



Soviet-era science, translated into English

ON THE DIMENSION OF PRODUCTS OF BICOMPACTA

MATHEMATICS

1968

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196801.10358>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.83

MATHEMATICS

I. K. LIFANOV

ON THE DIMENSION OF PRODUCTS OF BI-COMPACTA

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 27 II 1968)

§ 1. In the present section only finite covers and only normal spaces are considered. By the dimension of a space X we mean the large inductive dimension, denoted by $\text{Ind } X$. Let us recall the definition of this dimension: $\text{Ind } X \leq n$ if, for every closed set $F \subseteq X$ and every neighborhood OF of it, there exists a neighborhood V of the set F such that $V \subseteq OF$ and $\text{Ind}([V] \setminus V) \leq n - 1$. By definition $\text{Ind } X = -1$ if the space X is empty.

Definition 1. For a space X , a closed set F_1 is called a **boundary** if F_1 is the boundary of a set open in X .

Definition 2. A space X satisfies the condition of extension of refinements*, if for any closed sets F_1 and F_2 , $F_1 \supseteq F_2$, $\text{Ind } F_1 > \text{Ind } F_2$, F_2 a boundary in F_1 , the following holds: for every open cover ω of the set F_1 and every refinement α of the set F_2 , inscribed in the cover $\omega \wedge F_2^{**}$ with $\text{Ind gr } \alpha \leq \text{Ind } F_2 - 1$, there exists a refinement β of the set F_1 , inscribed in the cover ω , such that $\beta \wedge F_2 = \alpha$, $\text{gr } \beta \cap F_2 = \text{gr } \alpha$, and $\text{Ind gr } \beta \leq \text{Ind } F_2 - 1$.

Definition 3. We shall say that a bicompactum X **belongs to the class of bicompacta** if the following conditions are fulfilled for X :

I (weakened sum theorem). For any two closed sets F_1 and F_2 that are boundaries,

$$\text{Ind}(F_1 \cup F_2) = \max(\text{Ind } F_1, \text{Ind } F_2).$$

II. The bicompactum X satisfies the condition of extension of refinements.

It turns out that for bicompacta X belonging to the class , the following is true.

Theorem 1. Let

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^s X_i,$$

where $X_i \in (i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$. Then

$$\text{Ind } P \leq \text{Ind } X_1 + \dots + \text{Ind } X_s.$$

It is not hard to show that the class of bicompecta includes the following classes of bicompecta: a) all bicompecta whose large inductive dimension does not exceed one; b) all bicompecta that are Dowker spaces*** (see (2)), and consequently also all perfectly normal bicompecta.

The proof of part a) is contained in (4).

Corollary 1. Let $P = X \times Y$, where X is a one-dimensional bicompectum and Y is a perfectly normal bicompectum for which $\dim Y = \text{ind } Y = \text{Ind } Y = n$. Then $\dim P = \text{ind } P = \text{Ind } P = n + 1$.

Proof. $\dim P \geq n + 1$ follows from (5), and $\text{Ind } P \leq n + 1$ follows from Theorem 1.

* A cover $\alpha = \{[O_i]\}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is called a **refinement** if its elements are the closures of pairwise disjoint open sets O_i , called the kernels of the elements of the refinement,

$$\text{gr } \alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^n [O_j] \setminus O_j.$$

** Let $F \subseteq X$: 1) let $\omega = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ be an open cover of the space X ; then $\omega \wedge F = \{F \cap u_1, \dots, F \cap u_s\}$; 2) let $\alpha = \{[O_1], \dots, [O_s]\}$ be a refinement of X ; then $\alpha \wedge F = \{[O_1 \cap F], \dots, [O_s \cap F]\}$.

*** A hereditarily normal space X is called a **Dowker space** if every open set U is covered by a point-finite system of open sets F_σ in X .

The proof of Theorem 1 proceeds as follows:

- 1) It is shown that in any open covering ω of the bicompectum P one can inscribe a refinement α^1 such that $\text{bd } \alpha^1$ is the sum of a finite number of summands of the form $\prod_{i=1}^s F_i$, where F_i is the boundary of some order in X_i , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } F_i = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } X_i - 1$$

for each summand of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^1$.

- 2) Then it is shown that in any open covering ω^1 of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^1$ one can inscribe a refinement α^2 of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^1$ such that $\text{bd } \alpha^2$ (relative to $\text{bd } \alpha^1$)

is the sum of a finite number of closed summands of the form $\prod_{i=1}^s F'_i$, and, for each summand of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^2$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } F'_i = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } X_i - 2 \quad (F'_i \subseteq X'_i).$$

After this procedure has been applied $\sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } X_i = l$ times, we obtain that $\text{bd } \alpha^l$ is the sum of a finite number of closed summands of the form $\prod_{i=1}^s F_i$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } F_i = 0.$$

Consequently, the dimension of each set F_i is zero; therefore the dimension of each summand of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^l$ and the dimension of the set $\text{bd } \alpha^l$ itself is zero. Then, going back, we obtain that

$$\text{Ind } \text{bd } \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } X_i - 1$$

and, consequently,

$$\text{Ind } P \leq \sum_{i=1}^s \text{Ind } X_i.$$

Definition 4. We shall say that a bicom pactum X **belongs to the class of bicom pacta** A if X is a closed subset of some bicom pactum P which is the topological product of a finite number of bicom pacta from the class .

Remark 1. The class A is closed with respect to topological product.

Remark 2. Let $B = \prod_{i=1}^k A_i$, where $A_i \in A$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and each bicom pactum A_i is finite-dimensional. Then the bicom pactum B is also finite-dimensional.

§ 2. In this section we consider the question of the dimension of the product of an infinite number of arbitrary bicom pacta X with $\dim X \geq 1$.

The following notion of a closed partition between disjoint closed sets is known. Let C_1 and C_2 be closed sets of a space X , $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Then a closed set B is called a **partition** between C_1 and C_2 if $X \setminus B = V^1 \cup V^2$, $V^1 \cap V^2 = \emptyset$, the sets V^1, V^2 are open, and $C_1 \subseteq V^1$, $C_2 \subseteq V^2$.

P. S. Aleksandrov gave the following definition: a space X is **strongly infinite-dimensional** if there exists a sequence of pairs (C_i^1, C_i^2) , $C_i^1 \cap C_i^2 = \emptyset$ ($i =$

$1, 2, \dots$), of closed sets such that the intersection of any closed sets B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), each of which is a partition for the corresponding pair (C_i^1, C_i^2) , is nonempty. If the space X is strongly infinite-dimensional in the sense of P. S. Aleksandrov, then we shall say that it is A -strongly infinite-dimensional.

Now we introduce a strengthening of the notion of partition.

Definition 5. Let there be given closed sets C^1 and C^2 in X , with $C^1 \cap C^2 = \emptyset$. A set B is called a **thick partition** between C^1 and C^2 if $X \setminus \text{Int } B = \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$, $\Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2 = \emptyset$, the sets Φ_1, Φ_2 are closed, $C^i \subseteq \text{Int } \Phi_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) ($\text{Int } B$ denotes the interior of the set B), and $B \cap (C^1 \cup C^2) = \emptyset$.

If the space X is normal, then the following assertions are equivalent:

- I. In the space X there exist n pairs of closed sets (C_i^1, C_i^2) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $C_i^1 \cap C_i^2 = \emptyset$, such that the intersection of any closed sets B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), each of which is a thick partition for the pair (C_i^1, C_i^2) , is nonempty.
- II. In the space X there exist n pairs of closed sets (C_i^1, C_i^2) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $C_i^1 \cap C_i^2 = \emptyset$, such that the intersection of arbitrary closed sets B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), each of which is a partition for the pair (C_i^1, C_i^2) , is nonempty.

Definition 6. A normal space X will be called **L -strongly infinite-dimensional** if there exists a sequence of pairs (C_i^1, C_i^2) ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), $C_i^1 \cap C_i^2 = \emptyset$, of closed sets such that the intersection of arbitrary closed sets B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), each of which is a thick partition for the corresponding pair (C_i^1, C_i^2) , is nonempty.

Lemma 1. *If the space X is a bicomactum, then the definitions of L -strong infinite-dimensionality and A -strong infinite-dimensionality are equivalent.*

Proof. a) From A -strong infinite-dimensionality, obviously, L -strong infinite-dimensionality follows.

- b) Let the bicomactum X be L -strongly infinite-dimensional. Suppose that it is not A -strongly infinite-dimensional. Then for any sequence of pairs of closed sets (F_i^1, F_i^2) , $F_i^1 \cap F_i^2 = \emptyset$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), there exists a sequence of closed sets A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) (each of which is a partition respectively for the pair (F_i^1, F_i^2)) such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset.$$

Consequently, also for the sequence of pairs of closed sets (C_i^1, C_i^2) participating in the definition of L -strong infinite-dimensionality, there exists a corresponding sequence of closed sets A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Since X is a bicomactum and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \emptyset,$$

there exists an integer k such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_i = \emptyset.$$

Therefore one can take open sets O_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) such that $A_i \subseteq O_i$, $[O_i] \cap (C_i^1 \cup C_i^2) = \emptyset$, and

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^k [O_i] = \emptyset.$$

Now, taking for each $j > k$ an arbitrary open set O_j such that $A_j \subseteq O_j$ and $[O_j] \cap (C_j^1 \cap C_j^2) = \emptyset$, we obtain such a sequence of closed sets $[O_i]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) (which are thick partitions for the corresponding pairs (C_i^1, C_i^2)) that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} [O_i] = \emptyset.$$

We have arrived at a contradiction with the choice of the sequence of pairs of closed sets (C_i^1, C_i^2) ($i = 1, 2, \dots$).

Remark 3. Lemma 1 is also true for countably paracompact spaces (spaces in every countable open cover of which one can inscribe a locally finite cover (see (6))).

Theorem 2. Let $P = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$, where X_α are bicompacta, $\dim X_\alpha \geq 1$ for every $\alpha \in A$, and $m(A) \geq \aleph_0$. Then the bicompactum P is L -strongly infinite-dimensional.

Proof. It is enough to consider the case when

$$P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$$

is the topological product of a countable number of bicompacta X_i . Since $\dim X_i \geq 1$, in the bicompactum X_i there exist points $x_i^1 \neq x_i^2$ which cannot be separated by the empty set. Take the sets

$$C_i^1 = \prod_{j \neq i} X_j \times x_i^1, \quad C_i^2 = \prod_{j \neq i} X_j \times x_i^2.$$

Then C_i^1 and C_i^2 are closed in the bicompactum P , $C_i^1 \cap C_i^2 = \emptyset$, and it remains only to prove that the intersection of arbitrary closed sets B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), each of which

is a thick partition for the pair (C_i^1, C_i^2) , respectively, is nonempty. To prove the last assertion, we note that for this it is sufficient to prove the nonemptiness of the intersection of any finite number of the sets B_i , since then the assertion we need will follow from the bicomactness of the space P . Thus, the proof of Theorem 2 has been reduced to the proof of the following assertion.

Let

$$P_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

where the X_i are bicomacts and $\dim X_i \geq 1$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the sequence of pairs of closed sets (C_i^1, C_i^2) (where

$$C_i^1 = \prod_{j \neq i}^n X_j \times x_i^1, \quad C_i^2 = \prod_{j \neq i}^n X_j \times x_i^2$$

) is such that the intersection of arbitrary closed sets B_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), each of which is a thick partition for the pair (C_i^1, C_i^2) , is nonempty.

We shall prove the last assertion for the case $n = 2$. Let

$$P_2 = \prod_{i=1}^2 X_i, \quad \dim X_i \geq 1 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

and let B_1, B_2 be thick partitions for the pairs of closed sets (C_1^1, C_1^2) and (C_2^1, C_2^2) , respectively. Suppose that $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Then the sets

$$F_i^1 = B_i \cap \Phi_i^1, \quad F_i^2 = B_i \cap \Phi_i^2$$

(where $\Phi_i^1 \cup \Phi_i^2 = P_2 \setminus \text{Int } B_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\Phi_i^1 \cap \Phi_i^2 = \emptyset$) are pairwise disjoint, i.e.

$$F_i^1 \cap F_i^2 = \emptyset$$

for $i = 1, 2$. For fixed i , the sets $F_i^1, F_i^2, C_i^1, C_i^2$ are also pairwise disjoint. Therefore one can take an open cover ω of the bicomact P_2 such that:

(*)

no element of this cover intersects simultaneously an arbitrary pair of disjoint sets from the system

$$F_i^1, F_i^2, B_i, \Phi_i^1, \Phi_i^2, C_i^1, C_i^2 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

We refine this cover ω by an open cover

$$\tilde{\omega} = \{\omega_1 \times \omega_2\},$$

whose elements are sets of the form $(u_i^1 \times u_j^2)$, where $u_i^1 \in \omega_1$, $u_j^2 \in \omega_2$, and ω_1, ω_2 are open covers of the bicomacts X_1, X_2 , respectively. Let $\pi_i : P_2 \rightarrow X_i$ be

the natural projection of P_2 onto the factor X_i . Then one may require that no element of the cover ω_i intersect a pair of sets $(x_i^1, \pi_i(B_i))$ and $(x_i^2, \pi_i(B_i))$. Now take, for each ω_i , an ω_i -map f into the body of the nerve \widetilde{K}_i of the cover (see (2)). Then the points $f_i(x_i^1)$ and $f_i(x_i^2)$ lie in one component of the polyhedron \widetilde{K}_i , and therefore there exists a segment I_i whose initial point is $f_i(x_i^1)$ and whose endpoint is $f_i(x_i^2)$. Let

$$X_i^+ = f_i^{-1}(I_i).$$

The set X_i^+ is closed in X_i , and $x_i^j \in X_i^+$ ($j = 1, 2$). The mapping

$$f = f_1 \times f_2$$

of the bicomact P_2 maps the set

$$P_2^+ = X_1^+ \times X_2^+$$

onto a square, and the sets

$$P_2^+ \cap C_i^j = +C_i^j = x_i^j \times X_k^+ \quad (i = 1, 2; i = k; k = 1, 2; j = 1, 2)$$

are mapped onto opposite sides of the square for fixed i . Let

$$\omega^+ = P_2^+ \wedge \omega;$$

then

$$f^+ = f/P_2^+,$$

the restriction of the mapping f to the set P_2^+ , will be an ω^+ -map, and

$$B_i^+ = B_i \cap P_2^+.$$

The set $f^+(B_i^+)$ separates the sets $f^+(+C_i^1)$ and $f^+(+C_i^2)$, since f^+ is an ω^+ -map and the cover ω of the bicomact P_2 satisfies condition (*). Consequently,

$$f^+(B_1^+) \cap f^+(B_2^+) = \emptyset,$$

and this contradicts the fact that

$$B_1^+ \cap B_2^+ = \emptyset,$$

f^+ is an ω^+ -map, and no element of the cover ω^+ intersects simultaneously the sets B_1^+ and B_2^+ . Consequently,

$$B_1^+ \cap B_2^+ = P_2^+ \cap (B_1 \cap B_2) \neq \emptyset,$$

i.e.

$$B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

In conclusion I express my heartfelt gratitude to B. A. Pasyukov for his constant attention and help.

Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty
of Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
23 II 1968

References

1. P. S. Alexandroff, Proc. Roy. Soc., A **189**, No. 2 (1947).
2. P. S. Alexandroff, *Combinatorial Topology*, 1947.
3. B. A. Pasyukov, DAN, **175**, No. 2 (1967).
4. I. K. Lifanov, DAN, **180**, No. 3 (1968).
5. H. Cohen, Duke Math. J., **21**, No. 2, 209 (1954).
6. C. H. Dowker, Canad. J. Math., **3**, No. 2, 219 (1951).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.