

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# ON OPTIMAL PROCESSES IN TWO-PARAMETER DISCRETE SYSTEMS

MATHEMATICS

1967

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.36109>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 519.3

**MATHEMATICS**

**O. V. VASIL' EV, F. M. KIRILLOVA**

## **ON OPTIMAL PROCESSES IN TWO-PARAMETER DISCRETE SYSTEMS**

*(Presented by Academician L. S. Pontryagin on 25 VIII 1966)*

1. Let, at the nodes  $(mh, n\tau)$  of the rectangle

$$D = \{mh, n\tau : m = 0, 1, \dots, M, n = 0, 1, \dots, N\},$$

the relation between the  $q$ -dimensional vector  $x = x(m, n)$  and the  $r$ -dimensional control vector  $u = u(m, n)$  be given by the equation

$$R_{h\tau}(x(m, n)) = f(x, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n) \quad (1)$$

and by the initial conditions

$$x(0, n) = \gamma^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N; \quad x(m, 0) = \beta^m, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, M, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{h\tau}(x(m, n)) &= [x(m+1, n+1) - x(m+1, n) - x(m, n+1) + \\ &\quad + x(m, n)]/h\tau, \\ R_h = R_h(x(m, n)) &= [x(m+1, n) - x(m, n)]/h, \\ R_\tau = R_\tau(x(m, n)) &= [x(m, n+1) - x(m, n)]/\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$f(x, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n)$  is uniquely defined on

$$E^q \times E^q \times E^q \times E^r \times E^1 \times E^1,$$

is continuous, and has there continuous second derivatives with respect to  $x, R_h, R_\tau$ .

Define the class of **admissible controls** <sup>(1,2)</sup> by requiring that  $u(m, n) \in U$ , where  $U$  is a given subset of  $E^r$ . On the trajectories (1)–(2) define the functional

$$S(u) = (c \cdot x(M, N)).$$

**Problem.** Find  $u^0(m, n) \in U$  ( $m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$ ;  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ ) such that

$$S(u^0) = \min_{u \in U} S(u). \quad (3)$$

A solution  $u^0$  of problem (3) will be called an **optimal control**.

2. To the control  $u(m, n) \in U$  there corresponds a solution  $x(m, n)$ ; to the control

$$u(m, n) + \Delta u(m, n) \in U$$

there corresponds a solution  $x(m, n) + \Delta x(m, n)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{h\tau}(\Delta x(m, n)) &= f(x + \Delta x, R_h + \Delta R_h, R_\tau + \Delta R_\tau, u + \Delta u, m, n) \\ &\quad - f(x, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n), \\ \Delta x(m, 0) &= \Delta x(0, n) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Delta R = R(x(m, n) + \Delta x(m, n)) - R(x(m, n)) = R(\Delta x(m, n)).$$

For an arbitrary sequence of  $q$ -dimensional vectors  $p(m, n)$ ,

$$m = -1, 0, \dots, M-1; \quad n = -1, 0, \dots, N-1,$$

the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (p(m, n) \cdot R_{h\tau}(\Delta x(m, n)))h\tau &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\bar{R}_{h\tau}(p(m, n)) \cdot \Delta x(m, n))h\tau \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (\bar{R}_h(p(m, N-1)) \cdot \Delta x(m, N))h \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\bar{R}_\tau(p(M-1, n)) \cdot \Delta x(M, n))\tau \\ &\quad + (p(M-1, N-1) \cdot \Delta x(M, N)), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\bar{R}_{h\tau}(p(m, n)) = [p(m, n) - p(m-1, n) - p(m, n-1) + p(m-1, n-1)]/h\tau,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_h(p(m, n)) &= [p(m, n) - p(m-1, n)]/h, & \bar{R}_\tau(p(m, n)) &= [p(m, n) - \\ & & - p(m, n-1)]/\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n) = (p(m, n) \cdot f(x, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n))$$

and choose  $p(m, n)$  from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{h\tau}(p(m, n)) &= \partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n) / \partial x - \\ - \bar{R}_h(\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n) / \partial R_h) - \bar{R}_\tau(\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n) / \partial R_\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} p(M-1, N-1) &= -c, \quad (7) \\ \bar{R}_h(p(m, N-1)) &= -\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, N-1) / \partial R_\tau, \\ \bar{R}_\tau(p(M-1, n)) &= -\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, M-1, n) / \partial R_h. \end{aligned}$$

From (4), (6), (7) and identity (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -(c \cdot \Delta x(M, N)) &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h\tau [H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u + \Delta u, m, n) - \\ - H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n)] &+ \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h\tau [H(x + \Delta x, p, R_h + \Delta R_h, R_\tau + \Delta R_\tau, \\ u + \Delta u, m, n) - H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u + \Delta u, m, n) - \\ - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h\tau &\left[ \left( \frac{\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n)}{\partial x} \cdot \Delta x(m, n) \right) + \right. \\ + \left( \frac{\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n)}{\partial R_h} \cdot \Delta R_h(m, n) \right) &+ \left. \left( \frac{\partial H(x, p, R_h, R_\tau, u, m, n)}{\partial R_\tau} \cdot \Delta R_\tau(m, n) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S &\equiv S(u + \Delta u) - S(u) \equiv (c \cdot \Delta x(M, N)) = \\ &= - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h\tau [H(z, p, u + \Delta u, m, n) - H(z, p, u, m, n)] - \eta, \quad \eta + \eta_1 + \eta_2, \\ \eta_1 &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h\tau \left( \left[ \frac{\partial H(z, p, u + \Delta u, m, n)}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial H(z, p, u, m, n)}{\partial z} \right] \cdot \Delta z(m, n) \right), \\ \eta_2 &= \frac{1}{2} h\tau \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (\Delta z(m, n) \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{\partial^2 H(z + \theta \Delta z, p, u + \Delta u, m, n)}{\partial z^2} \Delta z(m, n), \quad 0 \leq \theta(m, n) \leq 1.$$

Here  $z = \{x, R_h, R_\tau\}$  is a  $3q$ -dimensional vector.

For the variation

$$\Delta^* u(m, n) = \begin{cases} u^* - u(k, l), & m = k, n = l, \\ 0, & m \neq k, n \neq l, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\Delta^* S \equiv S(u + \Delta^* u) - S(u) = -h\tau \{ [H(z, p, u^*, k, l) - H(z, p, u, k, l)] - \eta^* \},$$

$$\eta^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=k+1}^{M-1} \sum_{n=l+1}^{N-1} \left( \Delta z(m, n) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 H(z + \theta \Delta z, p, u, m, n)}{\partial z^2} \Delta z(m, n) \right), \quad \eta_1^* = 0.$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta^*| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (h\tau)^2 \|f(z, u^*, k, l) - f(z, u, k, l)\|^2 \times \\ &\times \sum_{m=k+1}^{M-1} \sum_{n=l+1}^{N-1} L_{m,n} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 H(m, n)}{\partial z^2} \right\| = \bar{\eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

3. Let  $f(z, u, m, n)$  be differentiable with respect to  $u$ . Introduce the set  $a(\alpha, k, l)$ :

$$a(\alpha, z, p, k, l, u(k, l), \dots, u(M-1, N-1), h, \tau) = \{u^* : |\eta^*| \leq \alpha\}$$

and the quantity  $\delta_u H$

$$H(z, p, u + \Delta u, m, n) - H(z, p, u, m, n) = \delta_u H(z, p, u, m, n) + o(\|\delta u\|).$$

**Definition.** The control  $u$  at the node  $(k, l)$  satisfies the quasimaximum condition with number  $\mu(k, l)$  and set  $\omega(k, l)$ , if:

- 1)  $H(z, p, u, k, l) \geq H(z, p, u^*, k, l) - \mu(k, l)$  for all  $u^* \in \omega(k, l)$ ;
- 2)  $\delta_u H \leq 0$  if  $U$  is convex,
- 3)  $\delta_u H = 0$  at interior points of  $U$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The optimal control  $u^0 = u^0(m, n)$  for problem (3) satisfies the conditions:*

- 1) *quasimaximum with  $\mu(k, l) = \alpha$ ,  $\omega(k, l) = a(\alpha, k, l) \cap U$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, M - 2$ ;  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N - 2$ ;*
- 2)

$$H(z, p, u^0, k, l) \geq H(z, p, u, k, l), \quad u \in U \quad (9)$$

for  $k = M - 1$ ;  $l = 0, \dots, N - 1$  and  $k = 0, \dots, M - 1$ ;  $l = N - 1$ .

**Remark.** For convenience of computation, the set  $a(\alpha, k, l)$  may be replaced by the set  $a'(\alpha, k, l)$ :

$$a'(\alpha, k, l) = \{u^* : |\bar{\eta}| \leq \alpha\},$$

where  $\bar{\eta}$  is some upper estimate for  $\eta^*$ , for example (8).

From the definition of the set  $a(\alpha, k, l)$  it follows that the local-maximum principle is valid at the node  $(k, l)$  if the set  $a(0, k, l)$  contains points distinct from  $u^0(k, l)$ .

Thus, the quasimaximum condition singles out some set  $U^* \subset U$  of points  $u(k, l)$  suspected of optimality. The narrowing of the set  $U^*$  is carried out by varying  $\alpha$  and by the local conditions  $\delta_u H \leq 0$ ,  $\delta_u H = 0$ .

Let  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ ; then the number  $\alpha^*$  from  $a'(\alpha^*, k, l) \supseteq U$  tends to zero, and the quasimaximum condition passes into the condition of the absolute maximum, with respect to  $u$ , of the function  $H$ .

4. For the linear variant

$$\begin{aligned} R_{h\tau}(x(m, n)) &= A(m, n)x(m, n) + B(m, n)R_h(x(m, n)) + \\ &+ C(m, n)R_\tau(x(m, n)) + \varphi(m, n, u(m, n)) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

of equation (1), we have  $\eta = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** *In order that the control  $u^0 \in U$  for (10) be optimal, it is necessary and sufficient that condition (9) be fulfilled at the nodes  $(m, n)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$ ;  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ .*

5. For the case

$$\begin{aligned} R_{h\tau}(x(m, n)) &= A(m, n, u(m, n))x(m, n) + B(m, n, u(m, n))R_h(x(m, n)) + \\ &+ C(m, n, u(m, n))R_\tau(x(m, n)) + \varphi(m, n, u(m, n)) \end{aligned}$$

it is not difficult to see that  $\eta^* = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $u^0 = u^0(m, n)$  is an optimal control, then at each node  $(k, l)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$ ;  $l = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ , (9) is fulfilled.*

6. Let  $U$  be convex and let equation (1) have the form

$$R_{h\tau}(x(m, n)) = \sum_{i=1}^r u_i(m, n) \cdot f_i(x, R_h, R_\tau, m, n) + g(x, R_h, R_\tau, m, n).$$

**Theorem 4.** *For the optimal control  $u^0$ , condition (9) is fulfilled at all nodes of the rectangle  $D$ .*

Ural Polytechnic Institute  
named after S. M. Kirov

Received  
10 VI 1966

## CITED LITERATURE

1. L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanskii, R. V. Gamkrelidze, E. F. Mishchenko, *Mathematical Theory of Optimal Processes*, Moscow, 1961.
2. L. I. Rozonoer, *Automation and Remote Control*, 20, Nos. 10-12 (1959).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*