap Z'$  cannot be contained in more than  $\tau$  elements of the family  $\theta'$ , since the multiplicity of  $\theta'$  is not greater than  $\tau$ . Therefore  $\vartheta \in \theta$

occurs as a summand in no more than  $\tau$  sets  $\gamma_{\vartheta'}$ . A point  $z \in Z$  is contained only in those elements  $\gamma_{\vartheta'} \in \Gamma$  for which there is a  $\vartheta \in \theta$ , contained in  $\gamma_{\vartheta'} \in \Gamma$  as a summand and containing  $z$ ; and, by what has been said above, there are no more than  $\tau^2 = \tau$  such elements, as was required.

Let  $B^X$  be a fixed base of the space  $X$ , whose multiplicity is  $\leq \tau$ , and let  $B_n^X$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be the set of families of elements of the base  $B^X$

\* All other definitions, as well as the necessary comments, may be found in (1).

\*\* Here, and everywhere below, although this is not specially stated, we require that the image space be a  $T_1$ -space, so that A. S. Mishchenko's theorem (2) may be used. All mappings are assumed to be mappings onto.

\*\*\* *Note added in proof.* After submitting the article to the editors the author obtained a stronger result:

**Theorem.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a bifactorial  $\tau$ -mapping of a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ , possessing a base of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ , onto a space  $Y$ . Then  $Y$  also possesses a base of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ .*

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called **bifactorial** if from every cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  of the preimage  $f^{-1}(y)$  of any point  $y \in Y$  one can choose a finite number of elements  $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$  such that  $y \in \text{Int } f(U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n)$ , and it is called a  $\tau$ -mapping if the weight of the preimage of any point  $y \in Y$  does not exceed  $\tau$ .

$\beta = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ , for which there exists a point  $y \in Y$  such that the sets  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  form a minimal covering\* of its inverse image  $f^{-1}(y)$ . The inverse image of each such point  $y \in Y$  we shall call an **essential element** of the family  $\beta$ . By induction on  $n$  and on all possible triples  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where the space  $X$  has a base  $B^X$  of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ , and the mapping  $f$  is perfect, we shall prove that there exists a family  $\Phi_n^X$  of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$  of open subsets of the space  $X$  and a one-to-one correspondence  $g_n^X : B_n^X \rightarrow \Phi_n^X$  such that

$$g_n^X(\beta) \subseteq \bigcup_{b \in \beta} b$$

and  $g_n^X(\beta)$  contains all essential elements of  $\beta$ . (Here, incidentally, a situation may arise in which two elements  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \Phi_n^X$  coincide as sets lying in the space  $X$ , but, being put into correspondence with different elements of the family  $B_n^X$ , they will differ as elements of  $\Phi_n^X$ .)

As  $\Phi_1^X$  we take  $B_1^X$  and as  $g_1^X$  the identity mapping. The multiplicity of  $B_1^X \leq \tau$ , since  $B_1^X \subseteq B^X$  and the multiplicity of  $B^X \leq \tau$ .

Suppose the assertion has been proved for any space  $X$  and  $n = k$ . We prove the assertion for  $n = k + 1$ . Take an arbitrary  $b \in B^X$ . Consider  $X' = X \setminus b$ . As  $B^{X'}$  we take the intersections of the elements of  $B^X$  with  $X'$ . By the

induction hypothesis\*\* for  $X'$  there has been constructed a family  $\Phi_k^{X'}$  and a correspondence  $g_k^{X'}$ . To each set  $\varphi' \in \Phi_k^{X'}$  assign the set

$$\varphi = f^{-1}f(X') \setminus f^{-1}f(X' \setminus \varphi').$$

We apply the lemma, putting  $\theta' = \{\varphi\}$ ,  $\theta = B^X$ ,  $Z' = f^{-1}f(X')$ ,  $Z = X$ . From A. S. Mishchenko's theorem (2) it follows easily that the multiplicity of the family  $\{\varphi\}$  does not exceed  $\tau$ , and hence we are in the conditions of the lemma. The family  $\{\gamma_\varphi\} = \Gamma_{k+1}^b$  constructed in accordance with the lemma has multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ . As a sum of open sets, each element  $\Gamma_{k+1}^b$  is open. Let  $\Delta_{k+1}^b$  be the part of  $B_{k+1}^X$  consisting of the families containing the set  $b$ . Define the correspondence

$$g_{k+1}^b : \Delta_{k+1}^b \rightarrow \Gamma_{k+1}^b$$

as follows:

$$g_{k+1}^b(\{b, b_1, \dots, b_k\}) = \gamma_{f^{-1}f(X') \setminus f^{-1}f(X' \setminus g_k^{X'}(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\})}$$

Let us note some properties of the correspondence  $g_{k+1}^b$ .  $g_{k+1}^b(\beta) \subseteq \bigcup_{b \in \beta} b$ —this follows from the inclusions

$$\varphi = f^{-1}f(X') \setminus f^{-1}f(X' \setminus \varphi') \subseteq b \cup \varphi',$$

where

$$\varphi' = g_k^{X'}(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k (b_i \cap X') \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k b_i,$$

$$\gamma_\varphi \subseteq (X \setminus f^{-1}f(X')) \cup \varphi \subseteq b \cup \varphi \subseteq b \cup \varphi' \subseteq b \cup b_1 \cup \dots \cup b_k.$$

We show that  $g_{k+1}^b(\beta)$  contains all essential elements of  $\beta$ . If  $y \in Y$  and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is an essential element of  $\{b, b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ , then  $f^{-1}(y) \setminus b$ , evidently, is an essential element of  $\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\}$  (for  $f|_{X'}$ , the restriction of the mapping  $f$  to  $X'$ ), and therefore

$$f^{-1}(y) \setminus b \subseteq g_k^X(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\}).$$

The set

$$X' \setminus g_k^X(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\})$$

is closed in  $X$ , and therefore the set

$$f^{-1}f(X' \setminus g_k^X(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\}))$$

is also closed in  $X$  and does not meet  $f^{-1}(y)$ . For each point  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  there is found a base element not meeting the set

$$f^{-1}f(X' \setminus g_k^X(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\})).$$

All such elements will enter into

$$\gamma_{f^{-1}f(X') \setminus f^{-1}f(X' \setminus g_k^{X'}(\{b_1 \cap X', \dots, b_k \cap X'\}))}$$

as summands, whence it follows that

$$f^{-1}(y) \subseteq g_{k+1}^b(\{b, b_1, \dots, b_k\}).$$

We construct the family  $\Phi_{k+1}^X$  in the following way. Let  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}\} \in B_{k+1}^X$ ; then

$$g_{k+1}^X(\{b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}\}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} g_{k+1}^{b_i}(\{b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}\}).$$

Let, further,  $\Phi_{k+1}^X =$

\* A family of sets  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  is called a minimal covering of a set  $M$  if

$$M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n m_i$$

and

$$M \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^l m_{i_j}$$

for any proper subfamily

$$\{m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l}\} \subset \{m_1, \dots, m_n\}.$$

\*\* As is easily seen, the restriction of a perfect mapping to a closed subspace is perfect.

$$= \{g_{k+1}^X(\beta), \beta \in B_{k+1}^X\}.$$

By the properties of  $g_{k+1}^b$  and the definition of  $g_{k+1}^X$  we have

$$g_{k+1}^X(\beta) \subseteq \bigcup_{b \in \beta} b,$$

and  $g_{k+1}^X(\beta)$  contains all essential elements of  $\beta$ . We show that the multiplicity of  $\Phi_{k+1}^X$  is  $\leq \tau$ . Let

$$\Phi_{k+1}^X(b) = \{g_{k+1}^X(\beta), \beta \ni b\}.$$

Since the family  $\Phi_{k+1}^X(b)$  is elementwise\* inscribed in  $\Gamma_{k+1}^b$ , the multiplicity of  $\Phi_{k+1}^X(b)$  is  $\leq \tau$ . It is easy to see that the family

$$\bigcup_{b \ni x} \Phi_{k+1}^X(b)$$

exhausts all elements of  $\Phi_{k+1}^X$  containing the point  $x \in X$ . Each of the families  $\Phi_{k+1}^X(b)$  has multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ , and there are altogether  $\leq \tau$  such families; consequently the multiplicity

$$\Phi_{k+1}^X \leq \tau^2 = \tau.$$

Let

$$\Phi^X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n^X.$$

We shall not give the verification that the family

$$\{Y \setminus f(X \setminus \varphi), \varphi \in \Phi^X\}$$

is a certain base of the space  $Y$  and that its multiplicity is  $\leq \tau$ . In doing this one must use the fact that the mapping  $f$  is perfect. This completes the proof of the corollary.

We now give the plan of the proof of Theorem 1.

I. Let  $Y' \subseteq Y$ ,  $X' = f^{-1}(Y')$ ; then  $f([X']) = [f(X')]$ .

II. If  $Y' \subseteq Y$ ,  $X' = f^{-1}(Y')$ , and  $G = \{g\}$  is a family of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$  of open subsets of  $X$ , then there exists a family  $H = \{h\}$  of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$  of open subsets of  $X$  and a correspondence  $\eta : G \rightarrow H$  such that

- a)  $\eta(g) \cap X' \subseteq g$ ;
- b) if  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y) \cap [X'] \neq \emptyset$ , and

$$f^{-1}(y) \cap [X' \setminus g] = \emptyset,$$

then

$$\eta(g) \supseteq f^{-1}(y).$$

III. Let  $B$  be a base of the space  $X$  of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$ ,

$$B_1 = \{f^{-1}f(b), b \in B\},$$

$$B_n = \{\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}, b_1, \dots, b_n \in B_1\},$$

and  $X' = f^{-1}(Y')$ , where  $Y' \subseteq Y$ . Let, for

$$\beta = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \in B_n,$$

$$q(X', \beta) \cup \{f^{-1}(y), y \in Y, f^{-1}(y) \cap [X'] \neq \emptyset, f^{-1}(y) \cap [X' \setminus \bigcup_{b \in \beta} b] = \emptyset\}$$

and for every proper subfamily  $\{b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_p}\}$  of the family  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ ,

$$[X' \setminus (b_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup b_{i_p})] \cap f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then by induction on  $n$  it is proved that for every  $n$  there exists a family  $\Phi_n^{X'}$  of multiplicity  $\leq \tau$  of open subsets of the space  $X$  and a correspondence

$$g_n^{X'} : B_n \rightarrow \Phi_n^{X'}$$

such that

$$g_n^{X'} \supseteq q(X', \beta)$$

and

$$g_n^{X'}(\beta) \cap \left( X' \setminus \left( \bigcup_{b \in \beta} b \right) \right) = \emptyset.$$

IV. Let

$$\Phi = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n^X.$$

Then the family

$$\{Y \setminus f(X \setminus \varphi), \varphi \in \Phi\}$$

is a certain base of the space  $Y$ , whose multiplicity is  $\leq \tau$ .

By methods analogous to those developed in the proof of Theorem 1 and its corollary, one can obtain the following results.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect mapping, and let  $X$  possess a base that decomposes into  $\tau$  point-finite families; then  $Y$  also possesses a base that decomposes into  $\tau$  point-finite families.

**Definition.** A base that decomposes into  $\tau$  locally finite families will be called an  $NS\tau$ -base.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect mapping, and let  $X$  possess an  $NS\tau$ -base; then  $Y$  also possesses an  $NS\tau$ -base.

In particular, for  $\tau = \aleph_0$ , under the assumption that  $X$  is normal, we obtain A. H. Stone's theorem <sup>(3)</sup>, which states that the image of a metrizable space under a perfect mapping is metrizable.

I express my deep gratitude to A. V. Arhangel'skii, whose advice I continually used.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
1 XII 1967

## References

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **21**, no. 4, 133 (1966).

2. A. S. Mishchenko, DAN, **144**, 985 (1962).
3. A. H. Stone, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **7**, 690 (1956).

---

\* The elements of the families  $\Phi_{k+1}^X(b)$  and  $\Gamma_{k+1}^b$  are numbered by the set  $\Delta_{k+1}^b$ . Elements  $\varphi \in \Phi_{k+1}^X(b)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1}^b$  that correspond to one and the same element of  $\Delta_{k+1}^b$  satisfy the relation  $\varphi \subseteq \gamma$ .

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*