

**RESTRICTION OF A
REPRESENTATION OF
THE
COMPLEMENTARY
SERIES OF THE
COMPLEX
UNIMODULAR GROUP
OF SECOND ORDER TO
A REAL SUBGROUP**

MATHEMATICS

1966

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.53210>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

UDC 513.88

MATHEMATICS

B. D. ROMM

RESTRICTION OF A REPRESENTATION OF THE COMPLEMENTARY SERIES OF THE COMPLEX UNIMODULAR GROUP OF SECOND ORDER TO A REAL SUBGROUP

(Presented by Academician I. M. Vinogradov, 16 IX 1965)

I. In paper ⁽⁶⁾, representations of the principal series of the group G_0 of complex matrices of second order with determinant equal to 1 are considered. If these representations are restricted to the real subgroup G , then reducible representations arise, which there decompose into irreducible ones. In the present article a similar problem is solved for the complementary series.

As is known ⁽¹⁾, a representation of the complementary series is determined by a parameter σ , $0 < \sigma < 2$, and is realized in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H}_σ , which is the closure of the set of functions $f(z)$ on the complex plane satisfying the condition

$$(f, f) = \int |z_1 - z_2|^{-2+\sigma} f(z_1) \overline{f(z_2)} dz_1 dz_2 < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where $dz = dx dy$, $x = \operatorname{Re} z$, $y = \operatorname{Im} z$. The operators V_a of the representation have the form

$$V_a f(z) = |\beta z + \delta|^{-2-\sigma} f(z\tilde{a}), \quad (2)$$

where

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad z\tilde{a} = \frac{\alpha z + \gamma}{\beta z + \delta}.$$

For the group G the operators V_a define a certain reducible unitary representation d . The problem of the present article is to decompose the representation d into irreducible representations.

II. Consider the subrepresentation d^+ of the representation d in the subspace \mathfrak{H}_σ^+ of elements $f \in \mathfrak{H}_\sigma$ with support in the upper half-plane Z^+ . The decomposition of d into irreducible representations reduces to the decomposition of the representations of the group G analogous to d^+ . Therefore we first decompose the representation d^+ .

Let $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_\sigma^+ \cap L_2^\mu(Z^+) \cap L_1^{\mu'}(Z^+)$, where the measures $\mu(z)$ and $\mu'(z)$ have the form $d\mu(z) = (\text{Im } z)^\sigma dz$, $d\mu'(z) = (\text{Im } z)^{\sigma/2-1} dz$. Put, for $z = i\tilde{a}$, $a \in G$,

$$\psi(a) = (\text{Im } z)^{\sigma/2+1} f(z). \quad (3)$$

It is easy to see that for any $a_0 \in G$

$$\psi(aa_0) = (\text{Im } z)^{\sigma/2+1} V_{a_0} f(z). \quad (4)$$

The totality of all matrices u_φ

$$u_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi \\ \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

forms a subgroup U in the group G . If the subgroup $K \subset G$ consists of matrices

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \mu & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

then the unique decomposition holds:

$$a = uk, \quad (6)$$

where $u \in U$, $k \in K$. For the Haar measure $d\mu(a)$ on the group G we have

$$d\mu(a) = d\varphi dz / 2\pi(\text{Im } z)^2, \quad (7)$$

where $z = i\tilde{a} = i\tilde{k} = i\lambda^2 + \lambda\mu$.

If $u_1 \in U$, then $\tilde{u}u_1 = i$; therefore from the decomposition (6) it follows that

$$\psi(ua) = \psi(a) = \psi(k).$$

From formulas (3) and (7) it follows that

$$\int |\psi(a)|^2 d\mu(a) = \int_{Z^+} |f(z)|^2 (\text{Im } z)^\sigma dz,$$

$$\int |\psi(a)| d\mu(a) = \int_{Z^+} |f(z)| (\operatorname{Im} z)^{\sigma/2-1} dz. \quad (9)$$

Thus, $\psi(a) \in L = L_2(G) \cap L_1(G)$, where the spaces $L_p(G)$ are defined by the measure $d\mu(a)$, if in (3) $f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Let $z = i\tilde{a}$, $z_1 = i\tilde{a}_1$, where $a, a_1 \in G$. Denote

$$\alpha_\sigma(a, a_1) = |z - z_1|^{-2+\sigma} / (\operatorname{Im} z \operatorname{Im} z_1)^{\sigma/2-1}. \quad (10)$$

According to (1), (3), and (7), for $f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$(f, f) = \int \alpha_\sigma(a, a_1) \psi(a) \overline{\psi(a_1)} d\mu(a) d\mu(a_1), \quad (11)$$

$$\alpha_\sigma(aa_0, a_1a_0) = \alpha_\sigma(a, a_1), \quad a_0 \in G, \quad (12)$$

$$(f, f) = \int \alpha_\sigma(e, a_1) \int \psi(a) \overline{\psi(a_1a)} d\mu(a) d\mu(a_1), \quad (13)$$

where

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that if a sequence of compact sets Q_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, in G satisfies the conditions $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \dots$ and $\bigcup_i Q_i = G$, then

$$(f, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_n} \alpha_\sigma(e, a_1) \int \psi(a) \overline{\psi(a_1a)} d\mu(a) d\mu(a_1), \quad (13a)$$

because an analogous equality holds for any summable function $\varphi(a)$ on G :

$$\int \varphi(a) d\mu(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_n} \varphi(a) d\mu(a). \quad (13b)$$

Conversely, if $\varphi(a)$ is a measurable function and the limit in the right-hand side of (13b) exists, then $\varphi(a)$ is summable and (13b) holds.

III. Let $a \rightarrow T_a$ be some irreducible unitary representation of the group G .
Put

$$T_\psi = \int \psi(a) T_a^{-1} d\mu(a), \quad (14)$$

$\psi(a) \in L$, because $f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}$ according to (9); $\Phi(b) = \int \psi(a) \overline{\psi(ba)} d\mu(a)$. According to (8), $\Phi(bu_1) = \Phi(b)$; therefore $T_\Phi = T_{uT_\Phi}$ for any $u \in U$. It is clear that the inequality $T_\Phi \neq 0$ is possible only in the case of a representation of class 1.

Of the principal series described in the article ⁽²⁾, only the series C_q^0 belongs to this class. It can be realized in the space $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ by the formula ⁽⁴⁾

$$T_a f(x) = |\beta x + \delta|^{i\rho-1} f\left(\frac{\alpha x + \gamma}{\beta x + \delta}\right), \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

The parameter $\rho \in (-\infty, \infty)$ determines the representation.

In $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ there exists, unique up to a numerical factor, a normalized vector $f_0(x)$ satisfying the condition

$$T_u f_0 = f_0. \quad (16)$$

for all $u \in U$ (see (2), §5). In view of the one-dimensionality of the operator T_Φ in the case of the series C_q^0 ,

$$\text{Sp } T_\Phi = (T_\Phi f_0, f_0)_1, \quad (17)$$

where $(f, f_1)_1$ is the scalar product in $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$, and has the form:

$$(f, f_1)_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \overline{f_1(x)} dx.$$

According to the results of the article ⁽⁴⁾

$$\begin{aligned} \int \psi(a) \overline{\psi(a_1 a)} d\mu(a) &= \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} (T_\psi^* T_\psi f_0, T_{a_1} f_0)_1 d\rho = \\ &= \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} (T_\psi f_0, T_\psi f_0)_1 (f_0, T_a f_0)_1 d\rho, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

because the space of vectors f_0 satisfying condition (16) is one-dimensional, so that from equalities (8) and (14) it follows that $T_\psi^* f_0 = T_{uT_\psi}^* f_0 = \nu f_0$, where $\nu = \text{const}$, and the asterisk denotes passage to the adjoint operator.

The integral with respect to ρ in (18) converges uniformly in a_1 ; therefore from equality (13a) we obtain

$$(f, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \int_{Q_n} \alpha_\sigma(e, a)(f_0, T_{af}0)_1 d\mu(a) \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} (T_\psi f_0, T_\psi f_0)_1 d\rho. \quad (19)$$

IV. For almost all $a \in G$ there is a decomposition

$$a = u_\varphi \varepsilon u_{\varphi_1}, \quad (20)$$

where u_φ is determined by formula (5), and

$$\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $t > 0$ ((⁴), appendix). a uniquely determines t, φ , and φ_1 , if $a \neq e$, $\varphi, \varphi_1 \in [0, \pi)$. In view of (16), $\nu(a) = \nu(\varepsilon)$, where $\nu(a) = (f_0, T_{af}0)$.

It is easy to show (⁵) that

$$\nu(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} [P_{(-i\rho-1)/2}(\operatorname{ch} 2t) + P_{(i\rho-1)/2}(\operatorname{ch} 2t)], \quad (21)$$

where $P_\nu(z)$ is the spherical Legendre function of the 1st kind.

From equalities (12) and (20) it follows that $\alpha_\sigma(e, a) = |2 \operatorname{sh} t|^{-2+\sigma}$. If we put $y = \operatorname{ch} 2t$, then equality (19) may be written in the form

$$(f, f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sigma/2-7}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{Q}_n} (y-1)^{-1+\sigma/2} [P_{(i\rho-1)/2}(y) + P_{(-i\rho-1)/2}(y)] dy \rho \times \\ \times \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \int h(x, \rho) \overline{h(x, \rho)} dx d\rho, \quad (22)$$

where, according to (8) and (15), $h(x, \rho) = T_\varphi f_0$, $\tilde{Q}_n = \{y : y = \operatorname{ch} 2t, a \in Q_n\}$.

If we put

$$K(z, x, \rho) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^{(\sigma-1-i\rho)/2} |i(x - \operatorname{Re} z) - \operatorname{Im} z|^{i\rho-1},$$

then, according to (3) (K is the integral operator with kernel $K(z, x, \rho)$),

$$h(x, \rho) = \int_{Z^+} K(z, x, \rho) f(z) dz = Kf(z), \quad f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (23)$$

From the decomposition (20) it follows that $a^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = 2 \operatorname{ch} 2t$, so that

$$\tilde{Q}_n = \left\{ y : y = \frac{a^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2}{2}, a \in Q_n \right\}. \quad (24)$$

The inversion formula has the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \overline{K(z, x, \rho)} h(x, \rho) dx d\rho. \quad (25)$$

In view of (4) and (14), from the relation $h = T_\psi f_0$ it follows that $h(x, \rho)$ passes into $T_{a_0} h(x, \rho)$ when $f(z)$ passes into $V_{a_0} f(z)$.

Theorem 1. Let \mathfrak{H}_+ denote the Hilbert space obtained by completing, in the scalar product

$$[h, h_1]_+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sigma/2-7}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{Q}_n} (y-1)^{-1+\sigma/2} [P_{(i\rho-1)/2}(y) + P_{(-i\rho-1)/2}^*(y)] dy \rho \\ \times \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \int h(x, \rho) \overline{h_1(x, \rho)} dx d\rho$$

the set of all measurable functions $h(x, \rho)$ for which

$$(h, h) = \iint |h(x, \rho)|^2 \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} dx d\rho < \infty$$

and the limit on the right-hand side of equality (22) exists. Then \mathfrak{H}_+ is isometric to \mathfrak{H}_σ^+ , and the isometry formula (22) is the Plancherel formula for the representation d^+ . (23) and (25) are mutually inverse formulas, which hold when $f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}$.

V. Let now $f(z) \in \mathfrak{H}_\sigma$. Put $\varphi(z) = (f(z) + f(\bar{z}))/2$, $\varphi_1(z) = (f(z) - f(\bar{z}))/2$.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathfrak{H}(\mathfrak{H}_1)$ be the Hilbert space obtained by completing, in the scalar product $[h, h_1]$ ($[h, h_1]_1$),

$$[h, h_1] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sigma/2-6}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{Q}_n} [(y-1)^{-1+\sigma/2} + (y+1)^{-1+\sigma/2}] [P_{(i\rho-1)/2}(y) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + P_{(-i\rho-1)/2}(y) \Big] dy \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \int h(x, \rho) \overline{h_1(x, \rho)} dx d\rho, \\
 [h, h_1]_1 = & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\sigma/2-6}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\tilde{Q}_n} [(y-1)^{-1+\sigma/2} - (y+1)^{-1+\sigma/2}] [P_{(i\rho-1)/2}(y) + \\
 & + P_{(-i\rho-1)/2}(y)] dy \rho \operatorname{th} \frac{\pi\rho}{2} \int h(x, \rho) \overline{h_1(x, \rho)} dx d\rho
 \end{aligned}$$

the set $M(M_1)$ of all measurable functions $h(x, \rho)$ for which $(h, h) < \infty$, $[h, h] < \infty$, $((h, h) < \infty, [h, h]_1 < \infty)$. Then the Plancherel formula for the representation d holds:

$$(f, f) = [h, h] + [h_1, h_1]_1,$$

where $h(x, \rho) = K\varphi(z)$, $h_1(x, \rho) = K\varphi_1(z)$, and $\varphi(z)$ and $\varphi_1(z)$ belong to certain dense sets, which are defined analogously to \mathfrak{H} . There is an inversion formula of the form (25).

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

Received
9 IX 1965

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. A. Naimark, *Linear Representations of the Lorentz Group*, Moscow, 1958.
2. V. Bargmann, *Ann. Math.*, **47**, 568 (1948).
3. Harish-Chandra, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **38**, No. 4, 855 (1952).
4. D. B. Romm, *Izv. AN SSSR, ser. matem.*, **29**, No. 5 (1965).
5. N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Moscow, 1963.
6. B. D. Romm, *DAN*, **152**, No. 1 (1963).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.