

# ON THE THEORY OF DISTAL MINIMAL SETS AND DISTAL FUNCTIONS

MATHEMATICS

1967

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.36666>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 519.54 + 517.51

**MATHEMATICS**

**I. U. BRONSTEIN**

## ON THE THEORY OF DISTAL MINIMAL SETS AND DISTAL FUNCTIONS

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii, March 11, 1966)*

**1°.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a compact metric space;  $J$  the group of real numbers;  $(X, J, \pi)$  a dynamical system <sup>(1)</sup> (a group of transformations <sup>(2)</sup>). The system  $(X, J, \pi)$  is called **distal** <sup>(3)</sup> if, for any two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there is a number  $d > 0$  such that  $\rho(xt, yt) > d$  for all  $t \in J$ . Every equicontinuous <sup>(2)</sup> (uniformly Lyapunov stable <sup>(1)</sup>) system is, obviously, distal.

In the work of Auslander, Hahn, and Markus <sup>(4)</sup> it was shown that on every nilmanifold <sup>(5)</sup>  $X$  one can define a dynamical system  $(X, J, \pi)$  under which  $X$  is a distal minimal set, but, generally speaking, is not equicontinuous.

In the present note it is proved that on every torus  $T^\alpha$  (finite-dimensional or infinite-dimensional) one can define a distal dynamical system under which  $T^\alpha$  is a minimal set. An example is given of a distal non-equicontinuous system on the three-dimensional torus  $T^3$ , under which  $T^3$  is a minimal set. Further, it is proved that if  $\varphi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  ( $t \in J$ ) are Bohr almost-periodic functions <sup>(6)</sup>, then the function

$$\varphi \left( \int_0^t \psi(\tau) d\tau \right)$$

is distal <sup>(7,8)</sup>, but, generally speaking, is not Bohr almost-periodic.

**2°.** In what follows, by  $T$  we shall denote the factor group of the group  $J$  by the subgroup generated by the number  $2\pi$ .

**Theorem 1.** *A dynamical system defined on the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n$  by the system of differential equations*

$$dx_1/dt = \varphi_1,$$

$$dx_i/dt = \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) \quad (i = 2, \dots, n), \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi_i \in J$ ,  $\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  are functions periodic with period  $2\pi$  in each variable and is distal. For the system (1) there exist numbers  $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$  such that the system of equations

$$dx_1/dt = \varphi_1,$$

$$dx_i/dt = \varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) + \gamma_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, n) \quad (1')$$

defines a dynamical system under which  $T^n$  is a minimal set.

**Proof.** The proof of Theorem 1 is carried out by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  the assertion is obvious. Suppose the assertion is true for  $n = k$ , and let  $a = (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1})$  and  $b = (y_1, \dots, y_{k+1})$  be two distinct points of the torus  $T^{k+1}$ . If there is an index  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $x_i \not\equiv y_i \pmod{2\pi}$ , then the points  $a$  and  $b$  are distal by virtue of the induction hypothesis. If, however,  $x_i \equiv y_i \pmod{2\pi}$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , then  $x_{k+1} \not\equiv y_{k+1} \pmod{2\pi}$ , and in this case the distance between the points  $at$  and  $bt$  does not depend on  $t \in J$ .

By virtue of the induction assumption we may suppose that the system of equations (1) defines on the  $k$ -dimensional torus  $T^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k)\}$  a dynamical system for which  $T^k$  is a distal minimal set. It is easy to prove that the intersection of the closure of the trajectory of the point  $p = (0, \dots, 0) \in T^{k+1}$  with the circle  $(0, \dots, 0, x_{k+1})$  is a subgroup of this group. To complete the proof of the second assertion of the theorem it suffices to use the following proposition.

**Lemma.** Let  $X$  be a compactum and let  $p$  be a homomorphic mapping of a distal dynamical system  $(X, J, \pi)$  onto a system  $(Y, J, \rho)$ , in which  $Y$  is a minimal set. If there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that the closure of the trajectory of the point  $x$  contains the set  $p^{-1}(p(x))$ , then  $X$  is a minimal set for  $(X, J, \pi)$ .

Theorem 1 can obviously be generalized also to infinite-dimensional tori.

We now show that on any torus  $T^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) one can define a dynamical system for which  $T^n$  is a distal, not uniformly continuous, minimal set. By virtue of Theorem 1 it suffices to construct an example of such a system on  $T^3$ .

**Example.** In <sup>(1)</sup>, on p. 424, it is shown that there exist an irrational number  $\mu$  and a function  $\Phi(x_1, x_2)$ , continuous on  $T^2$ , such that for any  $m > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can specify  $a \in T$  and  $t \in J$  for which

$$\left| \int_0^t \Phi(\tau, \mu\tau) d\tau - \int_0^t \Phi(\tau, a + \mu\tau) d\tau \right| > m, \quad |a| < \varepsilon \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (2)$$

By virtue of Theorem 1 there exists a number  $\gamma$  such that the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} dx_1/dt &= 1, \\ dx_2/dt &= \mu, \\ dx_3/dt &= \Phi(x_1, x_2) + \gamma \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

defines on  $T^3$  a distal dynamical system for which  $T^3$  is a minimal set. From condition (2) it follows that the system (3) is not uniformly continuous.

From the results of the paper <sup>(9)</sup> the following assertion follows.

**Theorem 2.** If the torus  $T^2$  is a distal minimal set for the system  $(T^2, J, \pi)$ , then this system is uniformly continuous.

However, the torus  $T^2$  may be a distal, not uniformly continuous, minimal set for a discrete system.

**3°.** A continuous real function  $\varphi(t)$  ( $t \in J$ ) is called **distal** <sup>(7, 8)</sup> if the closure of the trajectory of the point  $\varphi(t)$  in the Bebutov system <sup>(10)</sup> is a compact distal minimal set. It is known <sup>(7)</sup> that the set of all distal functions forms an algebra. It is easy to prove that the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of distal functions is a distal function. From <sup>(11)</sup> it follows that, for any distal function  $\Phi(t)$ , the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{+T} \Phi(t+a) dt$$

exists uniformly in  $a \in J$ .

Denote by  $B$  the set of all Bohr almost-periodic functions.

**Theorem 3.** If  $\psi \in B$ , then the set

$$B_\psi = \left\{ \varphi \left( \int_0^t \psi(\tau) d\tau \right) \mid \varphi \in B \right\}$$

forms an algebra of distal functions.

**Proof.** It is known <sup>(6)</sup> that for every function  $\psi \in B$  there exist a function  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ , continuous on  $T^\infty$ , and numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots$  such that

$$\psi(t) = F(\lambda_1 t, \dots, \lambda_n t, \dots).$$

The system

$$\begin{aligned} dx_i/dt &= \lambda_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n, \dots), \\ dy/dt &= F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

is distal. Therefore, for any function  $\varphi(y)$  periodic with period  $2\pi$ , the function

$$\varphi \left( \int_0^t F(\lambda_1 \tau, \dots, \lambda_n \tau, \dots) d\tau \right) = \varphi \left( \int_0^t \psi(\tau) d\tau \right)$$

is distal. To complete the proof it suffices to use the remarks made at the beginning of this section.

The example constructed above shows that functions of the form

$$\varphi \left( \int_0^t \psi(\tau) d\tau \right),$$

( $\varphi, \psi \in B$ ), generally speaking, are not almost periodic in the sense of Bohr. Moreover, they are not, generally speaking, almost periodic in the sense of Levitan <sup>(6)</sup>, as the following assertion shows.

**Theorem 4.** *If a function almost periodic in the sense of Levitan is distal, then it is almost periodic in the sense of Bohr.*

In conclusion we note that, using Theorem 1, one can also indicate other classes of distal functions.

Institute of Mathematics with Computing Center  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
2 III 1966

## REFERENCES

1. V. V. Nemytskii, V. V. Stepanov, *Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, Moscow, 1949.
2. W. H. Gottschalk, G. A. Hedlund, *Topological Dynamics*, Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., 35, 1955.
3. R. Ellis, *Pacific J. Math.*, 8, 461 (1958).
4. L. Auslander, F. Hahn, L. Markus, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 67, 298 (1961).
5. A. I. Mal' tsev, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 13, 201 (1949).
6. B. M. Levitan, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Moscow, 1953.
7. L. Auslander, F. Hahn, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 106, 415 (1963).
8. A. W. Knap, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 52, 1409 (1964).
9. H. Furstenberg, *Am. J. Math.*, 83, No. 3 (1963).
10. M. V. Bebutov, *Bull. Moscow Univ.*, 2, no. 5 (1941).

11. I. U. Bronshtein, *Izv. AN MSSR*, No. 7, 90 (1965).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*