



Soviet-era science, translated into English

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

1966

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196601.13029>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

MATHEMATICS

In my article (A. Guichardet, “On tensor products of C^* -algebras”), published in DAN, vol. 160, no. 5, 1965, the following corrections must be made.

P. 986, lines 6, 7, and 8 should read

$$\|xy\|_* \leq \|x\|_* \|y\|_*, \quad \|xx^*\|_* = \|x\|_*^2, \quad \|x_1 \otimes x_2\|_* = \|x_1\| \cdot \|x_2\|. \quad (1)$$

P. 986, line 11: printed $A_1 \otimes A_2$, should read $A_1 \overset{*}{\otimes} A_2$.

Remark 1. It is now known that these norms do not always coincide (see ⁽²⁾).

P. 987, line 20: printed $A_1 \otimes A_2$, should read $A_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} A_2$.

P. 987, line 10 from the bottom should read

$$\|\nu'(y_1 \otimes y_2)\| \leq \|y_1\| \cdot \|y_2\| \quad \text{for } y_i \in B_i. \quad (5)$$

P. 987, line 6 from the bottom should read

$$\|\omega(x_1 \otimes x_2)\| \leq \|x_1 + z_1\| \cdot \|x_2 + z_2\| \quad \text{for } z_i \in I_i.$$

P. 987, line 22 should read: ...we have the kernel $I = I_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} A_2 + A_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} I_2 \dots$

Remark 2. It is now known that if A_1 and A_2 are simple (i.e., without closed two-sided ideals), $A_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} A_2$ is not necessarily simple. In fact, in ⁽²⁾, p. 119, C^* -algebras A_1 and A_2 are constructed in a Hilbert space H with the following properties: 1) A_1 and A_2 commute; 2) A_i generates a factor \mathfrak{A}_i of type II_1 ; 3) the representation $\sum x_{1,i} \otimes x_{2,i} \rightarrow \sum x_{1,i} x_{2,i}$ of the algebra $A_1 \otimes A_2$ in H does not have norm $\leq \| \cdot \|_*$. Then the analogous representation of the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2$ in H does not have norm $\leq \| \cdot \|_*$, hence the canonical homomorphism $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_2$ is not exact, $\mathfrak{A}_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is not simple; on the other hand, \mathfrak{A}_1 and \mathfrak{A}_2 are simple.

P. 988, line 8 from the bottom: printed $A_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} A$, should read $A_1 \overset{\vee}{\otimes} A_2$.

A. Guichardet

CITED LITERATURE

¹ A. Guichardet, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Supér., 81, 189 (1964). ² M. Takesaki, Tôhoku Math. J., 16, 111 (1964).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.