

ON APPROXIMATIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS BY RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

A. L. LEVIN, V. M. TIKHOMIROV

1967

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.57507>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 517.52

MATHEMATICS

A. L. LEVIN, V. M. TIKHOMIROV

ON APPROXIMATIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS BY RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov on 8 VII 1966)

Let B be a Banach space of functions $f(z)$ of a complex variable z ; $\|f\|$ the norm of f in B ; \mathcal{P}_n the linear space of polynomials $P_n(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_nz^n$ of degree $\leq n$; \mathcal{R}_n the set of all rational functions $R_n(z) = P_{1k}(z)/P_{2s}(z)$, $\max(k, s) \leq n$.

Put

$$e_n(f) = \inf_{P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|f - P_n\|, \quad r_n(f) = \inf_{R_n \in \mathcal{R}_n} \|f - R_n\|.$$

In this paper we mainly take as B the space A_∞^1 of functions $f(z)$, analytic for $|z| < 1$ and continuous in the closed disk $|z| \leq 1$, with norm $\|f\| = \max_{|z|=1} |f(z)|$. Our concrete results follow from the following elementary lemma of functional analysis.

Lemma. Let B be any Banach space and L its subspace. Let $\varphi_0 \in L$ be an element of best approximation to a given $f_0 \in B$. Then we have:

$$\|f_0 - \varphi_0\| = \max_{\substack{F \in B^* \\ F \perp L}} |F(f_0)| / \|F\|,$$

and the maximum is attained.

Indeed, since

$$|F(f_0)| = |F(f_0 - \varphi)| \leq \|F\| \cdot \|f_0 - \varphi\|,$$

we have

$$\|f_0 - \varphi\| \geq |F(f_0)| / \|F\| \quad \forall \varphi \in L.$$

At the same time there exists a functional F such that

$$\|f_0 - \varphi_0\| \cdot \|F\| = |F(f_0)|$$

(see (3)). This is an obvious consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem. Conversely, if $F \perp L$ and

$$|F(f_0)| = \|F\| \cdot \|f_0 - \varphi_0\|,$$

then we have

$$\|f_0 - \varphi_0\| = |F(f_0)|/\|F\| = |F(f_0 - \varphi)|/\|F\| \leq \|f_0 - \varphi\|, \quad \forall \varphi \in L,$$

and φ_0 is an element of best approximation.

We pass to approximations by rational functions. In this paper, continuing ⁽¹⁾, we carry out a comparison of the apparatus of approximation by rational functions and by polynomials.

Theorem 1. Put

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m z^{\lambda_m},$$

where $c_m > 0$,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m < \infty$$

and λ_{m+1}/λ_m is nonintegral. Let n be a natural number and let m_n be the first index such that

$$\lambda_{m_n-1} - \lambda_{m_n-2} \leq n < \lambda_{m_n} - \lambda_{m_n-1}.$$

Then

$$r_n(f) \geq \sum_{m>m_n} c_m.$$

We note that in ⁽¹⁾ the same function is considered, and for approximation in the norm L_2 the estimate

$$r_n \geq c_{m_n}/2n$$

is obtained. From the theorem just stated it follows at once that if

$$\lambda_{m_n-1} \leq n < \lambda_{m_n} - \lambda_{m_n-1},$$

then

$$r_n(f) = e_n(f),$$

since, obviously,

$$e_n(f) \leq \sum_{m \geq m_n} c_m.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix the poles a_1, \dots, a_n of the rational function $R_n(z)$. Put

$$\mu_k = \exp(\pi i k) \prod_{l=1}^n \left(\exp\left(\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}}\right) - \frac{1}{a_l} \right) \left(\exp\left(-\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}}\right) - \frac{1}{a_l} \right).$$

For any $f \in A_{\infty}^1$ put

$$F(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda_{m_n}-1} f(z_k) \overline{\mu_k}, \quad \text{where } z_k = \exp\left(\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}}\right).$$

F is a linear continuous functional on A_∞^1 . Let us clarify its properties.

$$F(1) = \sum \overline{\mu_k} = \sum \mu_k = 0,$$

since the sum $\sum \mu_k$ contains terms of the form

$$\text{const}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda_{m_n}-1} \exp\left(\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}} s\right) \exp(\pi i k), \quad (*)$$

which is equal to zero if

$$\exp(\pi i) \exp\left(\frac{\pi i}{\lambda_{m_n}} s\right) \neq 1.$$

But this is so, because

$$-n \leq s \leq n, \quad \text{and } n < \lambda_{m_n}.$$

Further,

$$F\left(\frac{1}{z - a_l}\right) = \sum_k \frac{\overline{\mu_k}}{z_k - a_l} = -\frac{1}{a_l^2} \sum_k \frac{\overline{\mu_k}}{z_k - 1/\overline{a_l}} = 0 \quad (l = 1, \dots, n),$$

because μ_k contains the factor $z_k - 1/\overline{a_l}$, and after canceling it we again obtain terms of the form (*).

Finally,

$$F(z^p) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda_{m_n}-1} \overline{\mu_k} z_k^p.$$

This sum contains terms of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda_{m_n}-1} \exp(-\pi i k) \exp\left(\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}} s\right) \exp\left(\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}} p\right).$$

We want $F(z^p) = 0$ for $0 \leq p \leq \lambda_{m_n-1}$. For this, as above, we must have

$$s + p < \lambda_{m_n}$$

or

$$s < \lambda_{m_n} - p \leq \lambda_{m_n} - \lambda_{m_n-1}.$$

But this is satisfied by assumption. It remains to note that

$$F(z^{\lambda_{m_n}}) = \sum_{k=0}^{2\lambda_{m_n}-1} \prod_{l=1}^n \left| \exp\left(\frac{\pi i k}{\lambda_{m_n}}\right) - \frac{1}{a_l} \right|^2 = \|F\|^2$$

and even

$$F(z^{\lambda_m}) = \|F\|^2$$

for $m \geq m_n$ (because of the evenness of λ_{m+1}/λ_m). By the lemma,

$$r_n(f) \geq |F(f)|/\|F\| = \sum_{m \geq m_n} c_m,$$

as was required.

Corollary (see (1)). If the function considered $f(z) \in A_R^1$, i.e.

$$c_m \sim 1/R^m, \quad R > 1,$$

and if

$$\lambda_{m+1}/\lambda_m \rightarrow \infty,$$

then we have:

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n(f)^{1/n} = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(f)^{1/n} = 1/R.$$

Theorem 1, in a somewhat different formulation and by other methods, was obtained by E. P. Dolzhenko in (2). The question arises whether Theorem 1 can be strengthened, i.e. whether there exists a function $f(z) \in A_\infty^1$ such that

$$e_m(f) = r_m(f), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n, \dots$$

The answer to this question is given by

Theorem 2. The functions $f(z) \equiv a_k z^k + b_k$, and only they, have the property that

$$e_n(f) = r_n(f), \quad \forall n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Theorem 2 follows from the following two theorems, which are of independent interest.

Theorem 3. Suppose it is known that the polynomial

$$P_k^0(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_k^k z^k, \quad a_k \neq 0,$$

is a polynomial of degree $k > 0$ of best approximation for $f(z)$, and $n + 1$ is the first number exceeding k such that

$$e_k(f) = e_n(f) > e_{n+1}(f).$$

Then

$$e_n(f) > r_n(f).$$

Indeed, by the condition, the space \mathcal{P}_n is supporting to the sphere of the space A_∞^1 of radius $\|f - P_k^0\|$ with center at $f - P_k^0$, but the space \mathcal{P}_{n+1} is not supporting to it. This means that there exists a variation

$$t \cdot Q_{n+1}(z) \equiv t \cdot (\varepsilon'_0 + \varepsilon'_1 z + \dots + \varepsilon'_{n+1} z^{n+1}), \quad t > 0,$$

such that

$$\|f - P_k^0 + t \cdot Q_{n+1}(z)\| = \|f - P_k^0\| - ta + o(t), \quad a > 0.$$

Consider the numbers $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, defined by the equalities

$$\varepsilon a_k = \varepsilon'_{n+1}, \quad (\varepsilon a_{k-1} + \varepsilon_n) = \varepsilon'_n, \dots, \quad (\varepsilon a_0 + \varepsilon_{n-k+1}) = \varepsilon'_{n-k+1}, \quad \varepsilon_{n-k} = \varepsilon'_{n-k}, \dots, \quad \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon'_0.$$

Then we have

$$R_n(z; t) \equiv \frac{P_k^0(z) - t \cdot (\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 z + \dots + \varepsilon_n z^n)}{1 - \varepsilon t z^{n-k+1}} = P_k^0(z) - t \cdot Q_{n+1}(z) + o(t).$$

Hence, as is easy to see, there is a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|f(z) - R_n(z; t_0)\| = \|f(z) - P_k^0(z) + t_0 Q_{n+1}(z) - o(t_0)\| < \|f(z) - P_k^0(z)\|.$$

The theorem is proved.

Corollary. Let $e_0(f) > e_k(f) = \dots = e_n(f) > e_{n+1}(f)$. By Theorem 3 we have $r_n(f) < e_n(f)$. Hence, if $r_n(f) = e_n(f) \forall n$, then one must have

$$e_0(f) = \dots = e_{k-1}(f) > e_k(f) = \dots = e_n(f) = \dots.$$

But since $e_n(f) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $e_k(f) = 0$, and hence $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree k , and moreover such that $e_{k-1}(f) = e_0(f)$.

Theorem 4. Among all polynomials $P_k(z)$ of degree k , only for polynomials $P_k(z) \equiv a_{kz}^k$ do we have

$$e_{k-1}(P_k(z)) = \|P_k(z)\| = |a_k|. \tag{**}$$

Indeed, for these polynomials condition (**) is satisfied. Let us prove this. Fix the poles $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$. Put, for any $f(z) \in A_\infty^1$,

$$F(f) = \int_{|z|=1} f(z) \bar{\mu}(z) |dz|, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu(z) = z^k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (z - \alpha_i) \left(\frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_i z}{z} \right).$$

F is obviously a linear continuous functional on A_∞^1 . We have:

a)

$$\overline{z - \alpha_i} = \frac{1}{z} - \overline{\alpha_i}$$

on the circle $|z| = 1$, i.e.

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (z - \alpha_i) \left(\frac{1}{z} - \overline{\alpha_i} \right)$$

is real and positive.

b) $F \perp 1$, $1/(z - \alpha_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$, by Cauchy's theorem, since $\mu(z)/z$ and $\mu(z)/(\overline{z} - \overline{\alpha_i}) = z\mu(z)/(1 - \alpha_i z)$ are analytic. (We note that $|dz| = dz/z$ if $|z| = 1$.)

c)

$$|F(a_{kz}^k)| = |a_k| \int_{|z|=1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (z - \alpha_i) \left(\frac{1 - \overline{\alpha_i} z}{z} \right) |dz| = \|F\| \|a_{kz}^k\|;$$

F satisfies the conditions of the lemma with $\varphi_0 = 0$, whence

$$\|a_{kz}^k\| \leq \left\| a_{kz}^k - c_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{c_i}{z - \alpha_i} \right\|.$$

In view of the arbitrariness of α_i and c_i , we obtain $r_n(a_{kz}^k) \geq |a_k|$, as was required.

This result was obtained by another method by V. M. Tikhomirov in 1962. We shall now prove that if the polynomial $P_k(z) \not\equiv a_{kz}^k$, then condition (**) is not satisfied for it.

If for the polynomial $P_k(z) \not\equiv a_{kz}^k$ condition (**) is satisfied, then, by the lemma, there exists a functional

$$F(f) = \int_{|z|=1} f(z) d\mu(z)$$

such that

$$|F(P_k(z))| = \left| \int_{|z|=1} P_k(z) d\mu(z) \right| = \max |P_k(z)| \int_{|z|=1} |d\mu(z)|, \quad (1)$$

$$F \perp z^s, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (2)$$

In view of condition (1), the measure μ is concentrated on the set of points at which $|P_k(z)|$ attains its maximum. But this set consists of m points ($m \leq k$). Hence

$$F(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m f(\xi_i) \mu(\xi_i).$$

Condition (2) gives:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i \xi_i^s = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, k-1.$$

This system of k equations with m ($m \leq k$) unknowns has only the trivial solution. The contradiction obtained proves Theorem 4. Theorem 4 can be strengthened in the following direction.

Theorem 4'. *If $f(z) \in A_\infty^1$, $|f(e^{i\theta})| = \|f\|$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, and in the disk $|z| < 1$ $f(z)$ has more than $k-1$ zeros, then $r_{k-1}(f) = e_{k-1}(f) = \|f\|$.*

We note that, by the maximum principle, the indicated class of functions is exhausted by Blaschke products.

Let K be a subset of A_∞^1 . Denote

$$\varepsilon_n(K) = \sup_{f \in K} e_n(f), \quad \rho_n(K) = \sup_{f \in K} r_n(f).$$

Let B_r be the class considered in work (4).

$$B_r = \{f(z) : f(z) \in A_\infty^1, \quad |f^{(r)}(z)| \leq 1 \text{ for } |z| \leq 1\}.$$

From (4) it follows that

$$\varepsilon_n(B_r) = 1/(n+1) \cdots (n-r+2).$$

From Theorem 4,

$$\rho_n(B_r) \geq r_n \left(\frac{z^{n+1}}{(n+1) \cdots (n-r+2)} \right) = 1/(n+1) \cdots (n-r+2),$$

whence $\varepsilon_n(B_r) = \rho_n(B_r)$.

Theorem 4 also holds in the multidimensional case. If we consider approximations of functions analytic in the polydisc T ($|z_i| < 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$) and continuous in \bar{T} , by rational functions of the form

$$R_n(z_1, \dots, z_k) = Q_n/P_n,$$

where Q_n and P_n are polynomials in z_1, \dots, z_k of degree $\leq n$ (in the totality of the variables), and the polynomial P_n has no zeros in \bar{T} , and take as norm

$$\|f\| = \max_{|z_1|=\dots=|z_k|=1} |f(z_1, \dots, z_k)|,$$

then for the function

$$f_0(z) = (z_1 z_2 \cdots z_k)^{n+1}$$

we have $r_n(f_0) = \|f_0\|$.

Theorem 4' is also generalized to the case of an annulus.

Consider the space $A_{\infty}^{1,r}$ of functions analytic in the annulus K ($r < |z| < 1$), continuous in \overline{K} , with norm

$$\|f\| = \max_{|z|=1, |z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

Consider approximations of functions from $A_{\infty}^{1,r}$ by rational functions

$$R_n(z) = (b_0 + b_1z + \dots + b_{n_z}^n)/(a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_{n_z}^n),$$

where the zeros of the denominator lie outside \overline{K} . Then, if $f_0 \in A_{\infty}^{1,r}$ has the properties:

- a) $f_0(z)$ is analytic in the disk $|z| < 1$ (respectively, outside the disk $|z| \leq r$);
- b) $|f_0(e^{i\theta})| = \|f\|$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ (respectively, $|f(re^{i\theta})| = \|f\|$);
- c) $f_0(z)$ has more than n zeros in the disk $|z| < 1$ (respectively, outside the disk $|z| \leq r$),

then we have $r_n(f_0) = e_n(f_0) = \|f_0\|$.

If, instead of condition a), one requires only that $f_0(z)$ be meromorphic in the corresponding domain, then c) must be strengthened and it must be required that $f_0(z)$ have, in addition, more than $p - 1$ zeros in the disk $|r| < 1$. (Here p denotes the number of poles of $f_0(z)$ in the corresponding domain.) The proofs of these assertions are almost a verbatim repetition of the proof of Theorem 4'.

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
24 VI 1966

REFERENCES

- ¹ V. D. Erokhin, DAN, **128**, No. 1, 32 (1959).
- ² E. P. Dolzhenko, DAN, **166**, No. 3 (1966).
- ³ V. S. Videnskii, UMN, **11**, 5, 174 (1956).
- ⁴ K. I. Babenko, Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat., **22**, 4 (1958).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.