



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# ON LOCALLY PERFECT MAPPINGS

MATHEMATICS

1967

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.70124>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 513.83

**MATHEMATICS**

**N. KROLEVETS**

## **ON LOCALLY PERFECT MAPPINGS**

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 10 VIII 1966)*

This paper considers locally perfect mappings, which stand in the same relation to perfect mappings as locally bicomcompact spaces do to bicomcompacta. All spaces are assumed to be  $T_1$ -spaces, and all mappings continuous.

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  will be called **locally perfect** if for each point  $x$  there exists a neighborhood  $U$  such that the image  $f[U]$  of the closure  $U$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $f|U$  is perfect.

Every mapping of a locally bicomcompact space is locally perfect. In particular, every mapping of a discrete space is locally perfect. Also, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is perfect and  $X_0$  is an open set in  $X$ , then the restriction  $f|X_0$  is locally perfect.

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be locally perfect. Denote by  $Y^*$  the set of points  $y \in Y$  at which  $f$  is not perfect (i.e., either  $f$  is not closed, or the full preimage  $f^{-1}y$  is not bicomcompact).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally perfect mapping. Then there exist a space  $\tilde{X} \supset X$  and a perfect mapping  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  such that: 1)  $\tilde{f}|X = f$ ; 2)  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{X} \setminus X) = Y^*$ ; 3) the restriction  $\tilde{f}|(\tilde{X} \setminus X)$  is one-to-one; 4)  $X$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}$ ; 5)  $X$  is open in  $\tilde{X}$ . These conditions determine  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{f}$  uniquely.*

The space  $\tilde{X}$  is obtained from  $X$  as follows: to each full preimage of a point  $y \in Y^*$  we add one new point, and to the full preimages of points  $y \in Y \setminus Y^*$  we add nothing. We leave the topology on  $X$  unchanged. If  $x \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$ , then its neighborhoods will be sets of the form  $U = \tilde{f}^{-1}V \setminus F$ , where  $V = V_y$  is an arbitrary neighborhood of the point  $y = \tilde{f}x$  in  $Y$ ,  $F \subset X$  and is closed in  $X$ ,  $fF$  is closed in  $Y$ , and the mapping  $f|F$  is perfect.

The mapping  $\tilde{f}$  is closed at the points  $y \in Y^*$ . Indeed, let  $Q$  be open in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}y \subset Q$ . There exists a neighborhood  $U = \tilde{f}^{-1}V \setminus F$  of the point  $x_0 = \tilde{f}^{-1}y \cap (\tilde{X} \setminus X)$ , contained in  $Q$ . The set  $F_1 = F \setminus (Q \cap X)$  is closed in  $F$ , hence  $fF_1$  is closed in  $Y$ , and  $y \notin fF_1$ . Therefore  $V_1 = V \setminus fF_1$  is a neighborhood of the point  $y$ . It is not hard to see that  $\tilde{f}^{-1}V_1 \subset Q$ . The closedness of  $\tilde{f}$  on  $Y \setminus Y^*$  follows from the openness of this set in  $Y$ . It is easy to verify that the full preimages  $\tilde{f}^{-1}y$ , where  $y \in Y^*$ , are bicomcompact. Thus the mapping  $\tilde{f}$  is perfect.

In what follows, for a locally perfect mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the symbols  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{f}$  will always denote the space and mapping discussed in the theorem.

Let, for example,  $X = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y < 1\}$ ,  $Y$  be the segment  $[0, 1]$  of the abscissa axis, and let  $f$  be the vertical projection of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . The mapping  $f$  is locally perfect, although it is not closed at any point of the space  $Y$ . In this case

$$\tilde{X} = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}$$

and  $\tilde{f}$  is the vertical projection onto  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally perfect mapping. If  $Y$  is locally bicomact at the point  $y_0$ , then  $X$  is locally bicomact at each point  $x \in f^{-1}y_0$ . Consequently, if  $Y$  is a locally bicomact space, then  $X$  is also locally bicomact.*

However, under passage to the image under a locally perfect mapping, local bicomactness is not preserved.

**Theorem 3.** Let the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be locally perfect.

1) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hausdorff, then  $\tilde{X}$  is Hausdorff; 2) if  $X$  and  $Y$  are completely regular, then  $\tilde{X}$  is completely regular; 3) if  $X$  and  $Y$  have countable bases, then  $\tilde{X}$  has a countable base.

1) This is proved directly.

2) Let  $B$  be closed in  $\tilde{X}$  and  $x_0 \notin B$ ,  $\tilde{f}x_0 \notin \tilde{f}B$ . Then the existence of a function continuous on  $\tilde{X}$ , equal to zero at the point  $x_0$  and to one on  $B$ , follows from the complete regularity of  $Y$ . If  $y_0 = \tilde{f}x_0 \in \tilde{f}B$ ,  $x_0 \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$ , then, since  $X$  is completely regular and the set  $A = B \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}y_0$  is bicomact, there exists a neighborhood  $U$ ,  $[U]_{\tilde{X}} \subset X$ , of the set  $A$  and a function  $\psi$ , continuous on  $X$ , such that  $\psi|_{X \setminus U} \equiv 0$  and  $\psi \equiv 1$  in some smaller neighborhood  $W$ ,  $[W]_X \subset U$ , of the set  $A$ . Then the function  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_1(x) = \psi(x)$  if  $x \in U$ , and  $\varphi_1(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \tilde{X} \setminus U$ , is continuous on  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $\varphi_1(x_0) = 0$ , and  $\varphi_1|_W \equiv 1$ . Since  $B_1 = B \setminus W$  is closed in  $\tilde{X}$ , and  $y_0 \notin \tilde{f}B_1$ , by what has been proved there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_2$ ,  $\varphi_2(x_0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_2|_{B_1} \equiv 1$ . Then the function  $\varphi = \max(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  is continuous on  $\tilde{X}$  and separates the point  $x_0$  and the set  $B$ . Finally, if  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\tilde{f}x_0 \in \tilde{f}B$ , then the assertion follows at once from the complete regularity of  $X$ .

3) Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a countable base of  $X$  and  $\{V_m\}$  a countable base of  $Y$ . Then a countable base of  $\tilde{X}$  is formed by  $\{U_n\}$  and all sets of the form

$$U'_{m,k} = \tilde{f}^{-1}V_m \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k [U_i].$$

**Corollary.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally perfect mapping of a Hausdorff space  $X$  onto a regular space  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is regular.

However, there exist examples of a locally perfect mapping of a completely regular, but not normal, space onto a normal space.

**Theorem 4.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are metric spaces with countable bases and the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally perfect, then the space  $\tilde{X}$  is metrizable.

Without the assumption of a countable base, the theorem is false.

**Example.** The space  $X$  is the interval  $[0, 1]$  with the discrete topology,  $Y$  is the same interval with the usual topology, and  $f$  is the identity mapping of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then  $f$  is locally perfect, the space  $X$  is metric, and  $Y$  is even compact. The extended space  $\tilde{X}$  is bicomact, but does not have a countable base, and therefore  $\tilde{X}$  is not metrizable.

Under locally perfect mappings, metrizability, generally speaking, is preserved neither under passage to the image nor under passage to the preimage.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be metric spaces with countable bases, with  $Y$  an absolute  $G_\delta$ , and let the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be locally perfect. Then  $X$  is also an absolute  $G_\delta$ .

Indeed, the extended space  $\tilde{X}$  is an absolute  $G_\delta$  ((1), Theorem 4), and since  $X$  is open in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $X$  is also an absolute  $G_\delta$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be finite-dimensional metric spaces with countable bases and let the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be locally perfect. If  $\dim X - \dim Y = k$ , then there exists a point of the space  $Y$  whose full preimage has dimension  $\geq k$ .

Let  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect extension of  $f$ . Since  $\dim X \leq \dim \tilde{X}$ , there exists a point  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $\dim \tilde{f}^{-1}y_0 \geq k$ . Then also  $\dim f^{-1}y_0 \geq k$ .

In what follows, the spaces under consideration will be assumed Hausdorff.

Let  $f$  be a mapping of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . If  $X_1 \supset X$ ,  $X$  is dense in  $X_1$ , and  $f_1$  is a perfect mapping of  $X_1$  onto  $Y$  which coincides with  $f$  on  $X$ , then  $f_1$  will be called a perfect extension of the mapping  $f$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a locally perfect mapping and let  $\tilde{f}_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow Y$  be any perfect extension of it, with  $\tilde{X}_1$  Hausdorff. Then: 1)  $X$  is open in  $\tilde{X}_1$ ; 2) if  $y \in Y \setminus Y^*$ , then  $\tilde{f}_1^{-1}y = f^{-1}y$ , where  $Y^*$  is the set of points  $y \in Y$  at which  $f$  is not perfect.

The proof is based on the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect mapping. If  $A \subset X$ ,  $\varphi|A$  is perfect, and  $\varphi A$  is closed in  $Y$ , then  $A$  is closed in  $X$ .

This follows easily from (2), Lemma 1.4.

**Proof of Theorem 7.** 1) Since  $f$  is locally perfect, each point  $x$  has in  $X$  a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $[U]_X$  is closed in  $\tilde{X}_1$  (by the lemma). There exists a

neighborhood  $V_x$  in  $\tilde{X}_1$  such that  $U = X \cap V$ . Then  $(V \setminus [U]_X) \cap X = \Lambda$ , and since  $X$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}_1$ ,  $V \setminus [U]_X$  is empty. Hence  $V \subset [U]_X$ , i.e.  $V \subset X$ ,  $U = V$ .

- 2) Let  $x_0 \in \tilde{f}_1^{-1}y \setminus f^{-1}y$ ,  $y \in Y \setminus Y^*$ . Since  $y \in Y \setminus Y^*$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U[f^{-1}y]$  such that  $[U]_X$  is closed in  $\tilde{X}_1$ , and a neighborhood  $V_y$  such that  $f^{-1}V \Subset U$ .  $(\tilde{f}_1^{-1}V \setminus f^{-1}V) \cap X = \Lambda$ , then  $W = \tilde{f}_1^{-1}V \setminus [U]_X$  is nonempty, open in  $\tilde{X}_1$ , and  $W \cap X = \Lambda$ , which is impossible.

If the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally perfect, then the perfect extension  $\tilde{f}$  and the space  $\tilde{X}$  constructed in Theorem 1 are minimal in the following natural sense.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\tilde{f}_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow Y$  be any perfect extension of the locally perfect mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then there exists a continuous mapping  $\tilde{h} : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  such that  $\tilde{h}|_X = \text{id}$ , if  $x \in X$ , and  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}\tilde{h}$ .

Define  $\tilde{h}$  as follows. If  $x \in X$ , then  $\tilde{h}|_X = \text{id}$ . If, however,  $x \in \tilde{X}_1 \setminus X$ , then, by Theorem 7, there exists a unique point  $x' \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$  such that  $\tilde{f}_1 x = \tilde{f} x'$ , and then we set  $\tilde{h}|_x = x' \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{h}$  is continuous on  $\tilde{X}_1$ .

Now we restrict ourselves to considering completely regular spaces. Every continuous mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  extends uniquely to a continuous mapping  $f_B : \beta X \rightarrow BY$ , where  $BY$  is any bicomact extension of the space  $Y$ . The mapping  $f_B$  is perfect on the set  $f_B^{-1}Y$ . Denote  $f_B^{-1}Y$  by  $\tilde{X}_0$ ;  $\tilde{X}_0$  is completely regular. Let  $f_B|_{\tilde{X}_0} = \tilde{f}_0$ . The space  $X$  is contained in  $\tilde{X}_0$  and is dense in it; hence the mapping  $\tilde{f}_0 : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect extension of the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . The extension  $\tilde{f}_0$  and the space  $\tilde{X}_0$  are maximal, namely:

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\tilde{f}_1 : \tilde{X}_1 \rightarrow Y$  be any perfect extension of the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $\tilde{X}_1$  are completely regular. Then there exists a continuous mapping  $\tilde{h} : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_1$  such that  $\tilde{h}|_X = \text{id}$ , if  $x \in X$ , and  $\tilde{f}_0 = \tilde{f}_1 \tilde{h}$ .

We shall call a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  **locally closed** if every point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $f[U]$  is closed in  $Y$ , the mapping  $f|_{[U]}$  is closed, and  $[U] \cap \text{Fr } f^{-1}y$  is a bicomactum for any point  $y \in f[U]$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let  $f$  be a locally closed mapping of a metric space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$  satisfying the first axiom of countability. Then there exist a space  $\tilde{X} \supset X$  and a closed mapping  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  such that: 1)  $\tilde{f}|_X = f$ ; 2)  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{X} \setminus X) = Y^*$ , where  $Y^*$  is the set of points  $y \in Y$  at which  $f$  is not closed; 3)  $\tilde{f}|_{(\tilde{X} \setminus X)}$  is one-to-one; 4)  $X$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}$ ; 5)  $X$  is open in  $\tilde{X}$ . The conditions 1, 2, and 3 determine  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{f}$  uniquely.

The space  $\tilde{X}$  is obtained from  $X$  as follows: to each full inverse image of a point  $y \in Y^*$  we add one new point, and to the full inverse images of points  $y \in Y \setminus Y^*$  we add nothing. We leave the topology on  $X$  unchanged. If  $x \in \tilde{X} \setminus X$ , its neighborhoods will be the sets

of the form  $U = \tilde{f}^{-1}V \setminus F$ , where  $V = V_y$  is an arbitrary neighborhood of the point  $y = \tilde{f}x$  in  $Y$ ,  $F \subset X$  and is closed in  $X$ ,  $fF$  is closed in  $Y$ , the mapping  $f|F$  is closed, and  $F \cap \text{Fr } f^{-1}y$  is bicomact for  $y \in fF$ .

Analogously to Theorem 1, the closedness of  $\tilde{f}$  is proved.

If the space  $Y$  is Hausdorff (respectively regular), then  $\tilde{X}$  is also Hausdorff (respectively regular).

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $f$  be a locally closed mapping of a normal space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$  with the first axiom of countability. Then the boundary of the full preimage  $f^{-1}y$  of each point  $y$  is locally compact.*

If  $f$  is a locally perfect mapping of a metrizable space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$  with the first axiom of countability, then, extending it by the method described in Theorem 10, we obtain a closed but, generally speaking, not perfect extension.

I take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to my adviser I. A. Vainshtein for constant assistance and valuable advice, which had a great influence on the direction of this work.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
8 VII 1966

## REFERENCES

1. I. A. Vainshtein, *Uch. zap. MGU*, no. 155, 5, 3 (1952).
2. M. Henriksen, J. Isbell, *Duke Math. J.*, **25**, No. 1, 83 (1958).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*