

# ON THE APPROXIMATION OF A PROBLEM OF OPTIMAL CONTROL IN A SYSTEM WITH AFTEREFFECT

MECHANICS

1966

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.30045>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 62:50

*MECHANICS*

Corresponding Member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR N. N. KRASOVSKII

## ON THE APPROXIMATION OF A PROBLEM OF OPTIMAL CONTROL IN A SYSTEM WITH AFTEREFFECT

Consider the problem <sup>(1,2)</sup> of optimal control  $u^0[t, x(t + \vartheta)]$ , which stabilizes, up to asymptotic stability, the system with aftereffect

$$\dot{x}(t) = \int_{-\sigma}^0 d_{\vartheta} H(t, \vartheta) x(t + \vartheta) + B(t)u \quad (1)$$

and at the same time minimizes the integral

$$I_{\infty}[t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u] = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [\|x(t)\|^2 + \|u(t)\|^2] dt. \quad (2)$$

Here  $x = \{x_i\}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector of phase coordinates of the controlled object;  $x(t + \vartheta)$  ( $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ) is an element of the trajectory of system (1) of temporal length  $\sigma > 0$ —const;  $H(t, \vartheta)$  is a matrix with bounded variation in  $\vartheta$  for  $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ;  $B(t)$  is a matrix bounded for  $t \geq 0$ ;  $u$  is an  $r$ -dimensional vector of the control force;  $x_0(\vartheta)$  is the initial perturbation  $x(t_0 + \vartheta)$  ( $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ) of the object; the symbols  $\|x\|$  and  $\|u\|$  denote the Euclidean norms of the vectors  $x$  and  $u$ .

The optimal control  $u^0[t, x(t + \vartheta)]$  for problem (1), (2) has the form of a linear functional <sup>(2,3)</sup>

$$u^0[t, x(t + \vartheta)] = P(t)x(t) + \int_{-\sigma}^0 Q(t, \vartheta)x(t + \vartheta)d\vartheta,$$

the concrete computation of which, however, is associated with difficulties. Therefore there arises the problem of approximating problem (1), (2) by a suitable finite-dimensional problem. One such approximation was proposed in <sup>(4)</sup> and investigated in the case of the proper integral (2) and the stationary

system (1) in <sup>(5)</sup>. The results given below generalize the results from article <sup>(5)</sup>.

Let certain finite-dimensional systems be associated with system (1)

$$\dot{y}^{(m)}(t) = A^{(m)}(t)y^{(m)}(t) + B^{(m)}(t)v, \quad (3)$$

and let integral (2) be approximated by the integrals

$$I_{\infty}^{(m)}[t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v] = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} [\|\xi^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + \|v(t)\|^2] dt. \quad (4)$$

Here  $y^{(m)}$  are  $k_m$ -dimensional vectors;  $A^{(m)}(t)$  and  $B^{(m)}(t)$  are, respectively,  $(k_m \times k_m)$ - and  $(k_m \times r)$ -matrices;  $v$  is an  $r$ -vector;  $\xi^{(m)}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector that is a linear function of  $y^{(m)}$ .

We shall consider the motion of system (1) in the space of functions  $x(\vartheta)$  ( $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ), taking as an element of motion the function  $x(t + \vartheta)$  ( $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ). The right-hand side of (1) is defined for functions continuous in  $\vartheta$

functions  $x(t + \vartheta)$ . However, many equations (1) retain meaning also in spaces broader than the space  $C[-\sigma, 0]$  of continuous functions  $x(\vartheta)$ . We shall therefore assume that some space  $X$  of functions  $x(\vartheta)$  ( $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ) has been chosen (possibly broader than  $C[-\sigma, 0]$ ), with norm  $\rho[x(\vartheta)]$ , where the solutions of equation (1) considered below exist. We shall also assume that in the spaces  $Y^{(m)}$  of vectors  $y^{(m)}$  certain norms  $\rho^{(m)}[y^{(m)}]$  have been chosen. Systems (1) and (3) are connected by a linear function  $y^{(m)} = f^{(m)}[x(\vartheta)]$ , defined on  $X$ . The problem consists in estimating the approximation of problem (1), (2) by problem (3), (4). The central question is the following: for large  $m$ , does the control of system (1) according to the law  $u = v^{(m)0}[t, f^{(m)}[x(t + \vartheta)]]$  give an effect close to the optimal one given by the control  $u = u^0[t, x(t + \vartheta)]$ .

We introduce the following notation. Let  $x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u)$  and  $y^{(m)}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v)$  be, respectively, the motions of systems (1) and (3) generated by the initial conditions  $x(t_0 + \vartheta) = x_0(\vartheta)$  ( $-\sigma \leq \vartheta \leq 0$ ),  $y^{(m)}(t_0) = y_0^{(m)}$ , under certain chosen controls  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$ , or  $u[t, x(t + \vartheta)]$ ,  $v[t, y^{(m)}(t)]$ . By the symbol  $\xi^{(m)}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v)$  we denote the functions  $\xi^{(m)}[y^{(m)}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v)]$ . We denote optimal controls for problems (1), (2) and (3), (4), respectively, by the symbols  $u^0[t, x(t + \vartheta)]$  and  $v^{(m)0}[t, y^{(m)}(t)]$ . The same controls, but considered as functions of time  $t \geq t_0$  on the motions  $x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u^0)$  and  $y^{(m)}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v^{(m)0})$ , will be denoted by  $w^0(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta))$  and  $w^{(m)0}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)})$ . We shall denote the response of system (1) to the impulse control  $u_k(t) = \delta(t - t_0)$ ,  $u_j(t) \equiv 0$  for  $j \neq k$  ( $\delta(t)$  is the delta function), by the symbol  $h[t, t_0]_{(k)}$ ; the response of the motion  $\xi^{(m)}(t)$  of system (3) to the action  $v_k(t) = \delta(t - t_0)$ ,  $v_j(t) \equiv 0$  for  $j \neq k$ , will be denoted by  $h^{(m)}[t, t_0]_{(k)}$ . The vectors under consideration are interpreted as

column vectors. The upper index asterisk denotes transposition. Suppose that  $s$ -vector functions  $w(t)$  are considered on the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . We shall denote by the symbols  $\mathcal{L}_s^2[\alpha, \beta]$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_s^2[\alpha, \beta]$ , and  $C_s[\alpha, \beta]$ , respectively, the functional spaces with norms

$$\|w(t)\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} = \left[ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \|w(t)\|^2 dt \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\|w(t)\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} = \left[ \|w(\beta)\|^2 + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \|w(t)\|^2 dt \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\|w(t)\|_{[\alpha, \beta]}^C = \sup[\|w(t)\| \text{ for } \alpha \leq t \leq \beta].$$

We assume that  $X$  coincides with  $\mathcal{L}_n^2[-\sigma, 0]$ . This choice is convenient for many problems. In the case of choosing another space  $X$ , corresponding changes are introduced into the conditions below. Let us formulate the conditions imposed on systems (1) and (3).

**Condition 1.** For every  $\tau > 0$ , the functions  $h^{(m)}[t, t_0]_{(k)}$  and  $\xi^{(m)}(t, t_0, f^{(m)}[x_0(\vartheta)], v \equiv 0)$  converge to the functions  $h[t, t_0]_{(k)}$  and  $x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u \equiv 0)$ , respectively, in the metric of  $\mathcal{L}_n^2[t_0, T)$  for all  $t_0 \geq 0$  and  $x_0(\vartheta) \in X_0$  ( $X_0$  is a subspace in  $X$  with norm  $\rho_0[x_0(\vartheta)]$ ). Moreover, for  $\tau \geq \tau_0 = \text{const}$ , the convergence of  $h^{(m)}(t)$  and  $\xi^{(m)}(t)$  to  $h(t)$  and  $x(t)$  also takes place in  $C[t_0 + \tau_0, t_0 + \tau]$ . Both convergences are uniform with respect to  $t_0 \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0[x_0(\vartheta)] \leq 1$ .

**Condition 2.** Let  $\gamma$  be any fixed positive number. If  $\rho^{(m)}[y_0^{(m)}] \leq \gamma$  and  $\|\xi^{(m)}(t)\|_{[t_0, T]} \leq \gamma$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can indicate  $\delta > 0$  and  $N$  such that

$$\rho^{(m)}[y^{(m)}(T, t_0, y_0^{(m)})] < \varepsilon,$$

provided only that

$$\|\xi^{(m)}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v)\|_{[T-\beta, T]}^C \leq \delta$$

and  $m \geq N$ . Here  $\beta > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\gamma$ ,  $T \geq t_0 + \beta$ .

**Condition 3.** Systems (1) and (3) are uniformly stabilizable for all sufficiently large values of  $m$ , i.e., there exist numbers  $\mu$  and  $N$  satisfying the condition: whatever the initial data  $t_0 \geq 0$ ,  $x_0(\vartheta) \in X$  with  $\rho[x_0(\vartheta)] \leq 1$ , and  $y_0^{(m)} \in Y^{(m)}$  with  $\rho^{(m)}[y_0^{(m)}] \leq 1$ , and  $m \geq N$ , there exist controls  $u_*(t, t_0, x_0)$  and  $v_*^{(m)}(t, t_0, y_0^{(m)})$ , for which

$$I[t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u_*] \leq \mu \quad \text{and} \quad I^{(m)}[t_0, y_0^{(m)}, v_*^{(m)}] \leq \mu.$$

Suppose conditions 1–3 are fulfilled. Then the following assertions are valid.

**Lemma 1.** Uniformly with respect to  $t_0 \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0[x_0(\vartheta)] \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I_\infty^{(m)}[t_0, f^{(m)}[x_0(\vartheta)], v^{(m)0}] = I_\infty[t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u^0]. \quad (5)$$

A limiting relation of the form (5) is first proved for proper integrals,  $\lim I_T^{(m)} = I_T$ , on the basis of condition 1. Then, on the basis of conditions 2 and 3, this relation is extended to the improper integrals  $I_\infty^m$ .

**Lemma 2.** Uniformly with respect to  $t_0 \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0[x_0(\vartheta)] \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|w^{(m)0}(t, t_0, f^{(m)}[x_0(\vartheta)]) - w^0(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta))\|_{[t_0, \infty)} = 0. \quad (6)$$

The limiting relation (6) is proved from Lemma 1 and from an estimate of the second variation of the functional  $I_\infty[t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u]$ , generated by the variation  $\delta u = w^{(m)0}(t) - w^0(t)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Uniformly with respect to  $t \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0[x(\vartheta)] \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|v^{(m)0}[t, f^{(m)}[x(\vartheta)]] - u^0[t, x(\vartheta)]\| = 0. \quad (7)$$

The theorem is proved from Lemma 2 and from the integral equations (5), which are satisfied by the optimal controls  $w_j^0(t)$  and  $w_j^{(m)0}(t)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, r$ ):

$$w_j^0(t) = - \int_t^\infty h^*[\tau, t]_{(j)} \left( \int_{t_0}^\tau \left[ \sum_{k=1}^r h[\tau, \xi]_{(k)} w_k^0(\xi) \right] d\xi + x(\tau, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u \equiv 0) \right) d\tau, \quad (8)$$

$$w_j^{(m)0}(t) = - \int_t^\infty h^{(m)*}[\tau, t]_{(j)} \left( \int_{t_0}^\tau \left[ \sum_{k=1}^r h^{(m)}[\tau, \xi]_{(k)} w_k^{(m)0}(\xi) \right] d\xi + \xi^{(m)}(\tau, t_0, f^{(m)}[x_0(\vartheta)], v \equiv 0) \right) d\tau. \quad (9)$$

Here it is taken into account that, under conditions 1–3, the convergence of the integrals on the right-hand sides of (8) and (9) is sufficiently uniform for it to be possible, for large  $m$ , to approximate them by proper integrals.

If the control  $u = u^0[t, x(t + \vartheta)]$  uniformly stabilizes system (1) in  $X_0$ , and the control  $u = v^{(m)0}[t, f^{(m)}[x(t + \vartheta)]]$ , for  $x_0(\vartheta) \in X_0$ , does not take the motion  $x(t + \vartheta)$  out of  $X_0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , and moreover

$$\|\rho_0[x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u) - x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), v)]\| \leq \|u - v\| \gamma$$

for  $t = t_0$ , then from Theorem 1 there follow the limiting relations

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I[t_0, x_0(\vartheta), v^{(m)0}[t, f^{(m)}[x(t + \vartheta)]]] = I[t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u^0],$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), v^{(m)0}) - x(t, t_0, x_0(\vartheta), u^0)\|_{[t_0, \infty]}^c = 0,$$

which hold uniformly with respect to  $t_0 \geq 0$  and  $\rho_0[x_0(\vartheta)] \leq 1$ .

For the approximation considered in article (5), conditions 1–3 are fulfilled, for example, for  $X_0 = C[-\sigma, 0]$ .

Sverdlovsk Branch  
of the V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute  
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received  
18 X 1965

### CITED LITERATURE

- <sup>1</sup> A. M. Letov, *Avtomatika i telemekhanika*, **22**, No. 4 (1961).
- <sup>2</sup> N. N. Krasovskii, *PMM*, **26**, issue 1 (1962).
- <sup>3</sup> N. N. Krasovskii, Proceedings of the II IFAC Congress, USSR National Committee on Automatic Control, Moscow, 1963.
- <sup>4</sup> M. E. Salukvadze, *Avtomatika i telemekhanika*, **23**, No. 2 (1962).
- <sup>5</sup> N. N. Krasovskii, *PMM*, **28**, issue 4 (1964).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*