



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

V. S. BULDYREV

1965

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.64756>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

V. S. BULDYREV

SHORT-WAVE ASYMPTOTICS OF THE EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE HELMHOLTZ EQUATION

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 29 I 1965)

In the present note, by the method of the parabolic equation, asymptotic formulas are found for the eigenvalues $k_{p,q}$ and eigenfunctions $U_{p,q}(x, y)$ of the problem

$$\Delta U + k^2 U = 0, \quad U|_S = 0 \quad (\partial U / \partial n|_S = 0),$$

where S is a closed, smooth, convex contour bounding a plane domain D . The method of the parabolic equation ⁽¹⁾ makes it possible to obtain asymptotic formulas, valid for $kR \gg 1$, where R is a characteristic size of the domain D , only for those eigenfunctions which oscillate rapidly along some narrow strip of the domain D and decrease exponentially in the perpendicular direction outside this strip. Eigenfunctions of this type were first discovered in ⁽²⁾, based on the geometrical concept of rays.

1. The directions of the fastest oscillations of the eigenfunctions may be defined as the directions in a neighborhood of which the system of rays arising as a result of multiple reflections is stable in the first approximation. We shall refine this notion of stability for two different cases: when the aforementioned system of rays is close to the contour S , and when it is close to the minimal diameter of the domain D .

Let us consider the first case. Let a ray coinciding with the chord N_0N_1 of the domain D form, with the tangent to S at the point N_0 , the angle ε_0 . As a result of successive reflections of the ray N_0N_1 , the rays N_1N_2, N_2N_3, \dots arise, which form, with the tangents to S at the points N_1, N_2, \dots , the angles $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$. We shall call the system of rays $N_0N_1, N_1N_2, N_2N_3, \dots$ **stable in the first approximation** if, for any fixed number of reflections m , one can specify a $\delta(m) > 0$ such that, for $|\varepsilon_0| < \delta(m)$, the relative deviation of the ray $|\varepsilon_m \varepsilon_0^{-1}|$ does not exceed a certain constant depending only on the contour S .

It can be shown that, for a smooth strictly convex twice continuously differentiable contour,

$$\varepsilon_m = a(m)\varepsilon_0 + O(\varepsilon_0^2) \quad (\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0),$$

where $O(\varepsilon_0^2)$ depends on m . The preceding definition of stability in the first approximation reduces to the requirement $|a(m)| < K$, where K depends only on S . Under the indicated restrictions on S , this requirement is fulfilled, and consequently the system of rays under consideration is stable in the first approximation.

Let us investigate the second case. Let $2d$ be the length of an extremal diameter Γ of the domain D ; ρ_1 and ρ_2 the radii of curvature of the contour S at the points of its intersection with Γ . We choose Γ as the OY axis and place the origin at the midpoint of Γ . Let

$$x = \alpha y + \beta d$$

be the equation of a ray deviating little from Γ , and let the matrix A describe, in the linear approximation, the change in the ray parameters α and β after two reflections. The definition of stability is analogous to the first case (the role of the small parameter ε is played by $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$). The system of rays under consideration will be stable in the first approximation if the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of the matrix A satisfy the condition $|\lambda_1| \leq 1$, $|\lambda_2| \leq 1$. (For

$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ it is necessary additionally to require that $A = A^*$). The indicated conditions are satisfied only for a relatively minimal diameter ($\rho_1 + \rho_2 > 2d$) under one of the following restrictions:

$$\text{either } 2d > \rho_1, 2d > \rho_2; \quad \text{or } 2d < \rho_1, 2d < \rho_2; \quad \text{or } 2d = \rho_1 = \rho_2. \quad (1)$$

2. We shall obtain asymptotic formulas for the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions associated with the system of rays arising in a neighborhood of the contour S . Introduce coordinates n and s , where n is the magnitude of the normal to the contour S ; s is the length of the arc, measured from some initial point to the foot of the normal. For points inside the contour $n < 0$. The coordinates n, s uniquely determine the position of a point inside the domain D under the condition $n > -\rho_{\min}$, where ρ_{\min} is the minimum value of the radius of curvature $\rho(s)$ of the contour S . We regard the quantity $M = \rho^{1/3}(s)(k/2)^{1/3}$ as a large parameter ($M \gg 1$). Eigenfunctions of this type will be sought in the form

$$U(x, y) = \text{Re } e^{iks} W(n, s; k),$$

where the "attenuation function" $W(n, s; k)$ must satisfy the conditions

$$\text{I. } W(0, s; k) = 0 \quad (\text{or } \partial W / \partial n|_{n=0} = 0).$$

$$\text{II. } e^{ikL}W(n, s + L; k) = W(n, s; k),$$

where L is the length of the contour S (the condition of periodicity of $U(x, y)$ with respect to the variable s).

Introduce the variables [3]:

$$v = 2 \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{2/3} \rho^{-1/3}(s)n, \quad \sigma = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{1/3} \int_0^s \rho^{-2/3}(t) dt.$$

For the function

$$\Psi(v, \sigma) = \rho^{-1/6}(s) \exp \left[\frac{i}{12} \left(\frac{\rho k}{2}\right)^{-1/3} \frac{d\rho}{ds} v^2 \right] W(n, s; k)$$

we obtain the equation

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial v^2} + v\Psi + \frac{1}{M^2} \{ \dots \} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Neglecting in equation (2) terms of order $1/M^2$, we obtain an equation with separable variables, whose solution has the form

$$\Psi(v, \sigma) = A e^{it\sigma} v(t - v),$$

where

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \cos \left(\frac{1}{3} y^3 + xy \right) dy$$

is the Airy function. We choose the solution that decreases as $v \rightarrow -\infty$.

Condition I determines a discrete set of values of the separation constant $t = t_p$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$ (or $t = t'_p$, where t_p (or t'_p) are the zeros of $v(x)$ (or $v'(x)$) [4]: $t_1 = -2.33$; $t_2 = -4.08 \dots$; ... ($t'_1 = -1.01 \dots$; $t'_2 = -3.24 \dots$; ...). Condition II leads to an equation; solving it with respect to k , we obtain a discrete set of eigenvalues

$$k = k_{p,q} = \frac{2\pi q}{L} - t_p \left(\frac{\pi q}{L}\right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \rho^{-2/3}(t) dt + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $q \gg 1$ and is an integer. Having determined $k_{p,q}$ for the eigenfunctions $U_{p,q}$, we obtain the asymptotic formula

$$U_{p,q} = \frac{A}{\rho^{1/6}(s)} v \left[t_p - \frac{2}{\rho^{1/3}(s)} \left(\frac{k_{p,q}}{2} \right)^{2/3} n \right] \times \\ \times \cos \left\{ k_{p,q} s + t_p \left(\frac{k_{p,q}}{2} \right)^{1/3} \int_0^s \rho^{-2/3}(t) dt + \frac{1}{6} \frac{d \ln \rho(s)}{ds} k_{p,q} n^2 \right\}. \quad (4)$$

For $n > n_{p,q} = t_p \frac{\rho^{1/3}(s)}{2} \left(\frac{k_{p,q}}{2} \right)^{-2/3}$ the eigenfunctions $U_{p,q}$ oscillate; for $n < n_{p,q}$ they decrease exponentially. The applicability conditions for formulas (3), (4) may be written in the form

$$\pi q \frac{\rho_{\min}}{L} \gg 1, \quad -c \rho^{1/3}(s) \left(\frac{\pi q}{2L} \right)^{-2/3} < n \leq 0, \quad |t_p| < 2c,$$

where c is a constant independent of M (of the order of several units).

- Let us turn to the consideration of the second case. Let $2d$ be the length of a relatively minimal diameter of the domain D , and let ρ_1 and ρ_2 satisfy conditions (1). Instead of the previously chosen Cartesian system XOY , introduce the elliptic coordinate system ξ, η :

$$x = a \operatorname{ch} \xi \sin \eta, \quad y - y_0 = a \operatorname{sh} \xi \cos \eta, \quad -\infty < \xi < \infty, \quad |\eta| < \pi/2. \quad (5)$$

We choose the parameters a and y_0 so that at the points of intersection with the minimal diameter the contour S has first-order contact with the coordinate ellipses $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\xi = \xi_2$. The parameters a, y_0, ξ_1 , and ξ_2 turn out to be real only when condition (1) is satisfied, and the formulas hold

$$a = \frac{1}{|4d - \rho_1 - \rho_2|} \sqrt{2d(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2d)(2d - \rho_1)(2d - \rho_2)},$$

$$y_0 = d - a \operatorname{sh} \xi_1,$$

$$\operatorname{sh} \xi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2d}{\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2d} \frac{2d - \rho_2}{2d - \rho_1}}, \quad \operatorname{sh} \xi_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2d}{\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2d} \frac{2d - \rho_1}{2d - \rho_2}}.$$

We shall now regard the quantity $M = \sqrt{2ka} \gg 1$ as the large parameter. We seek the eigenfunctions in the form

$$U = A^+ e^{ika \operatorname{sh} \xi} W_+(\xi, \eta, k) + A^- e^{-ika \operatorname{sh} \xi} W_-(\xi, \eta, k),$$

where the “attenuation function” $W_{\pm}(\xi, \eta, k)$ must satisfy the condition

$$\text{I. } U|_{\xi=\xi_1} = U|_{\xi=\xi_2} = 0 \quad \left(\text{or } \partial U / \partial \xi|_{\xi=\xi_1} = \partial U / \partial \xi|_{\xi=\xi_2} = 0 \right).$$

Pass to the variables (5) $\tau = \sqrt{2ka} \sin \eta$, $\zeta = \arcsin \text{th } \xi$ and to the new unknown function $\Psi_{\pm}(\tau, \zeta) = \cos^{-1/2} \zeta \cdot W_{\pm}$. For the function $\Psi_{\pm}(\tau, \zeta)$ we obtain the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{\pm}}{\partial \tau^2} \pm i \frac{\partial \Psi_{\pm}}{\partial \zeta} - \frac{\tau^2}{4} \Psi_{\pm} + \frac{1}{M^2} \{ \dots \} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Neglecting in equation (6) terms of order $1/M^2$, we obtain the equation of the harmonic oscillator and take its solution which decreases as $|\tau| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\Psi_{\pm}(\tau, \zeta) = \exp[\mp i(q + 1/2)\zeta] \exp(-\tau^2/4) H_q(\tau/\sqrt{2}),$$

where q is an integer;

$$H_q(x) = (-1)^q e^{x^2} \frac{d^q}{dx^q} e^{-x^2}$$

are the Hermite polynomials.

Condition I leads to a homogeneous linear system for the coefficients A_{\pm} . Equating the determinant of this system to zero gives an equation for the eigenvalues $k_{p,q}$, solving which we find*

$$k_{pq} = \frac{\pi p}{2d} + \frac{(q + 1/2)}{2d} \arccos \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2d}{\rho_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2d}{\rho_2}\right)}, \quad (7)$$

* Formula (7) coincides with the formula for the “eigenvalues” of an open resonator obtained in ⁶ when diffra

where $p \gg 1$ and is an integer. For the eigenfunctions $U_{p,q}$ we obtain the asymptotic formula

$$U_{p,q} = A \exp \left[-\frac{k_{p,q} a}{2} \sin^2 \eta \right] H_q \left(\sqrt{k_{p,q} a} \sin \eta \right) \text{ch}^{-1/2} \xi \times \\ \times \frac{\cos}{\sin} \left\{ k_{p,q} a \text{sh } \xi - \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \arcsin \text{th } \xi - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{p,q} \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\varphi_{p,q} = \frac{2d(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}{4d - \rho_1 - \rho_2} k_{p,q} - \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \arcsin \left[(\rho_1 - \rho_2) \sqrt{\frac{2d(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2d)}{\rho_1 \rho_2}} \right].$$

In formula (3), in the case of the condition $U|_S = 0$, one should take, for even p , the sine, and for odd p , the cosine (if $\partial U / \partial n|_S = 0$, then the sine and cosine are interchanged).

The eigenfunctions $U_{p,q}$ associated with the system of rays arising in a neighborhood of the minimal diameter oscillate for

$$|\eta| < \eta_{p,q} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k_{p,q} a} \left(q + \frac{1}{2} \right)}$$

and decrease exponentially for $|\eta| > \eta_{p,q}$.

The conditions of applicability of formula (7) may be written in the form

$$\pi q \sqrt{(2d - \rho_1)(2d - \rho_2)(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2d)} \gg \sqrt{d} |4d - \rho_1 - \rho_2|,$$

$$|\sqrt{2k_{p,q} a} \sin \eta| < c, \quad q < c' / 4.$$

The proposed method for constructing asymptotic formulas carries over to the case of the Helmholtz equation with a variable coefficient and to the three-dimensional case, provided only that it is possible to construct, stable in the first approximation, a system of rays (solutions of the eikonal equations).

Leningrad State University
named after A. A. Zhdanov

Received
20 I 1965

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. A. Leontovich, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Fiz.*, **8**, 1, 16 (1944); V. A. Fock, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Fiz.*, **10**, 2 (1946); G. D. Malyuzhinets, *Physical Encyclopedic Dictionary*, **1**, 1960, pp. 606–609.
2. J. Keller, S. Rubinow, *Ann. Phys.*, **9**, 1, 24 (1960).
3. V. I. Ivanov, *Scientific Reports of Higher Schools, Phys.-Math. Sciences*, **1**, 6 (1958).

4. V. A. Fock, *Tables of Airy Functions*, 1946.
5. L. A. Vainshtein, *ZhTF*, **45**, 3(9), 684 (1963).
6. E. E. Fradkin, *Optics and Spectroscopy*, **19**, No. 6 (1965).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.