

**EXISTENCE OF A  
SOLUTION OF A  
BOUNDARY-VALUE  
PROBLEM FOR AN  
ORDINARY  
NONLINEAR  
DIFFERENTIAL  
EQUATION OF ORDER  
 $\backslash(n\backslash)$**

MATHEMATICS

1966

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.28691>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 517.934

*MATHEMATICS*

**A. Ya. LEPIN, A. D. MYSHKIS**

**EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION OF A BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM FOR AN ORDINARY NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF ORDER  $n$**

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovsky on 28 X 1965)*

The two-point boundary-value problem for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of the second order has been studied comparatively well, beginning with the classical work of S. N. Bernstein <sup>(1)</sup>. The analogous problem for equations of order higher than the second has, in the general case, been little studied (see <sup>(2,3)</sup>, where further bibliography is given).

Here we shall consider the boundary-value problem

$$y^{(n)} = f(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)}); \tag{1}$$

$$y(a) = \alpha_0, \quad y'(a) = \alpha_1, \dots, \quad y^{(n-2)}(a) = \alpha_{n-2}; \tag{2}$$

$$y^{(k)}(b) = \beta, \tag{3}$$

where the function  $f$  is given and continuous for  $x \in [a, b]$  ( $a < b$ ),  $-\infty < y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)} < \infty$ ;  $a, b, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-2}, k, \beta$  are given;  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ; all quantities are finite and real. Analogous methods can be applied to boundary conditions of a more general form.

**Theorem 1.** *Let equation (1) be such that the totality of all its solutions  $y(x)$  ( $x \in [a_y, b_y] \subseteq [a, b]$ ) has the following properties:*

A. *For every  $M > 0$  there exists an  $N > 0$  such that if*

$$|y(a_y)| < M, \quad |y'(a_y)| < M, \dots, \quad |y^{(n-1)}(a_y)| < M, \quad |y^{(k)}(b_y)| < M,$$

*then*

$$|y^{(k)}(x)| < N \quad (a_y \leq x \leq b_y).$$

B. For every  $M > 0$  there exists an  $N > 0$  such that if

$$|y(a_y)| < M, \quad |y'(a_y)| < M, \dots, \quad |y^{(n-1)}(a_y)| < M, \quad |y^{(k)}(x)| < M,$$

then ( $a_y \leq x \leq b_y$ ),

$$|y^{(n-1)}(x)| < N \quad (a_y \leq x \leq b_y).$$

C. For any  $a_y < b$ ,  $M_1 > 0$ ,  $M_2 > 0$  there exists an  $N > 0$  such that if

$$|y(a_y)| < M_1, \dots, \quad |y^{(n-2)}(a_y)| < M_1, \quad |y^{(n-1)}(a_y)| > N,$$

then there exists a continuation  $\bar{y}(x)$  ( $a_y \leq x \leq b_y^-$ ;  $b_y \leq b_y^- \leq b$ ) of the solution  $y(x)$  and a point  $c \in [a_y, b_y^-]$  such that

$$|\bar{y}^{(i)}(c)| > M_2, \quad \text{sign } \bar{y}^{(i)}(c) = \text{sign } y^{(n-1)}(a_y) \\ (i = k, \dots, n-1).$$

D. For every  $M$  there exists an  $N > 0$  such that if

$$|y^{(k)}(a_y)| > N, \dots, \quad |y^{(n-1)}(a_y)| > N,$$

and all  $y^{(k)}(a_y), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(a_y)$  have the same sign, then

$$|y^{(k)}(b_y)| > M.$$

Then problem (1)–(3) has at least one solution.

For the proof of this theorem one must add to (2) the condition

$$y^{(n-1)}(a) = \alpha$$

and consider the continuation of the resulting Cauchy problem as  $\alpha$  varies continuously.

**Remark.** In conditions A–C it is sufficient to restrict oneself to solutions for which  $a_y = a$  and the equalities (2) hold.

Conditions A–D are not sufficiently effective, and therefore we shall give a number of sufficient criteria for their fulfillment, expressed directly in terms of the properties of the function  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.** If for every  $M > 0$  there exists a function nondecreasing in all variables  $\varphi_M(y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{n-1})$  ( $y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{n-1} \geq 0$ ), for which

second,

$$|f(x, y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})| \leq \varphi_M(|y_{k+1}|, \dots, |y_{n-1}|) \quad (4)$$

for  $|y_i| < M$  ( $i = 0, \dots, k$ ),  $-\infty < y_{k+1}, \dots, y_{n-1} < \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_M(y, y^2, \dots, y^{n-k-1})y^{k-n} = 0,$$

then conditions B and C are satisfied.

The proof is based on estimating  $y^{(k+1)}, \dots, y^{(n-1)}$  in terms of  $y^{(k)}$  and  $y^{(n)}$  by means of the Kolmogorov-Gorny inequality, similarly to the proof of the main theorem of the paper (4).

**Remark.** For  $k = n - 1$ , conditions B and C are satisfied automatically. For  $k = n - 2$ , inequality (4) may be weakened by replacing the right-hand side by  $\varphi_M(|y_{n-1}|)$ , where  $\varphi_M(s)$  ( $s_0 < s < \infty$ ) is an arbitrary nondecreasing function for which

$$\int_0^\infty s[\varphi_M(s)]^{-1} ds = \infty$$

(this is Nagumo's condition (5)); in particular, one may take  $\varphi_M(s) = A_{Ms}^2$  (this is Bernstein's condition).

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose equation (1) satisfies condition A for some  $k$ ; then this condition is also fulfilled for any  $k' < k$ . The same is true for condition D.*

The proof is easily carried out for  $k' = k - 1$ , whence the assertion for any  $k'$  follows.

We shall say that the equation

$$y^{(p)} = \varphi(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(p-1)}; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r) \quad (5)$$

with continuous right-hand side containing the parameters  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$  ( $a \leq x \leq b; -\infty < y, \dots, y^{(p-1)}; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r < \infty$ ), satisfies condition  $\bar{A}$  if for every  $M > 0$  there exists an  $N > 0$  such that if a function  $y(x) \in C_p[a_y, b_y]$  and continuous functions  $\eta_1(x), \dots, \eta_r(x)$  ( $a_y \leq x \leq b_y$ ) satisfy the relations

$$y^{(p)}(x) = \varphi(x, y(x), \dots, y^{(p-1)}(x); \eta_1(x), \dots, \eta_r(x)) \quad (a_y \leq x \leq b_y),$$

$$|y(a_y)| < M, \dots, |y^{(p-1)}(a_y)| < M, \quad |y(b_y)| < M,$$

then  $|y(x)| < N$  ( $a_y \leq x \leq b_y$ ). Analogously, from condition D one obtains condition  $\bar{D}$  for equation (5). (In condition  $\bar{A}$  it is sufficient to take  $a_y = a$ .) From Theorem 3 it immediately follows:

**Theorem 4.** *In order that equation (1) satisfy conditions A and D, it is sufficient that, for some natural number  $p \leq n - k$ , the equation*

$$y^{(p)} = f(x, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-p}, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-p)})$$

satisfy conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$ .

Thus, for an equation of high order it becomes possible to apply criteria obtained for equations of lower orders. We indicate some criteria sufficient for satisfying conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$  for equations of the first three orders, denoting, for brevity,  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Suppose the right-hand side of the equation*

$$y' = \varphi(x, y; \eta) \quad (6)$$

*is such that there exist two sequences  $u_i(x), v_i(x)$  ( $a \leq x \leq b$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of continuously differentiable functions for which*

$$\begin{aligned} u'_i &= \psi_{1i}(x, u_i) < \varphi(x, u_i; \eta), & \min_{[a,b]} u_i(x) &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \\ v'_i &= \psi_{2i}(x, v_i) > \varphi(x, v_i; \eta), & \max_{[a,b]} v_i(x) &\rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Then equation (6) satisfies conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$ .*

The proof is obtained by comparing  $y$  with  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ . We note that in the formulation of the theorem the functions  $\psi_i$  are completely arbitrary; by choosing specific functions  $\psi_i$ , one can obtain various classes of functions  $\varphi$  for which conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$  are satisfied. Thus, one may set  $\psi_{1i} = -c_1 u_i - c_2$ ,  $\psi_{2i} = -c_1 v_i + c_2$  ( $c_{1,2} \geq 0$ ).

**Theorem 6.** *Let the right-hand side of the equation*

$$y'' = \varphi(x, y, y'; \eta) \quad (7)$$

*be such that there exist two sequences  $u_i(x), v_i(x)$  ( $a \leq x \leq b$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of twice continuously differentiable functions for which*

$$\begin{aligned} u''_i &= \psi_{1i}(x, u_i, u'_i) < \varphi(x, y, u'_i; \eta) \quad (y \geq u_i), & \min_{[a,b]} u_i(x) &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty, \\ v''_i &= \psi_{2i}(x, v_i, v'_i) > \varphi(x, y, v'_i; \eta) \quad (y \leq v_i), & \max_{[a,b]} v_i(x) &\rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Then equation (7) satisfies conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$ .*

The proof is obtained by comparing  $y$  with  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ . In particular, one may set  $\psi_{1i} = c_1 u'_i - c_2$ ,  $\psi_{2i} = c_1 v'_i + c_2$  ( $c_{1,2} \geq 0$ ).

**Theorem 7.** Let the right-hand side of equation (7) be such that there exist two sequences  $u_i(x), v_i(x)$  ( $a \leq x \leq b$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of continuously differentiable functions for which  $u'_i = \psi_{1i}(x, u_i)$ ,  $v'_i = \psi_{2i}(x, v_i)$ ;  $\psi_{1i}, \psi_{2i}$  are continuously differentiable in both variables,  $\min_{[a,b]} u_i(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\max_{[a,b]} v_i(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\psi'_{1ix}(x, u_i) + \psi'_{1iu}(x, u_i)\psi_{1i}(x, u_i) < \varphi(x, y, \psi_{1i}(x, u_i); \eta) \quad (y \geq u_i),$$

$$\psi'_{2ix}(x, v_i) + \psi'_{2iv}(x, v_i)\psi_{2i}(x, v_i) > \varphi(x, y, \psi_{2i}(x, v_i); \eta) \quad (y \leq v_i). \quad (8)$$

Then equation (7) satisfies conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$ .

The proof is obtained by comparing  $y$  with  $u_i$  and  $v_i$ . Condition (8) is simplified if  $\psi_i$  does not depend on  $x$  or  $y$ .

**Theorem 8.** If the right-hand side of the equation

$$y''' = \varphi(x, y, y', y''; \eta)$$

for all values of the arguments satisfies the inequality  $y\varphi(x, y, y_1, y_2; \eta) \geq 0$ , then this equation satisfies conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$ .

The proof is based on the application of the elementary identity

$$y(x_2) = y(x_1) + \frac{1}{2} [y'(x_1) + y'(x_2)](x_2 - x_1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (x - x_1)(x - x_2)y'''(x) dx$$

**Remark.** From Theorems 5, 6, and 8, in particular, it follows that if for equation (5) the inequality

$$y\varphi(x, y, y_1, \dots, y_{p-1}; \eta) \geq 0,$$

is satisfied, then for  $p = 1, 2, 3$  conditions  $\bar{A}$  and  $\bar{D}$  are satisfied. It is noteworthy that for  $p \geq 5$  this is, generally speaking, not so (the case  $p = 4$  remains open). Namely, it is verified directly that the function

$$v(x) = (-x)^{-p+1} \sin[\beta \ln((-x))] \quad (-1 \leq x \leq 0),$$

where  $\beta = \beta_p$  is chosen so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \arccos \frac{p-2+i}{\sqrt{(p-2+i)^2 + \beta^2}} = 2\pi,$$

satisfies equations of the form

$$y^{(p)} = \psi_1(x)(y^2 + |y^{(p-1)}|)y \quad \text{or} \quad y^{(p)} = \psi_2(x)(y^2 + |y^{(p-2)}|^{(2p-2)/(2p-3)})y, \quad (9)$$

where  $\psi_i \geq 0$ ,  $\psi_i \in C^{p-3}[-1, 0]$ . Here condition A is not satisfied. The boundary-value problem for the first of equations (9)

$$(y - 1) = v(-1), \dots, y^{(p-2)}(-1) = v^{(p-2)}(-1), y^k(0) = \beta$$

has no solution for any  $k$  and  $\beta$ , although the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied.

**Generalization of the main problem.** The following more general problem for equation (1) is studied analogously. Instead of conditions (2), it is required that, for a solution  $y(x)$ , the line  $(y(x), y'(x), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(x))$  in the space  $(x, y, y', \dots, y^{(n-1)})$  contain at least one point of a given nonempty connected set  $\Phi$  (the solution  $y(x)$  passes through  $\Phi$ ); instead of condition (3), it is required that this solution be extendable up to  $x = b$  and that  $F(y(b), y'(b), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(b)) = 0$ , where  $F(y, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$  is a continuous function given for all  $y, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}$ . For the existence of at least one solution of this problem it is sufficient that, for some  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , conditions A and B be satisfied and that there exist two solutions  $y_1, y_2$ , passing through  $\Phi$  and extendable up to  $x = b$ , for which, at  $x = b$ ,  $F(y_1, \dots, y_1^{(n-1)}) \geq 0$ ,  $F(y_2, \dots, y_2^{(n-1)}) \leq 0$ . To verify conditions A and B one may use Theorems 2-8, and for the fulfillment of the last condition  $F \geq 0$  it is sufficient, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, that condition D be fulfilled; for example, that  $y_{kF}(y, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) > 0$  for all sufficiently large  $|y_k|$ , and that there exist a double sequence of points  $(x_p, y_p, y_{1p}, \dots, y_{n-1,p}) \in \Phi$  ( $p = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ), for which

$$\sup_p x_p < b, \quad \sup_p |y_{mp}| < \infty \quad (m = 0, \dots, k),$$

$$\sup_p |y_{mp}|^{n-k-1} |y_{n-1,p}|^{k-m} < \infty \quad (m = k + 1, \dots, n - 2),$$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow -\infty} y_{n-1,p} = \infty, \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow -\infty} y_{n-1,p} = -\infty.$$

Latvian State University  
named after Pēteris Stučka

Received  
27 X 1965

## REFERENCES

1. S. N. Bernstein, *Collected Works*, 3, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 1960.
2. J. Sansone, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, 2, Moscow, 1954.
3. Yu. A. Klovov, Boundary-value problems with a condition at infinity for equations of mathematical physics, Riga, 1963.
4. A. Ya. Lepin, A. P. Myshkis, *Differential Equations*, No. 9 (1965).
5. M. Nagumo, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, 3, 19, 861 (1937).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*