



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1965

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.48849>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1965, Volume 161, No. 3

MATHEMATICS

Z. S. LIPKINA

LOCALLY BICOMPACT RINGS WITHOUT ZERO DIVISORS

(Presented by Academician A. I. Mal' tsev on 23 X 1964)

Locally bicomcompact division rings have been well studied in the works of Kaplansky, Kowalsky, and Pontryagin. A connected locally bicomcompact division ring is either the field of real numbers, or the field of complex numbers, or the division ring of quaternions. If, however, the division ring is totally disconnected, then it is a finite-dimensional vector space either over the field of p -adic numbers or over a field of power series. In the present paper we investigate the structure of locally bicomcompact rings with identity and without zero divisors. In what follows, by the word ring we shall always mean a ring with identity and without zero divisors. Since, as Kaplansky showed, connected locally bicomcompact rings are division rings, we shall consider only totally disconnected ones. It turns out that a significant part of this class of rings consists of bicomcompact local rings.

Theorem 1. *Every locally bicomcompact normed ring without zero divisors is either a division ring or a bicomcompact local ring.*

It is not difficult to show that, depending on the characteristic, a locally bicomcompact normed ring contains either the ring of p -adic integers, or the ring of power series in one variable over the field with p elements. However, not every bicomcompact ring must be finite-dimensional over them. An example is the usual ring of power series in one variable over the ring Z^p of p -adic integers, normed as follows: 1) $|at^i| = |a||t|^i$, where $a \in Z^p$ and $|t| = \alpha < 1$; 2) $|\sum_i a_i t^i| = \max_i |a_i t^i|$, $a_i \in Z^p$. Rings in which the finite-dimensionality condition is fulfilled are precisely the closed subrings of locally bicomcompact division rings.

Theorem 2. *Let K be a locally bicomcompact ring without zero divisors. Then the ring K can be embedded in a locally bicomcompact division ring if and only if the ring K is normed and finite-dimensional over the ring Z^p of p -adic integers (characteristic zero) or over the ring of power series in one variable over the field Z_p with p elements (characteristic p).*

Let us proceed to the study of bicomcompact rings. It is easy to show that every

bicompact ring is local. Complete local rings, beginning with Cohen, have been studied by many authors. Their efforts were directed toward decomposing a ring, under one or another set of conditions, into a sum of a “coefficient field” and the radical (the equicharacteristic case). These investigations were continued by Tedd, who proved, in a certain sense, an analogous decomposition theorem for the unequal-characteristic case. In all these works commutative rings were considered.

Theorem 3. *Let K be a bicompact ring without zero divisors. If the characteristic of K is p , then in the ring K there exists a field P , isomorphic to the field K/R (R is the radical of the ring K), and all such fields are conjugate to one another by means of invertible elements of the ring K .*

If the characteristic of K is equal to 0, then in the ring K there is a commutative closed subring S with 1, satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) $S + R/R = K/R$; 2) S does not contain closed subrings with the property 1. All subrings S with these properties are conjugate by means of invertible elements of the ring K .

In what follows we shall call the ring S the **coefficient ring**, and the field \overline{P} the **coefficient field**.

The possibility of splitting in the case of characteristic p is contained in Zelinsky's work, but even in this case our theorem is more precise, since it asserts not only existence, but also conjugacy of the coefficient fields. The method of proof is different from Zelinsky's, and is uniform for both cases. The proof uses another well-known theorem of Zelinsky stating that a bicompact ring is the inverse limit of the spectrum of factor rings K/I_α , where $\{I_\alpha\}$ is the set of all open ideals of the ring K .

Let P^* be the multiplicative group of the field $P = K/R$, and let K^* and K_α^* be the multiplicative groups of invertible elements of the ring K and of the finite ring $K_\alpha = K/I_\alpha$, respectively. Then

$$K_\alpha^* = A_\alpha \cdot N_\alpha,$$

where $A_\alpha \simeq P^*$, and N_α is a normal divisor, and all groups A_α , isomorphic to the group P^* , are conjugate in the group K_α^* . These groups A_α can be chosen so that they form an inverse spectrum; then the group

$$A = \varprojlim A_\alpha$$

is isomorphic to the group P^* and is contained in the ring K . If A' is another group isomorphic to the group A , then it can be shown that A and A' are conjugate in the group K^* . Taking the subring generated by the group A and either the field Z_p of p elements, or the ring Z^p of integral p -adic numbers, it is not hard to show that one obtains either the coefficient field \overline{P} , or the

coefficient ring S , respectively, indicated in Theorem 3. Their conjugacy follows immediately from the conjugacy of the groups isomorphic to the group P^* in the group K^* .

Thus, a bicomact ring contains a coefficient ring (or field). Over this coefficient ring (field) one constructs in a special way a ring of power series in a countable or finite number of noncommuting variables. We shall not describe the construction process itself; we note only that the coefficient ring (field) need not lie in the center of the ring of power series. The constructed ring of power series can be bicomactly normed. In the class of bicomact rings satisfying the first axiom of countability, it will be free.

Theorem 4. *Let K be a bicomact ring without zero divisors, satisfying the first axiom of countability, and let A be a coefficient ring (field) contained in K . Then the ring K is a continuous homomorphic image (and even a factor ring) of the ring $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ of power series in a countable number of variables over the ring A .*

It can be shown that if, moreover, in the ring K there exist m topological generators (m finite), then K is a factor ring of the ring $\mathfrak{S}_m(A)$ of power series in m variables over the ring A . From this it is no longer difficult to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *Every algebraic isomorphism of bicomact rings without zero divisors with a finite number of topological generators and satisfying the first axiom of countability is topological.*

In conclusion, let us dwell on the following question. As was indicated above, every locally bicomact skew field is normable. The question remained open whether every normable locally bicomact ring without zero divisors is normable. It turned out that it is not; namely, an example can be constructed of a bicomact ring without zero divisors which does not satisfy the first axiom of countability, and therefore is not normable and is not even pseudonormable.

Example. Let M_1 be an uncountable set, and let R_α ($\alpha \in M_1$) be a collection of rings isomorphic to one and the same topological ring R

with 1 and without zero divisors, and let M_k be the set of k -tuples (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) , where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in M_1$. Denote by

$$L_k = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in M_k} R(a_1, \dots, a_k),$$

where k is a natural number, the complete direct sum of the additive groups of rings $R(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cong R$, endowed with the Tikhonov topology, and by

$$L(R) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k$$

the complete direct sum of the groups L_k , again with the topology of a direct sum. Thus, $L(R)$ is an abelian topological group that is the direct sum of a countable set of groups isomorphic to the additive topological group of the ring R ; L_k is called the homogeneous component of degree k . Define multiplication in the group $L(R)$ so as to turn it into a topological ring. Let $u \in L_m$ and $v \in L_n$. Then in the element uv , at the place determined by the sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+n})$, there must stand the element $z = xy$, where $x = x(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ is equal to the element standing at the place determined by the sequence (a_1, \dots, a_m) in the element u , and $y = y(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+n})$ is determined analogously. If u and v are nonhomogeneous, with

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i, \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j,$$

then put

$$uv = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=k} u_i v_j \right).$$

It can be shown that $L(R)$ is a topological ring without zero divisors. The ring $L(R)$ is easily made into an R -module by defining multiplication by elements of R componentwise. Now put $K(R) = L(R) + R$, where $K(R)$ is the direct sum of the additive groups of the rings $L(R)$ and R with the topology of a direct sum. Define multiplication in the group $K(R)$, namely set

$$(l_1 + r_1)(l_2 + r_2) = (l_1 l_2 + l_1 r_2 + r_1 l_2) + r_1 r_2,$$

where $l_1, l_2 \in L(R)$ and $r_1, r_2 \in R$. Since $L(R)$ is an R -module, $(l_1 l_2 + l_1 r_1 + r_1 l_2) \in L(R)$, and, consequently, the multiplication is defined correctly. If R is a bicomact ring, then one can verify that $K(R)$ will be a bicomact ring with 1 and without zero divisors. Since every neighborhood of zero in the ring $K(R)$ is determined by a finite number of indices, the intersection of a countable number of neighborhoods of zero is not equal to zero, i.e., $K(R)$ cannot be normable.

Thus, not every bicomact ring is pseudonormable; however, the following theorem is true.

Theorem 6. *A bicomact ring without zero divisors is pseudonormable if and only if the first axiom of countability holds in it.*

The necessity of the condition is obvious, while the sufficiency follows from Theorem 4.

I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to Prof. L. A. Skornyakov, under whose supervision this work was written.

Received
12 X 1964

REFERENCES

1. A. Geddes, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **6**, 343 (1956).
2. D. Zelinsky, Duke Math. J., **21**, No. 2, 315 (1954).
3. J. S. Cohen, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. (59), No. 1, 54 (1946).
4. L. S. Pontryagin, *Continuous Groups*, Moscow, 1954.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.