



Soviet-era science, translated into English

ON THE RANK OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

MATHEMATICS

1967

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196701.05037>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.6

MATHEMATICS

J. T. TATE, Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, and **I. R. SHAFAREVICH**

ON THE RANK OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let k be a finite field, $K = k(t)$, and let A be an elliptic curve defined over K . The purpose of this note is to show that the rank of the group of rational points A_K can take arbitrarily large values with a suitable choice of the curve A and a fixed field K . Over the field $k(t)$, where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, analogous examples were constructed by A. I. Lapin ⁽¹⁾.

We begin with the construction of simpler examples in which the field K will not be fixed. Denote by k_f the field of p^f elements and by \bar{k} the algebraic closure of any of the fields k_f . Let C be a geometrically irreducible complete curve, and let A be an elliptic curve, both defined over the field k . Considering A as a curve over the field $L = k(C)$, denote by r the rank of the group A_L . As is well known, r coincides with the rank of the group $\text{Hom}_k(J(C), A)$, where $J(C)$ is the Jacobian variety of the curve C . Denote by $P_C(U)$ and $P_A(U)$ the numerators of the ζ -functions $Z_C(U)$ and $Z_A(U)$ of the curves C and A . From the results of ⁽²⁾ it follows (Theorem 1) that

$$r = 2h, \quad (1)$$

if $P_C = P_A^h \cdot G$ and P_A is irreducible over Q , or $P_C = P_A^{h/2} \cdot G$ and $P_A = F^2$, where $(P_A, G) = 1$.

In connection with this we shall begin with the explicit computation of the polynomial $P_C(U)$ for some curves C .

Theorem 1. Let C be a complete nonsingular model of the curve defined over k_1 by the equation

$$y^e = \gamma x^f + \delta,$$

where the following conditions are satisfied: $\gamma\delta \in k_1^*$, $p \nmid ef$, $2 \leq e \leq f$, $m = \text{l.c.m.}(e, f)$ divides $p^n + 1$ for some n . Denote by G the direct product of cyclic groups $\{\xi\}$ and $\{\eta\}$ of orders e and f , and for $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(G, k^*)$ put $k_\varphi = k_1(\varphi(\xi), \varphi(\eta))$, $d_\varphi = [k_\varphi : k_1]$. If

$$\varphi(\xi) \neq 1, \quad \varphi(\eta) \neq 1, \quad \varphi(\xi\eta) \neq 1, \quad (2)$$

then the number d_φ is even: $d_\varphi = 2c_\varphi$, and

$$P_C(U) = \prod (1 + p^{c_\varphi} U^{d_\varphi}), \quad (3)$$

where the product is extended over all φ satisfying condition (2), and from each class of homomorphisms conjugate with respect to the action of the Galois group of the field k_φ/k_1 only one representative is taken.

We shall use the results of (3), some of which we recall for the reader's convenience.

Introduce the following notation: ζ is a primitive m -th root of unity in \bar{k} ;

$$\varphi(\xi) = \zeta^{maf^{-1}}; \quad \varphi(\eta) = \zeta^{mbf^{-1}};$$

m_φ is the order of φ ; $a_0 = m_\varphi a f^{-1}$; $b_0 = m_\varphi b f^{-1}$; w is a generator of the group k_φ^* , chosen so that

$$\zeta^{mm_\varphi^{-1}} = w^{(p^{d_\varphi} - 1)m_\varphi^{-1}}$$

and χ is a character of the group k_φ^* such that $\chi(w) = e^{2\pi i m_\varphi^{-1}}$.

In this notation, the formulas given on p. 493 (3) give

$$P_C(U) = \prod L_\varphi(U),$$

where φ runs through the same values as in (3), and

$$L_\varphi(U) = 1 + \chi((\gamma^{-1}\delta)^{a_0}(-\delta)^{b_0}) j U^{d_\varphi}; \quad (4)$$

$$j = \sum_{x+y+1=0} \chi(x)^{a_0} \chi(y)^{b_0}, \quad x, y \in k_\varphi^*. \quad (5)$$

Since m_φ is the least common multiple of the orders of $\varphi(\xi)$ and $\varphi(\eta)$ in the group k_φ^* , we have $k_\varphi = k_1(\zeta^{mm_\varphi^{-1}})$, and d_φ is the least integer for which $m_\varphi \mid (p^{d_\varphi} - 1)$. Thus, in the group $(\mathbb{Z}/m_\varphi\mathbb{Z})^*$ the element $p + m_\varphi\mathbb{Z}$ has order d_φ , while $p^n + m_\varphi\mathbb{Z}$ has order 2, since $m_\varphi \mid m$, by hypothesis $m \mid (p^n + 1)$, and $m_\varphi > 2$ in view of (2). Hence it follows easily that $d_\varphi = 2(n, d_\varphi)$ and, in particular, d_φ is even. We also see that

$$p^{c_\varphi} \equiv p^n \equiv -1 \pmod{m_\varphi}, \quad c_\varphi = d_\varphi/2. \quad (6)$$

Let us note that $\chi = 1$ on $k_{c_\varphi}^*$. Indeed, $k_{c_\varphi}^* = \{w^{p^{c_\varphi}+1}\}$, and

$$\chi(w^{p^{c_\varphi}+1}) = e^{(2\pi i m_\varphi^{-1})(p^{c_\varphi}+1)} = 1$$

by (6). In particular, $\chi = 1$ on $k_{c_\varphi}^*$.

We shall now prove that in our case

$$j = p^{c_\varphi}, \quad (7)$$

where j is the Jacobi sum defined by equality (5). For this we use the relation

$$j = p^{-d_\varphi} g(a_0) g(b_0) g(-a_0 - b_0) \quad (8)$$

((3), formula 7), where $g(r)$ is a Gauss sum:

$$g(r) = \sum_{x \in k_\varphi^*} \chi(x)^r \psi(x),$$

and ψ is the standard character of the additive group of the field k_φ . We shall prove that

$$g(r) = \chi(c)^r p^{c_\varphi}, \quad (9)$$

if $r = a_0, b_0$ or $-a_0, -b_0$ and $c \in k_{d_\varphi}^*$ is an element whose trace with respect to the subfield k_{c_φ} is equal to 0. It is clear that (7) follows from (8) and (9). Formula (9) follows from the following lemma with $k = k_{d_\varphi}$, $k_0 = k_{c_\varphi}$, since, in view of (2), $m \nmid r$ and hence χ^r is nontrivial on $k_{d_\varphi}^*$.

Lemma. Let k be a quadratic extension of a finite field k_0 of q elements; let θ be a non-unit character of the group k^* , trivial on k_0^* , and let ψ be the standard additive character of k . Then

$$\sum_{x \in k^*} \theta(x) \psi(x) = \theta(c) q,$$

where $c \in k^*$ is such that $\text{Tr}_{k/k_0}(c) = 0$.

Let

$$k^* = \bigcup a_i k_0^*$$

be the decomposition of k^* into cosets modulo k_0^* . Since $\theta = 1$ on k_0^* , we have

$$\sum_{x \in k^*} \theta(x)\psi(x) = \sum_i \theta(a_i) \sum_{y \in k_0^*} \psi(a_i y).$$

But

$$\sum_{y \in k_0^*} \psi(a_i y) = \sum_{y \in k_0} \psi(a_i y) - 1 = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \psi \neq 1 \text{ on } a_i k_0, \\ q - 1, & \text{if } \psi = 1 \text{ on } a_i k_0. \end{cases}$$

We also use the fact that $\sum_i \theta(a_i) = 0$, since $\theta \neq 1$ on k^*/k_0^* . We obtain that

$$\sum_{x \in k^*} \theta(x)\psi(x) = \left(\sum_i \theta(a_i) \right) q, \quad (10)$$

where the sum is extended over those i for which $\psi = 1$ on $a_i k_0$. Such an a_i is, in particular, the element c : in view of the definition of the character ψ ,

$$\psi(cy) = \psi_1(\text{Tr}_{k/k_1}(cy)),$$

where ψ_1 is a character of the group k_1 , and

$$\text{Tr}_{k/k_1}(cy) = \text{Tr}_{k_0/k_1}(\text{Tr}_{k/k_0}(cy)), \quad \text{Tr}_{k/k_0}(cy) = 0$$

in view of the choice of c .

On the other hand, two distinct terms a_i and a_j cannot enter the sum (10), since otherwise ψ would be trivial on $k = a_i k_0 + a_j k_0$, which is not so. This proves the lemma and (9). Since, moreover, $\chi = 1$ on k_1^* , while $\gamma, \delta \in k_1^*$, it follows that $L_\varphi = 1 + p^{c_\varphi} U^{d_\varphi}$, which proves (3).

Suppose now that the relation $m \mid (p^n + 1)$ holds for no n . Then from the relation $d_\varphi = 2(n, d_\varphi)$ it follows that c_φ is odd and each of the factors $L_\varphi(U)$ is divisible by $1 + pU^2$. As is known, $1 + pU^2 = P_A(U)$, where A is a supersingular elliptic curve defined over the field k_1 . Therefore, in the case under consideration the number h in formula (1) is equal to the number of all classes of homomorphisms φ satisfying condition (2). In particular, if $e = 2$, then this number is equal to the number of divisors of the polynomial $x^f - 1$ irreducible over k_1 and distinct from $x - 1$, and, when $2 \mid f$, from $x + 1$. Thus we have the following.

Corollary. *If A is a supersingular elliptic curve defined over the field k_1 , C is defined by the equation*

$$y^2 = \gamma x^f + \delta, \quad (11)$$

where $f \mid (p^n + 1)$, $2 \nmid n$, $p \neq 2$, then the rank of the curve A over the field $k_1(C)$ is equal to $2h$, where h is the number of divisors irreducible over k_1 of the polynomial $x^f - 1$, distinct from $x - 1$ and, when $2 \mid f$, from $x + 1$.

Let us note that there always exists a supersingular curve A defined over the field k_1 . For this it is enough, in view of the results of [4], to show that p is the norm of an integral element of the quaternion algebra \mathfrak{A} over Q , ramified only at p and ∞ . But if $p \equiv -1(4)$, then $\mathfrak{A} = (-p, -1)$, while if $p \equiv 1(4)$, then $\mathfrak{A} = (-p, -q)$, where q is a prime number, $q \equiv -1(4)$, $(q/p) = -1$. In both cases \mathfrak{A} contains an element u such that $u^2 + p = 0$.

We now turn to the construction of a curve defined over the field $k_1(x)$. For this, on the curve C given by equation (11), consider the automorphism $s: s(x) = x$, $s(y) = -y$, and on the surface $C \times A$ the automorphism $\sigma(c, a) = (s(c), -a)$, $c \in C$, $a \in A$. The projection $C \times A \rightarrow C$ defines a map $(C \times A)/\sigma \rightarrow C/s = \mathbf{P}^1$. Therefore we may regard the field $\mathcal{K} = k_1(V)$, $V = (C \times A)/\sigma$, as a field of transcendence degree 1 over the field $k_1(\mathbf{P}^1) = k_1(x)$.

It is easy to see that the genus of the field $\mathcal{K}/k_1(x)$ is 1.

If the curve A is given by the equation $v^2 = u^3 + au^2 + bu + c$, then the field $\mathcal{K}/k_1(x)$ is the field of rational functions on the curve given by the equation

$$v^2 = u^3 + a(\gamma x^f + \delta)u^2 + b(\gamma x^f + \delta)^2u + c(\gamma x^f + \delta)^3. \quad (12)$$

The rank of curve (12) over $k_1(x)$ is, as is easy to see, equal to the rank of the group of such maps, defined over k_1 , $f: C \rightarrow A$, that

$$f(c^s) = -f(c). \quad (13)$$

For any map $f: C \rightarrow A$, the map $c \rightarrow f(c) + f(c^s)$ is constant, since it is equal to the composition of the map $C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and a certain map $\mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow A$. From the fact that the group A_{k_1} is finite, it follows that the group of maps satisfying (13) has finite index in the group of points of A rational over $k_1(C)$. Therefore the rank of curve (12) over $k_1(x)$ is equal to the rank of the curve A over $k_1(C)$, which is determined by Theorem 1 and the corollary. Thus we have proved

Theorem 2. *The rank of curve (12) over the field $k_1(x)$, for $f \mid (p^n + 1)$, $2 \nmid n$, $p \neq 2$, is equal to $2h$, where h is the number of irreducible divisors over k_1 of the polynomial $x^f - 1$ distinct from $x - 1$ and for $2 \mid f$ also from $x + 1$.*

Suppose, in particular, that $f = p^n + 1$ and n is a prime number. Then the number of irreducible divisors of the polynomial $x^f - 1$ distinct from $x + 1$ is, as is easy to verify, $(p^n - p)/2n + (p - 1)/2$, and we see that the rank of curve (12) over the field $k_1(x)$ for $f = p^n + 1$, $p \neq 2$, and n prime is equal to $(p^n - p)/n + p - 1$.

Thus the rank can assume arbitrarily large values.

Institute for Advanced Scientific Studies
Paris, France

Harvard University
USA

V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
3 V 1967

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ A. I. Tashin, *Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem.*, 28, 953 (1964).
- ² J. Tate, *Inventiones Math.*, 2, No. 2, 134 (1966).
- ³ A. Weil, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 73, No. 3, 487 (1952).
- ⁴ M. Deuring, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg*, 14, 197 (1941).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.