

# A DISTANCE BETWEEN DISTRIBUTIONS CONNECTED WITH THEIR VALUES ON CONVEX SETS

MATHEMATICS

1967

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.94401>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 519.214

*MATHEMATICS*

S. M. SADIKOVA

## A DISTANCE BETWEEN DISTRIBUTIONS CONNECTED WITH THEIR VALUES ON CONVEX SETS

*(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov, December 17, 1966)*

In the paper <sup>(1)</sup> the author obtained an inequality (a two-dimensional analogue of Esseen's inequality <sup>(2)</sup>, § 39) that makes it possible to estimate, in terms of the difference of characteristic functions, the difference of the values  $P(A) - Q(A)$  of the corresponding distributions for all rectangles  $A$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. The purpose of the present note is to derive inequality (4), which gives an upper estimate for the distance

$$\rho(P, Q) = \sup_A |P(A) - Q(A)|$$

(where the supremum is taken over all convex sets of the space  $R^s$ ) in terms of characteristic functions. Let us note that a method for estimating the difference  $P(A) - Q(A)$  for balls was indicated in <sup>(3)</sup> (see also <sup>(4)</sup>).

The starting point in this and similar cases may be the following relation, easily derived from the inversion formula for Fourier integrals. Let  $\xi, \eta$ , and  $\zeta$  be  $s$ -dimensional random vectors,  $\zeta$  independent of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  and having an absolutely integrable characteristic function  $h(t)$ . Then

$$\Delta_A = Pr\{\xi + \zeta \in A\} - Pr\{\eta + \zeta \in A\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^s} \int_{R^s} \overline{\tau_A(t)} (f(t) - g(t)) h(t) dt.$$

Here  $A$  is an arbitrary bounded Borel set;

$$\tau_A(t) = \int_A e^{i(t,x)} dx;$$

$f$  and  $g$  are the characteristic functions of the vectors  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , respectively.

Representing the quantity  $(2\pi)^s \Delta_A$  as the sum  $I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ , where

$$I_1 = \int_{|t| \leq 1}, \quad I_2 = \int_{1 < |t| < T}, \quad I_3 = \int_{|t| \geq T},$$

and applying the Cauchy–Bunyakovsky inequality to each integral, we obtain

$$(2\pi)^s |\Delta_A| \leq \left( \int_{|t| \leq 1} |t|^2 |\tau_A|^2 \right)^{1/2} J_1 + \left( \int_{1 < |t| < T} |\tau_A|^2 \right) J_2 + 2 \left( \int_{|t| \geq T} |\tau_A|^2 \right)^{1/2} J_3, \quad (1)$$

where

$$J_1 = \left( \int_{|t| \leq 1} \frac{|f-g|^2}{|t|^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad J_2 = \left( \int_{1 < |t| < T} |f-g|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad J_3 = \left( \int_{|t| \geq T} |h|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $O_r$  denote the ball of radius  $r$  centered at the origin, and let

$$\Delta_r = \sup_{A \subset O_r} |\Delta_A|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all convex  $A \subset O_r$ . As is easy to see,

$$\gamma_1 = \sup_A |\Delta_A| \leq 2\Delta_r + 2Pr\{\eta + \zeta \in \overline{O_r}\}.$$

The quantity  $\Delta_r$  can be estimated with the aid of inequality (1). Indeed, if  $A$  is a convex set lying in the ball  $O_r$ , and  $S(A)$  is the magnitude of its surface, then

$$S(A) \leq S(O_r) \leq 2\pi^{s/2} \Gamma^{-1}(s/2) r^{s-1}.$$

Therefore, taking into account the inequalities for the integrals of  $\tau_A(t)$  (formulas of the paper <sup>(1)</sup>, p. 379), we obtain, for  $A \subset O_r$ ,

$$|\Delta_A| \leq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_s S(O_r)}}{(2\pi)^s} \left[ J_1 + 2\sqrt{2}J_2 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{T}}J_3 \right],$$

where

$$\lambda_s = \frac{(2\pi)^{s+1}}{4} \left( \int_0^\pi \sin^s \alpha \, d\alpha \right)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Denote the right-hand side of (2) by  $\Lambda_r^*$ . Then

$$\gamma_1 \leq 2\Lambda_r^* + 2Pr\{\eta + \zeta \in \overline{O_r}\}.$$

Here the constants  $r$  and  $T$  and the random variable  $\zeta$  are at our disposal. The lemma stated below serves for passing from  $\gamma_1$  to  $\gamma = \rho(P, Q)$ . Before formulating it, introduce the following notation:  $A$  is a convex set;  $\dot{A}$  is its boundary;  $(\dot{A})^\delta$  is the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $A$ ;  $\omega_\eta(\delta) = \sup_A Pr\{\eta \in (\dot{A})^\delta\}$ . Let us note that, for any  $A$ , the inequalities

$$Pr\{\xi \in A\} \leq Pr\{\xi + \zeta \in A \cup (\dot{A})^\delta\} + Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\}, \quad (3)$$

$$Pr\{\xi \in A\} \geq Pr\{\xi + \zeta \in A \setminus (\dot{A})^\delta\} - Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\}.$$

It is also easy to prove that  $\omega_{\eta+\zeta}(\delta) \leq \omega_\eta(\delta)$ .

**Lemma.** The inequalities hold

$$\gamma_1 \leq \gamma, \quad \gamma \leq \gamma_1 + \omega_\eta(\delta) + 2Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\}.$$

We omit the proof of the lemma.

Let us now note that

$$\begin{aligned} Pr\{\eta + \zeta \in \overline{O}_r\} &\leq Pr\{(\eta + \zeta \in \overline{O}_r) \cap (|\zeta| < \delta)\} + Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\} \leq \\ &\leq Pr\{|\eta| \geq r\} + \omega_\eta(\delta) + Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\gamma = \rho(P, Q) \leq 2\Lambda_r^* + 2Pr\{|\eta| \geq r\} + 3\omega_\eta(\delta) + 4Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(P, Q) &\leq C_s r^{(s-1)/2} \left[ J_1 + 2\sqrt{2}J_2 + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{T}}J_3 \right] + \\ &+ 3\omega_\eta(\delta) + 2Pr\{|\eta| \geq r\} + 4Pr\{|\zeta| \geq \delta\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $C_s = \sqrt{\lambda_s S(O_1)} / (2\pi)^s$ .

From Stirling's formula it is not difficult to derive that

$$C_s = \sqrt[4]{2} [s(s+1)]^{1/4} \left( \frac{e}{2\pi s} \right)^{s/4} e^{\theta/s + \theta'/12s}, \quad |\theta|, |\theta'| \leq 1.$$

The function  $h(t)$  and the constants  $r, \delta$ , and  $T$  entering inequality (4) may be chosen depending on our aims.

We shall now show how the function  $\omega_\eta(\delta)$  can be estimated in the example of the normal distribution in  $R^s$  with density

$$p_\eta(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{s/2}} e^{-|x|^2/2}.$$

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary convex set in  $R^s$ . Without loss of generality, one may assume that  $A$  does not lie in any subspace of  $R^s$  of dimension  $s' < s$ . Consider the system of concentric spheres  $O_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , with centers at the origin and such that the radius of  $O_n$  is equal to  $n$ . The part of the surface  $\dot{A}$  lying between the  $n$ -th and  $(n+1)$ -st spheres does not exceed its part lying inside the  $(n+1)$ -st sphere. Suppose that  $\delta < 1$ . Then the measure of the part of the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $\dot{A}$  lying between the  $n$ -th and  $(n+1)$ -st spheres does not exceed the measure of the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the  $(n+1)$ -st sphere, i.e., in any case, does not exceed  $2\delta S(O_{n+2})$ . The probability of hitting the mentioned part of the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of  $\dot{A}$  does not exceed

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{s/2}} e^{-(n-1)^2/2} 2\delta \frac{2\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} (n+2)^{s-1}$$

(for  $n \geq 1$ ; for  $n = 0$  the factor  $e^{-(n-1)^2/2}$  must be replaced by 1). Therefore

$$Pr\{\eta \in (\dot{A})^\delta\} \leq \frac{4\delta}{2^{s/2}\Gamma(s/2)} \left[ 2^{s-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(n-1)^2/2} (n+2)^{s-1} \right].$$

From this it is not difficult to derive that for  $\delta < 1$

$$\omega_\eta(\delta) \leq \delta \frac{4}{2^{s/2}\Gamma(s/2)} \left[ 2^{s-1} + 3^{s-1} + 2^{3s/2-3}\Gamma(s/2) + 2^{3s-4} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} \right].$$

From the last inequality and Stirling's formula it follows that

$$\omega_\eta(\delta) \leq \delta C_{\text{abs}} \cdot 2^s,$$

where  $C_{\text{abs}}$  is an absolute constant.

Moscow Engineering Physics  
Institute

Received  
13 XII 1966

## REFERENCES

1. S. M. Sadikova, *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, **11**, 3 (1966).
2. B. V. Gnedenko, A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Moscow, 1949.
3. K. G. Esseen, *Acta Math.*, **77**, 1 (1944).
4. G. de Barra, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **59**, 2 (1963).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*