



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

L. A. PETROSYAN

1965

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.59488>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

L. A. PETROSYAN

DIFFERENTIAL SURVIVAL GAMES WITH MANY PARTICIPANTS

(Presented by Academician Yu. V. Linnik, 8 X 1964)

The games considered are models of pursuit in a certain convex set S in the plane with several participants: a group of pursuers $P = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and pursued objects E_1, \dots, E_n , which move in the set S with constant linear speeds and have the ability at each moment of time to change the direction of their motion. A pursued object E_j is considered caught as soon as there is an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the points P_i and E_j coincide. Each of the pursued objects is interested in reaching the boundary of the set S before being caught by one of the pursuers.

In what follows we shall use the definitions and notation of note ⁽¹⁾.

1. The game $\Gamma(n, 1)$. The game $\Gamma(n, 1)$ is an antagonistic game of two players: a group of pursuers $P = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ and a pursued object E . In the plane there is given a certain closed convex set S . Points $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n, \eta \in S$, called the initial positions of the pursued P_1, \dots, P_n and of the pursued object E , and $n + 1$ numbers $v_1, \dots, v_n, u, v_i > u$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are given.

The structure of the game is specified by the system of equations

$$\dot{x}^i = \varphi^i[\psi(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^n, t)],$$

$$\dot{y} = \psi(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

with initial conditions $x^i(0) = \xi^i, y(0) = \eta, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The set \mathfrak{E} of strategies of E and the sets \mathfrak{P}^i of strategies of P_i satisfy conditions 1–4 ⁽¹⁾. From these conditions it follows directly that to each situation $(\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n, \psi)$ and initial conditions $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n, \eta$ there correspond uniquely $2(n + 1)$ continuous functions $x_1^i(t), x_2^i(t), y_1(t), y_2(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The pair $\{x_1^i(t), x_2^i(t)\}$ will be called the trajectory of P_i . Let $t_P = \min_i(t_P)^i$. The payoff function is defined in the same way as in the game Γ .

Theorem 1. *The game $\Gamma(n, 1)$ has an equilibrium situation in pure strategies. Moreover, the optimal strategy of the group $P = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ consists in each P_i pursuing E according to the Π -strategy.*

Theorem 2. Suppose that in the game $\Gamma(n, 1)$ there exists a circle B , belonging to S , of radius a , such that: a) $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^n \in B$ at the initial moment; b) $\eta \in B$ at the initial moment; c) we radially project the points corresponding to the positions of the pursuers at the initial moment onto the circumference of B ; denote these projections by $\xi_B^1, \xi_B^2, \dots, \xi_B^n$, where the indices $1, 2, \dots, n$ increase in the clockwise direction; the distance between two neighboring projections must be less than $a/3$.

If conditions a), b), c) are fulfilled, then E is caught in S for any $v_i/u \geq 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

2. The games Γ' , Γ'_T , $\bar{\Gamma}'_T$, $\Gamma'(1, 2)$. The game Γ' differs from Γ only in the payoff function, which is defined as follows.

Let $y(t_P)$ be the point of capture in the situation (φ, ψ) . Suppose that it belongs to S . Denote by $\rho[y(t_P), S]$ the distance from the point of capture to the boundary of S . Let $f(\rho)$ be a certain function having the following properties: a) $f(\rho)$ is a strictly decreasing function of ρ ; b) let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, then $f(0) = \varepsilon$; c) let ρ_0 be the distance from η to S ; if capture occurs at the point η , then $f(\rho_0) = 0$.

The payoff function in the game Γ' , $K'(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \psi)$, is defined through $f(\rho)$ as follows.

$$K'(\xi, \eta, \varphi, \psi) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } t_P > t_{S_E}, \\ 1 - f(\rho), & \text{if } t_P \leq t_{S_E}, \\ 0, & \text{if } t_P = t_{S_E} = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3. In the game Γ' there exists an equilibrium situation in pure strategies. The player P has, moreover, a unique optimal strategy—the P -strategy.

Consider a certain generalization Γ'_T of the game Γ' , in which P can begin pursuit only after some time T has elapsed from the start of the game. The game Γ'_T obtained in this way will be called the game with delay T , or simply the game with delay.

Lemma 1. In the game Γ'_T the P -strategy is optimal for P .

Suppose further that in the time interval $[0, T]$ the player E may use only some subclass \mathfrak{C}_T of his strategies. Denote this game by $\bar{\Gamma}'_T$.

Lemma 2. In the game $\bar{\Gamma}'_T$ the P -strategy is optimal for P ; for any $\varepsilon > 0$, E has an ε -optimal strategy.

We now turn to the solution of the game $\Gamma'(1, 2)$. The game $\Gamma'(1, 2)$ is a three-person game: the pursuer P and the pursued E_1 and E_2 . We shall assume that the players E_1 and E_2 are forbidden to form a coalition. The strategy spaces are the same as in the game Γ' . v is the linear velocity of the pursuer P ; u_1

is the linear velocity of the pursued E_1 ; u_2 is the linear velocity of the pursued E_2 .

The inequality $v > u_i$, $i = 1, 2$, holds. As in the game Γ' , the strategies of the players are denoted by φ, ψ^1, ψ^2 .

The payoff of E_i , $i = 1, 2$, is defined as the negative of the payoff of P in the game $\Gamma'(P, E_i)$. Let K_i be the payoff of E_i , $i = 1, 2$. Then the payoff of P is given by the expression $K_P = -K_1 - K_2$.

Thus the game $\Gamma'(1, 2)$ is a zero-sum game. In what follows, we shall denote by $\psi^i(t)$ the optimal strategy of E_i in the game $\Gamma'(P, E_i)$, beginning at time t , and by $\varphi^{i,3-i}$, $i = 1, 2$, the strategy of the pursuer P consisting in the fact that P successively applies the P -strategy first to E_i , and then to E_{3-i} .

Consider two situations $(\vec{\psi}^1, \vec{\psi}^2, \varphi^{1,2})$ and $(\vec{\psi}^1, \vec{\psi}^2, \varphi^{2,1})$. Let $K_P^{1,2}$ be the payoff in one situation, and $K_P^{2,1}$ in the other.

Theorem 4. *If $K_P^{1,2} \geq K_P^{2,1}$, then an ε -Nash equilibrium situation in the game $\Gamma'(1, 2)$ is constructed as follows:*

- a) *the optimal strategy of P , $\varphi^{1,2}$, until the moment while the inequality*

$$K_P(\vec{\psi}^1, \vec{\psi}^2, \varphi^{1,2}) \geq K(\vec{\psi}^1, \psi^2, \varphi^{2,1}), \quad (1)$$

holds, and $\varphi^{2,1}$ as soon as E_2 begins to apply the strategy ψ^2 that violates (1);

- b) *the optimal strategy of E_1 , ψ^1 ;*
c) *an ε -optimal strategy E_2 is defined as an ε -optimal strategy $\psi^{\varepsilon 2}$ in the game $\Gamma'_p(E_2, P)$ under the restrictions on the class of admissible strategies*

$$K_P(\vec{\psi}^1, \psi^2, \varphi^{1,2}) \geq K_P(\vec{\psi}^1, \psi^2, \varphi^{2,1}), \quad (2)$$

$$K_{E_2}(\psi^1, \psi^2, \varphi^{1,2}) \geq K_{E_2}(\vec{\psi}^1, \psi^2, \varphi^{2,1}).$$

The game $\Gamma(m, n)$. Under the preceding conditions there are m pursuers P_1, \dots, P_m and n evaders E_1, \dots, E_n . We shall denote this game by $\Gamma(m, n)$. For solving this game, so far, using methods of integer linear programming, it has been possible only to estimate from below the value of the game $\text{Val}\Gamma(m, n)$. The estimate of $\text{Val}\Gamma(m, n)$ is obtained as follows. Suppose that the set of pursuers P_1, \dots, P_m is divided into n pursuing squads M_1, \dots, M_n in such a way that, by the end of the game, each of the squads M_1, \dots, M_n can pursue only one of the evaders E_i according to a P -strategy. For each fixed partition of the set of pursuers into squads M_1, \dots, M_n , the greatest payoff of the set of pursuers $f(M_1, \dots, M_n)$ is obtained as the solution of the following integer linear programming problem [2]:

$$\max \sum_{i,j}^{m,n} \xi_{ij} a_{ij} \quad (3)$$

under the conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_{ij} \leq 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_{ij} \leq 1$$

for all i, j (here a_{ij} is the payoff of the i -th squad M_i from pursuing E_j).

The evaders cannot enter into coalitions.

It is clear that

$$\text{Val} \Gamma(m, n) \geq \max_{\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}} f(M_1, \dots, M_n), \quad (4)$$

where $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ is the set of all possible partitions of P_1, \dots, P_m into n groups.

Received

5 X 1964

CITED LITERATURE

¹ L. A. Petrosyan, DAN, **161**, No. 1 (1965).

² D. Gale, *The Theory of Linear Economic Models*, N. Y., 1960.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.