

# INVESTIGATION OF THE DEFECT OF AN ABSTRACT CAUCHY PROBLEM

MATHEMATICS

1966

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.22389>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

UDC 513.88 : 517.941

*MATHEMATICS*

Yu. I. LYUBICH

## INVESTIGATION OF THE DEFECT OF AN ABSTRACT CAUCHY PROBLEM

*(Presented by Academician S. N. Bernstein on 21 V 1965)*

Consider the abstract Cauchy problem

$$dx(t)/dt = Ax(t) \quad (0 < t < \infty), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  is a closed linear operator in a Banach space  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The set of those vectors  $x_0$  for which problem (1) has a solution\* (strongly continuously differentiable for  $t > 0$  and strongly continuous for  $t = 0$ ) is called the initial manifold and is denoted by  $I_A$ . In [1] some criteria are given for the density of the manifold  $I_A$  in the whole space under the condition that the domain  $D_A$  of the operator  $A$  is dense. If  $D_A$  is not dense, then the manifold  $I_A$  is all the more not dense, as is shown by the following simple

**Lemma 1.** \*The inclusion always holds\*\* \*

$$\bar{I}_A \subseteq \bar{D}_A. \quad (2)$$

Indeed, if  $x_0 \in I_A$ , then  $x_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} x(t)$ , where  $x(t)$  is the corresponding solution. But  $x(t) \in D_A$  ( $t > 0$ ).

Relation (2) gives reason to introduce the following general

**Definition.** The dimension of the quotient space  $\bar{D}_A/\bar{I}_A$  is called the defect of the Cauchy problem (1) and is denoted by  $d_A$ .

Now the subject of the present article is clear from its title.

Recall that, for any subspace  $\mathcal{L}$ , the dimension of the quotient space  $\mathfrak{B}/\mathcal{L}$  is called the defect of the subspace  $\mathcal{L}$  and is denoted by  $\text{def } \mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If the resolvent set of the operator  $A$  is nonempty, then the inequality holds*

$$\dim(\bar{D}_{A^k}/\bar{D}_{A^{k+1}}) \leq \text{def } \bar{D}_A \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** If  $\{f_i\}_1^p$  is a linearly independent system of linear functionals on  $\overline{D}_{A^k}$ , orthogonal to  $\overline{D}_{A^{k+1}}$ , then  $\{(R_\mu^k)^* f_i\}_1^p$  is a linearly independent system of linear functionals on all of  $\mathfrak{B}$ , orthogonal to  $\overline{D}_A$ . Here  $R_\mu$  denotes, as usual, the resolvent of the operator  $A$ , i.e.  $R_\mu = (A - \mu E)^{-1}$ ;  $\mu$  is some point of the resolvent set.

We can now establish the following central result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let some half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq a$  belong to the resolvent set of the operator  $A$ , and in this half-plane*

$$\|R_\lambda\| = O(1 + |\lambda|^m) \quad (4)$$

for some  $m \geq 0$ . Then\*\*

$$d_A \leq [m + 1] \operatorname{def} \overline{D}_A. \quad (5)$$

---

\* Not necessarily unique.

\*\* The bar denotes closure.

\*\*\*  $[ ]$  denotes the integer part.

This theorem is, in essence, a generalization of Theorem 2 <sup>(1)</sup> and is proved in a similar way.\*

**Proof.** Let  $\operatorname{Re} \mu > \alpha$ . Take an arbitrary vector  $x$  and put

$$u(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{e^{\lambda t} R_\lambda x}{(\lambda - \mu)^{[m+2]}} d\lambda \quad (t \geq 0).$$

The vector-function  $u(t)$  is strongly continuous. Put

$$u_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} u(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{e^{\lambda h} - 1}{\lambda} \frac{e^{\lambda t} R_\lambda x}{(\lambda - \mu)^{[m+2]}} d\lambda \quad (h > 0).$$

The vector-function  $u_h(t)$ , for every  $h > 0$ , is a solution of problem (1) with  $x_0 = u_h(0)$ . Therefore  $u_h(0) \in I_A$ . Consequently,  $u(0) \in \overline{I}_A$ . But  $u(0) = R_\mu^{[m+2]} x$ . In view of the arbitrariness of the vector  $x$ ,

$$\overline{D}_{A^{[m+2]}} \subseteq \overline{I}_A.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2,

$$d_A \leq \dim(\overline{D}_A/\overline{D}_{A^{[m+2]}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{[m+1]} \dim(\overline{D}_{A^k}/\overline{D}_{A^{k+1}}) \leq [m+1] \operatorname{def} \overline{D}_A,$$

as was required.

Theorem 1 is sharp first of all in the sense that the following is true.

**Theorem 2.** *For any integers  $m \geq 0$ ,  $p > 0$ , there exists an operator  $A$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 such that*

$$\operatorname{def} \overline{D}_A = p, \quad d_A = (m+1)p. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, the following is true.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\rho(r) > 1$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) be an arbitrary function growing faster than any power in the sense that  $r^{-m}\rho(r) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $r \rightarrow \infty$ ), whatever  $m > 0$ . Then there exists an operator  $A$ , having no spectrum in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ , such that*

$$\|R_\lambda\| \leq \rho(|\lambda|) \quad (\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0) \quad (7)$$

and at the same time

$$\operatorname{def} \overline{D}_A = 1, \quad d_A = \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is reduced to the case  $p = 1$  with the aid of the following simple proposition.

**Lemma 3.** *Let closed linear operators  $A_1, A_2$  act in Banach spaces  $\mathfrak{B}_1, \mathfrak{B}_2$ , respectively. Form the direct sums  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_1 + \mathfrak{B}_2$ ,  $A = A_1 + A_2$ . Then*

$$\operatorname{def} \overline{D}_A = \operatorname{def} \overline{D}_{A_1} + \operatorname{def} \overline{D}_{A_2}, \quad d_A = d_{A_1} + d_{A_2}. \quad (8)$$

**Proof of Theorem 2 for the case  $p = 1$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{B} = C^{m+1}[0, 1]$ —the space of functions  $\varphi(s)$  ( $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ) having a continuous  $(m+1)$ -st derivative;

$$\|\varphi\| = \max_{0 \leq n \leq m+1} \left( \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\varphi^{(n)}(s)| \right).$$

Let  $A$  be the differentiation operator  $-d/ds$  on functions having a continuous  $(m+2)$ -nd derivative and satisfying the boundary condition  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Obviously,  $\operatorname{def} \overline{D}_A = 1$ .

The operator  $A$  has no spectrum: for any  $\psi \in \mathfrak{B}$  and any  $\lambda$ ,

$$R_\lambda \psi(s) = -e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^s \psi(\tau) e^{\lambda \tau} d\tau \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1).$$

\* Theorem 2 (1) corresponds to the case  $\text{def } \overline{D}_A = 0$ . Then  $d_A = 0$ .

Hence, by integration by parts, one obtains the estimate

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |R_\lambda \psi(s)| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left( 2 \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\psi(s)| + \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\psi'(s)| \right) \quad (\text{Re } \lambda > 0). \quad (9)$$

But, since

$$(R_\lambda \psi(s))^n = -\lambda (R_\lambda \psi(s))^{(n-1)} - \psi^{(n-1)}(s)$$

$$(n = 1, 2, \dots, m+1; 0 \leq s \leq 1),$$

it follows, in view of (9), that

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |(R_\lambda \psi(s))^{(n)}| = O(\|\psi\|(1 + |\lambda|^{n-1}))$$

$$(n = 0, 1, \dots, m+1; \text{Re } \lambda > 0),$$

i.e. condition (4) is satisfied in the half-plane  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ .

At the same time, the solution of problem (1) with initial function  $x_0 = \xi(s)$  has the form

$$x(t) = \xi(s-t) \max(0, \text{sign}(s-t)).$$

In order that  $\xi(s) \in L_A$ , it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\xi^{(n)}(0) = 0 \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots, m+2).$$

Therefore

$$\Gamma_A = \{\xi \mid \xi^{(n)}(0) = 0 \ (n = 0, 1, \dots, m+1)\},$$

whence it is clear that  $d_A = m+1$ .

We now prove Theorem 3. Let  $\{M_n\}_0^\infty$  be a sequence of positive numbers ( $M_0 = 1, M_1 \geq 1$ ) satisfying the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^k}{M_k} \leq \rho(r) \quad (r \geq 0); \quad M_{p+q} \geq M_p M_q \quad (p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (10)$$

It is easy to construct it inductively. Consider the Banach space  $C\{M_n\}$  of infinitely differentiable functions  $\varphi(s)$  ( $0 \leq s \leq 1$ ) with norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \sup_n \frac{1}{M_n} \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\varphi^{(n)}(s)|.$$

In this space, take the operator  $\tilde{A} = -d/ds$  on those functions  $\varphi(s)$  for which  $\varphi'(s) \in C\{M_n\}$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

Let  $\psi(s) \in C\{M_n\}$ . Then the equation

$$-\varphi'(s) - \lambda\varphi(s) = \psi(s) \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1)$$

under the condition  $\varphi(0) = 0$  has the unique solution

$$\varphi(s) = -e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^s \psi(\tau) e^{\lambda \tau} d\tau. \quad (11)$$

This solution belongs to  $C\{M_n\}$  in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ , since, recursively,

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\varphi^{(n)}(s)| \leq |\lambda|^n \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\psi(s)| + \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda|^{n-k} \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\psi^{(k-1)}(s)| \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

whence, by (10),

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \|\psi\| \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|\lambda|^k}{M_k} \leq \rho(|\lambda|) \|\psi\|.$$

We see that the operator  $\tilde{A}$  has no spectrum in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ , and its resolvent satisfies inequality (7). When is  $\operatorname{def} \bar{D}_{\tilde{A}} = 1$ ? This question appears difficult (see, for example, (2), pp. 240-243). We shall avoid it by considering, instead of the operator  $\tilde{A}$ , a certain restriction  $\tilde{A}$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the linear manifold of all polynomials. Obviously,  $\Pi \subset C\{M_n\}$ . Put

$$\Pi_0 = \Pi \cap \{\varphi \mid \varphi(0) = 0\}.$$

The operator  $\tilde{A}$  everywhere on  $\Pi_0$

is defined and maps  $\Pi_0$  into  $\Pi$ . Restrict it to  $\Pi_0$  and close it. This gives a certain operator  $A \subset \tilde{A}$ , acting in the Banach space  $\mathfrak{B} = \bar{\Pi} \subset C\{M_n\}$ . Obviously,  $\bar{D}_A = \bar{\Pi}_0$  and, therefore,  $\operatorname{def} \bar{D}_A = 1$ . Further, if  $\xi(s) \in I_A$ , then  $\xi^{(n)}(0) = 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and, consequently,  $d_A = \infty$ . It remains to verify that, when the operator  $\tilde{A}$  is restricted to the operator  $A$ , no residual spectrum appears. For this it is enough to prove that if in (11) the function  $\psi$  is a polynomial, then  $\varphi \in \Pi$ . This assertion, in turn, reduces to the inclusions

$s^k e^{-\lambda s} \in \overline{\Pi}$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ ). But the point is that the expansion of  $e^{-\lambda s}$  in a Taylor series, as is not difficult to verify, converges in the space  $C\{M_n\}$ , and the expansions of the remaining functions  $s^k e^{-\lambda s}$  are obtained by repeated differentiation with respect to  $\lambda$ . Thus Theorem 3 is proved.

The author expresses gratitude to V. A. Tkachenko for a useful discussion.

Kharkov State University  
named after A. M. Gorky

Received  
19 V 1965

## References

1. Yu. I. Lyubich, DAN, 155, No. 2 (1964).
2. S. Mandelbrojt, *Adherent Series*, IL, 1955.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*