

# ASYMPTOTICS OF EIGENVALUES AND EIGENFUNCTIONS OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL SINGULAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

MATHEMATICS

1966

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.92258>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 517.941.92

**MATHEMATICS**

**M. V. FEDORYUK**

## ASYMPTOTICS OF EIGENVALUES AND EIGENFUNCTIONS OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL SINGULAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

*(Presented by Academician I. M. Vinogradov on 29 X 1965)*

### I. Consider the system

$$y' = \mu A(x)y, \quad (1)$$

where  $A(x)$  is a square matrix of order  $n$ ,  $y$  is a vector with  $n$  components, and  $\mu$  is a parameter. Everywhere in what follows it is assumed that, for  $x \geq 0$ , the matrix  $A''(x)$  is continuous (the prime denotes differentiation with respect to  $x$ ; the matrix  $A(x)$  is complex-valued). In this part of the article we study the asymptotics of solutions of system (1) as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We describe the form of the matrix  $A(x)$ .

1°.  $A(x) = q(x)Q(x)B(x)Q^{-1}(x)$ , where  $q(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $Q$  is a diagonal matrix;  $(Q(x))_{ii} = q^{\alpha_i}(x)$ ;  $\alpha_i$  are complex numbers.

2°. The matrix  $B(+\infty)$  exists, is finite, nondegenerate, and has distinct eigenvalues  $\eta_j$ .

3°.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left( |q'(x)| |\bar{q}(x)|^{-2} + |\bar{q}(x)|^{-1} \|B'(x)\| \right) = 0,$$

where

$$\|B(x)\| = \max_{i,j} |b_{ij}(x)|.$$

4°. The function

$$F(x) = |q''q^{-3}| + |q''q^{-2}| + (|q|^{-1} + |q'q^{-2}|) \|B'\| + |q|^{-1} (\|B'\|^2 + \|B''\|)$$

is summable on the interval  $[0, +\infty)$ .

Denote by  $\lambda_j(x)$  the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A(x)$ , by  $e_j(x), e_j^*(x)$  the right and left eigenvectors of this matrix corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j(x)$ , and by  $T(x)$  the matrix whose  $j$ -th column is  $e_j(x)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Put

$$\lambda_j^{(1)}(x) = -(T^{-1}(x)T'(x))_{jj} \quad (2)$$

and introduce the diagonal matrices  $\Lambda(x), \Lambda_1(x)$ :

$$(\Lambda)_{jj} = \lambda_j(x), \quad (\Lambda_1)_{jj} = \lambda_j^{(1)}(x). \quad (3)$$

All these notations, by virtue of  $2^\circ$ , have meaning for sufficiently large  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that conditions  $1^\circ-4^\circ$  are satisfied,

$$\int_0^\infty |q(x)| dx = \infty, \quad (4)$$

and, for sufficiently large  $x$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i(x) - \lambda_j(x))| \geq C|q(x)|, \quad C > 0. \quad (5)$$

Then for every  $\mu_0 > 0$  there exists  $x(\mu_0) < \infty$  such that, for  $x \geq x(\mu_0)$ ,  $\mu \geq \mu_0$ , there exists a fundamental matrix  $Y(x, \mu)$  of system (1) having the form

$$Y = T(x)(E + \mu^{-1}U(x, \mu)) \exp \left( \int_{x_0}^x (\mu\Lambda(t) + \Lambda_1(t)) dt \right). \quad (6)$$

$$\|U(x, \mu)\| \leq u(x), \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |q(x)| = +\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \arg q(x) = \varphi_0. \quad (8)$$

Denote

$$\eta_j' = \eta_j e^{i\varphi_0} \quad (9)$$

where  $\eta_j$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $B(+\infty)$ , and  $m^-, m^+$  are the numbers of points  $\eta_j'$  lying respectively in the left and right half-planes, and introduce the operator

$$l = d/dx - A(x).$$

From Theorem 1 it follows:

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1 and condition (8) are satisfied and*

$$\operatorname{Re} \eta'_j \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \quad \operatorname{Re}(\eta'_i - \eta'_j) \neq 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (10)$$

*Then, for any complex  $\mu$ , the maximal number of linearly independent solutions of the system*

$$ly = \mu y, \quad (11)$$

*belonging to  $L_2[0, +\infty)$ , is equal to  $m^-$ .*

Denote by  $D$  the collection of all vectors  $y \in L_2[0, +\infty)$ , all components of which are absolutely continuous on every finite interval  $[0, a]$ ,  $a > 0$ , and such that  $ly \in L_2[0, +\infty)$ . By  $D_\Omega$  we denote the collection of all vectors  $y \in D$  such that

$$\Omega y(0) = 0, \quad (12)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a constant matrix of rank  $m^-$ . By  $L_\Omega$  we denote the operator in  $L_2[0, +\infty)$  with domain of definition  $D_\Omega$ , and  $L_\Omega y = ly$  for  $y \in D_\Omega$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied, then the spectrum of the operator  $L_\Omega$  is purely discrete and has no finite limit points.*

Let us discuss the conditions of Theorem 1. If one requires that the matrix  $A(x)$  be nondegenerate in a certain sense (see, for example, (1)) and that the  $\lambda_j(x)$  have the same order of growth as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $A(x)$  has the form 1°, 2°. In particular, for the scalar equation  $y^{(n)} + \mu^n p(x)y = 0$  these conditions are automatically satisfied. If the characteristic roots of the scalar equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^n q_k(x)y^{(k)} = 0, \quad q_n(x) \equiv 1,$$

have the same order of growth as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , then the matrix  $A(x)$  has the form 1°, and  $B(+\infty)$  exists and is finite; moreover  $a_j = j - 1$ . Therefore the main results of (3) are contained in Theorem 1. Theorem 1 also remains valid in the case where condition 2° is replaced by

2°'. The matrix  $B(+\infty)$  has no multiple roots.

Condition 3° is a restriction on the rate of decrease of  $q(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and condition 4° is a restriction on the regularity of the behavior of  $q(x)$  and  $B(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . For example, if  $\|B^{(j)}(x)\| = O(x^{-j})$ ,  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $q(x) = x^\alpha$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \alpha > -1$ , then conditions 3°, 4° are satisfied. These conditions are also satisfied if  $q(x)$  grows like  $(\ln x)^\beta$  or like  $\exp(Cx^\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} C > 0$ , with arbitrary  $\beta$ , and this asymptotic expression can be differentiated twice. Condition (4) is satisfied in these examples. Finally, condition (5) can be weakened. In particular, if  $A(x)$  is real, then it is sufficient to require (5) only for those pairs  $\lambda_i(x), \lambda_j(x)$  which are not complex conjugates. G. Birkhoff<sup>(2)</sup> investigated the case where  $\mu = 1$ ,  $Q(x) = E$ ,  $q(x) = x^r$ , where  $r \geq 0$  is an integer and  $B(+\infty)$  has distinct eigenvalues; these results are contained in Theorem 1.

- II. Consider the eigenvalue problem on the whole axis  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  for system (1). A solution  $y(x, \mu_0) \neq 0$  belonging to  $L_2(-\infty, +\infty)$  is called an eigenfunction, and  $\mu_0$  is called an eigenvalue.

value. A point  $x_0$  is called a turning point of system (1) if the matrix  $A(x_0)$  has multiple eigenvalues. A turning point  $x_0$  is called simple if  $A(x_0)$  has a double eigenvalue  $\lambda_0$ , the remaining eigenvalues are simple, and  $f_x(\lambda_0, x_0) \neq 0$ , where  $f(\lambda, x)$  is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix  $A(x)$ .

Introduce the conditions:

1. System (1) has on the real axis exactly two, and moreover simple, turning points  $x_1 < x_2$ , and  $\lambda_m(x_j) = \lambda_{m+1}(x_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .
2.  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_m(x) - \lambda_{m+1}(x)) \equiv 0$  for  $x_1 \leq x \leq x_2$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m(x) - \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{m+1}(x) < 0$  for  $x > x_2$ ,  $x < x_1$ .
3. For all real  $x$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j+1}(x)) < 0, \quad j \neq m, n.$$

In particular, for the scalar equation  $y'' - \mu^2 q(x)y = 0$ , conditions 1-3 are satisfied if  $q(x)$  is real for real  $x$ , has exactly two, and moreover simple, zeros  $x_1 < x_2$  on the real axis, and  $q(x) > 0$  for  $x > x_2$ .

Denote by  $S(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$  the sector  $|\mu| \geq \rho$ ,  $\alpha < \arg \mu < \beta$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 1 and conditions 1-3 are satisfied on the real axis,  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ , and the matrix  $A(x)$  is regular on the interval  $[x_1, x_2]$ . Then there exist  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\alpha < 0 < \beta$  such that all eigenvalues of system (1) (for the problem on the whole axis) lying in  $S(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$  have the form*

$$\mu_k = \xi_0^{-1}(2\pi k i + \xi_1) + O(k^{-1}), \quad k_0 \leq k < +\infty. \quad (13)$$

Here

$$\xi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_C (\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m) dt, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_C (\lambda_{m+1}^{(1)} - \lambda_m^{(1)}) dt, \quad (14)$$

where  $C$  is a closed contour in the complex  $x$ -plane which contains the interval  $[x_1, x_2]$  in its interior, traverses it in the positive direction, and contains no

other turning points of system (1) in its interior. The branches of the functions  $\lambda_{m+1}, \lambda_m, \lambda_{m+1}^{(1)}, \lambda_m^{(1)}$  are chosen for  $x \in C, x > x_2$ .

We note that  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_1$  are purely imaginary quantities and  $\text{Im} \xi_0 > 0$ . In addition, the matrices  $B(+\infty)$  and  $B(-\infty)$  may be different, and  $q(x)$  may have different orders of growth as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .

**Corollary.** *Let  $\gamma_0$  be a smooth curve in the complex  $x$ -plane connecting two real points  $x_3 < x_4$ , and let*

$$\gamma = (-\infty, x_3] \cup \gamma_0 \cup [x_4, +\infty).$$

*Suppose that on  $\gamma$  the conditions of Theorem 1, condition 1, and the following conditions hold:*

$$2'. \quad \text{Re} \left( \int_{x_1}^x (\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m) dt \right) \equiv 0, \quad \text{if } x \in \gamma \text{ and lies between } x_1 \text{ and } x_2;$$

$$\text{Re} \left( \int_{x_1}^x (\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m) dt \right) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \gamma \text{ to the right of } x_2, \text{ and is less than zero for } \\ x \in \gamma \text{ to the left of } x_1.$$

$$3'. \quad \text{Re} \left( \int_{x_2}^x (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}) dt \right) < 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq m, x \in \gamma \text{ and lying to the right of } x_2,$$

and this function is positive for  $x \in \gamma$  to the left of  $x_2$ .

*Suppose, moreover, that the matrix  $A(x)$  is regular for  $x \in \overline{\gamma_0}$ . Then all conclusions of Theorem 4 remain valid.*

For the scalar equation  $y'' - \mu^2 p(x)y = 0$ , this question has been investigated more fully in (4,5).

Our methods also make it possible to find the asymptotics of the eigenfunctions as  $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$  (in the case of Theorem 4) on the whole real axis, except for certain neighborhoods of the turning points.

Introduce the conditions:

- 1) System (1) has turning points on the real axis

$x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{2l}$ , all of them are simple, and  $\lambda_{m-j+1}(x_j) = \lambda_{m+j}(x_{2l-j+1})$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ .

- 2)  $\text{Re}(\lambda_{m-j+1}(x) - \lambda_{m+j}(x)) \equiv 0$  for  $x \in [x_j, x_{2l-j+1}]$ .

- 3)  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_j(x) - \lambda_{j+1}(x)) < 0$  for all  $x, j$ , with the exception of those indicated in 2), and  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{m+1}(x) > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_m(x) < 0$  for  $x \in [x_1, x_{2l}]$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and conditions 1)-3) are satisfied and that the matrix  $A(x)$  is regular for  $x \in [x_1, x_{2l}]$ . Then there exist  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\alpha < 0 < \beta$  such that all eigenvalues of system (1) lying in  $S(\rho, \alpha, \beta)$  have the form*

$$\mu_{kj} = \xi_{0j}^{-1}(2\pi ki + \xi_{1j}) + O(k^{-1}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad k \geq k_0. \quad (15)$$

Here  $\xi_{0j}, \xi_{1j}$  have the form (14), where the integral is taken over the contour  $C_j$  enclosing the segment  $[x_j, x_{2l-j+1}]$ .

The quantities  $\xi_{0j}$  and  $\xi_{1j}$  are purely imaginary, and  $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{0j} > 0$ . The corollary from Theorem 4 remains valid. Similar formulas are obtained for the asymptotics of the eigenvalues for a problem on a half-line (say, on the half-line  $x \geq x_0$ ,  $x_1 < x_0 < x_2$ , under the hypotheses of Theorem 4).

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Received  
18 X 1965

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> L. R. Volevich, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 18, No. 3, 155 (1963).
- <sup>2</sup> G. Birkhoff, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 10, 436 (1909).
- <sup>3</sup> M. V. Fedoruk, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 165, No. 4 (1965).
- <sup>4</sup> Yu. N. Dnestrovsky, L. P. Kostomarov, *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz.*, 4, No. 2, 267 (1964).
- <sup>5</sup> M. V. Fedoruk, *Mat. Sb.*, 68 (110), No. 1, 68 (1965).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*