



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# V. A. KONDRAT'EV

1965

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.49663>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**V. A. KONDRAT' EV**

**GENERAL BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN A CLOSED DOMAIN**

*(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii, 31 XII 1964)*

We shall consider, in a bounded closed domain  $G$  of the space  $(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , the equation

$$L(t, x, \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial x)u = f, \tag{1}$$

where  $L$  is a certain polynomial in the operators  $\partial/\partial t$ ,  $\partial/\partial x$  with coefficients depending on  $t, x_1, \dots, x_n$ . The principal part of the operator  $L$  is defined as follows.

Let  $l$  be the maximal order of the derivatives with respect to  $t$  entering the left-hand side of equation (1);  $2pl$  the maximal order of the derivatives with respect to  $x$ . We shall call the generalized order of the derivative  $\partial^{k_1+k_2}/\partial t^{k_1}\partial x^{k_2}$  the number  $2pk_1 + k_2$ . Let the highest generalized order of the derivatives entering  $L$  be  $2pl$ . We shall call the principal part of the operator  $L$  the operator  $L_0(t, x, \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial x)$ , which is obtained from  $L$  by discarding terms whose generalized order is less than  $2pl$ . The number  $2pl$  will be called the generalized order of equation (1). It is assumed that  $L_0(t, x, i\tau, i\sigma) \neq 0$  if  $|\tau| + |\sigma| \neq 0$  and  $\tau, \sigma$  are real.

The boundary  $\Gamma$  of the domain  $G$  is assumed to be an infinitely differentiable surface. We suppose that on  $\Gamma$  there exist only two points  $(t^0, x^0)$  and  $(t^1, x^1)$  at which the tangent plane is perpendicular to the  $t$ -axis. Let  $t^0 = 0, x^0 = 0$ . We assume that, in a neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$ , the equation of  $\Gamma$  has the form

$$t^q = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=2pq} a_{i_1\dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} + o(|x|^{2pq}), \quad q \text{ an integer.} \tag{2}$$

On  $\Gamma$  there are prescribed  $pl$  boundary conditions of the form

$$B_j(t, x, \partial/\partial t, \partial/\partial x)u = \varphi_j, \tag{3}$$

where  $B_j$  are differential operators of generalized order  $m_j$ .

The coefficients of the operators  $L, B_j$  are infinitely differentiable everywhere except at the special points. At the point  $(0, 0)$  we assume that the coefficient

$a_{k_1 k_2}(t, x)$  of the derivative  $\partial^{k_1+k_2}/\partial t^{k_1}\partial x^{k_2}$  of the operator  $L$  expands into the asymptotic series

$$a_{k_1 k_2} = \sum_{m=2k_1 p+k_2-2lp}^{m=\infty} t^{m/2p} a_{k_1 k_2}^{(m)} \left( \frac{x}{t^{1/2p}} \right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$a_{k_1 k_2}^{(2k_1 p+k_2-2lp)} \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } 2k_1 p+k_2 < 2lp.$$

The coefficient  $b_{k_1 k_2}^j$  at the derivative  $\partial^{k_1+k_2}/\partial t^{k_1}\partial x^{k_2}$  of the operator  $B_j$  is expanded in the asymptotic series

$$b_{k_1 k_2}^{(j)} = \sum_{m=2k_1 p+k_2-m_j}^{\infty} t^{m/2p} b_{k_1 k_2}^{jm} (xt^{-1/2p}) \quad (5)$$

and  $b_{k_1 k_2}^{jm} = 0$  if  $2k_1 p+k_2 < m_j$ ;  $m = 2k_1 p+k_2 - m_j$ .

The functions  $a_{k_1 k_2}^m, b_{k_1 k_2}^{jm}$  are infinitely differentiable. The operators obtained from  $L_0, B_{j0}$ —the principal parts of the operators  $L, B_j$ —by replacing  $a_{k_1 k_2}, b_{k_1 k_2}^j$  by  $a_{k_1 k_2}^0, b_{k_1 k_2}^{j0}$ , respectively, are denoted by  $L_0(0), B_{j0}(0)$ .

Expansions analogous to (4), (5) are also required in a neighborhood of the second distinguished point of the boundary. At all non-distinguished points of the boundary the operators  $L, B_j$  must satisfy a condition analogous to the Shapiro-Lopatinskii condition for elliptic problems. One more condition is imposed at the distinguished point.

It is required that the special problem

$$L_0(0)u = f,$$

$$B_{j0}(0)u = \varphi_j \quad (6)$$

satisfy a condition of Shapiro-Lopatinskii type on the entire surface  $\Gamma_0$ :

$$t^q = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_n=2pq} a_{i_1\dots i_n} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}, \quad (7)$$

except for the point  $(0, 0)$ .

The study of problem (1), (3) begins with the investigation of problem (6) in the domain  $G_0$ , bounded by the paraboloid (7). Define the space  $\dot{H}_\alpha^{k2p}(G_0)$ , for integer  $k$ , as the space with norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}_\alpha^{k2p}(G_0)}^2 = \sum_{2pi_1+i_2 \leq 2pk} \iint_{G_0} t^{\alpha-(2kp-2i_1p-2i_2)/p} \left| \frac{\partial^{i_1+i_2} u}{\partial t^{i_1} \partial x^{i_2}} \right|^2 dt dx.$$

An important role for us is played by the transformation

$$t = e^{-2p\tau}, \quad x_i = \omega_i e^{-\tau}, \quad (8)$$

which maps the domain  $G_0$  into a cylinder  $D_0$ , the equation of whose boundary  $D'_0$  has the form

$$1 = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \omega_1^{i_1} \dots \omega_n^{i_n}.$$

It is easy to verify that a function  $u$  from  $\dot{H}_\alpha^{k2p}(G_0)$ , after the transformation (8), is transformed into a function  $u_1$  such that  $u_1 e^{(-n-2p-2\alpha p+4kp)/2\tau}$  belongs to the Slobodetskii space  $H_2^{k2p}(D_0)$ . For arbitrary  $k$  the norm of  $u$  in  $\dot{H}_\alpha^{k2p}(G_0)$  is defined to be equal to the norm of the function  $u_1 e^{(-n-2p-2\alpha p+4kp)/2\tau}$  in  $H_2^{k2pk}(D)$ . The space of boundary functions is introduced analogously:  $\dot{H}_\alpha^{k2p}(\Gamma_0)$ . After the transformation (8) and the subsequent Fourier transform in  $\tau$ , problem (6) passes into a certain problem:

$$\tilde{L}_0(\omega, \lambda, \partial/\partial\omega)\tilde{u} = \tilde{F}(\omega, \lambda),$$

$$\tilde{B}_{j0}(\omega, \lambda, \partial/\partial\omega)\tilde{u} = \tilde{\Phi}_j(\omega, \lambda) \quad (9)$$

in an infinitely smooth domain of the space  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ . Using the results of [2], we obtain that there exists an operator  $R(\lambda)$  such that  $\tilde{u} = R(\lambda)[\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_j]$ , where  $R(\lambda)$  is a meromorphic function of  $\lambda$  and in each

in the strip  $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < \text{const}$  it has a finite number of poles. With the help of  $R(\lambda)$  one can solve problem (1), (3) in the domain (2). This problem turns out to be solvable if on the line

$$\operatorname{Im} \lambda = \frac{-n - 2p - 2\alpha p + 4kp + 4lp}{2}$$

$R(\lambda)$  is regular. Further, using the Fourier transform, one solves problem (6) in the half-space  $x_n > 0$  and in the whole space  $(t, x)$ .

After this we solve problem (1), (3) in a bounded domain by constructing a regularizer. For this purpose the surface (2) is reduced in a neighborhood of the point  $(0, 0)$  to the form (7) by the transformation

$$t = t',$$

$$x = x'_i + \sum_{s>1} t^{s/2p} \psi_{si} \left( \frac{x}{t^{1/2p}} \right).$$

This transformation preserves the form of equations (1), (3). In some cases (for example, when  $p = 1$  or  $n = 1$ ) this transformation turns out to be infinitely differentiable. The regularizer can be constructed according to the schemes of work (2). For a solution of problem (1), (3) belonging to  $\dot{H}_\alpha^{k_1+2p}$ , under the condition

$$f \in \dot{H}_{\alpha_1}^{k, 2p}(G), \quad \varphi_j \in \dot{H}_{\alpha_1}^{k+l-m_j/2p-1/4p, 2p}(\Gamma)$$

one can obtain, in a neighborhood of the point  $(0, 0)$ , the representation

$$u = \sum_{0 < s < s_j} a_{jsq} t^{-i\lambda_j/2p} \ln^s t P_{sjq}(t \ln^q t) + w, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{-n - 2p - 2\alpha p + 4k_1 p + 4lp}{2} < \text{Im } \lambda_j < \frac{-n - 2p - 2\alpha_1 p + 4kp + 4lp}{2},$$

where  $\lambda_j$  are poles of  $R(\lambda)$  of multiplicity  $s_j$ ;  $P_{sjq}$  is a polynomial with coefficients—infinitely differentiable functions of  $x/t^{1/2p}$ ;  $a_{jsq}$  are constants depending on  $u$ ; and  $w$  is a function from the space  $\dot{H}_{\alpha_1}^{k+l, 2p}(G)$ . This representation can be used to study the smoothness of the solution.

The case of the Slobodetskii spaces  $H_2^{k, 2pk}(G)$  is included in ours in the following way. If the function  $v(x, t)$  is such that

$$\iint_G |v|^2 dx dt + \iint_G t^\alpha \left| \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2} v}{\partial t^{k_1} \partial x^{k_2}} \right|^2 dt dx < \infty,$$

$$2pk_1 + k_2 = 2pk; \quad \text{the number } -n/2 - p - 2\alpha p + 4k \text{ is not an integer,}$$

then one can prove that the function  $v$  has the form

$$v = p(x, t) + v_1,$$

where  $p(x, t)$  is a polynomial whose generalized order is  $(2p - 1)$ , and  $v_1 \in \dot{H}_\alpha^{k, 2p}(G)$ . After making the corresponding change of variables, one can pass to the spaces  $\dot{H}_\alpha^{k, 2p}(G)$ .

By investigating the location of the poles of the function  $R(\lambda)$ , one can obtain, in concrete cases, various smoothness theorems.

In the case when problem (1), (3) is a parabolic semibounded problem (for the definition see work (2)), the poles of  $R(\lambda)$  are situated below some line  $\text{Im } \lambda = K$ .

Using this, one can show that a sufficiently smooth solution of problem (1), (3) is infinitely differentiable. If the boundary conditions are Dirichlet conditions, and equation (1) has the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{2p} a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(i)} \frac{\partial^{2p-i} u}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_n^{i_n}} + f = Lu + f, \quad (11)$$

$\operatorname{Re} \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(0)} (i\lambda_1)^{i_1} \dots (i\lambda_n)^{i_n} > 0$ ,  $f \in H_2^{k, 2pk}(G)$ , then  $u \in H_2^{k+1, 2pk+2p}(G)$ ,

In this case it is shown that the function  $R(\lambda)$  has no poles in the upper complex half-plane. In the case of the analogous problem for the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -(-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{2p} a_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{(i)} \frac{\partial^{2p-i}}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_n^{i_n}} + f$$

the smoothness of the solution depends on the numbers  $a_{i_1 \dots i_n}$  entering into the equation of the boundary surface. It can be proved that the smoothness improves when the quantity

$$\max \left( \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = 2pq} a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \lambda_1^{i_1} \dots \lambda_n^{i_n} / (\lambda_1^{2pq} + \dots + \lambda_n^{2pq}) \right)$$

is decreased.

In the case when the boundary conditions are Dirichlet conditions and  $f$  is a function from  $L_2(G)$ , the problem was studied by V. P. Mikhailov in paper <sup>1</sup>. The case when the domain contains no singular points was studied in paper <sup>2</sup>.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
18 XII 1964

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> V. P. Mikhailov, *Matem. sborn.*, **62**, issue 2 (1963).
- <sup>2</sup> M. S. Agranovich, M. I. Vishik, *DAN*, **149**, No. 2 (1963).
- <sup>3</sup> I. G. Petrovsky, *Uch. zap. Moscow Univ.*, issue 2 (1934).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*