



Soviet-era science, translated into English

V. V. Zhuk

1965

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.27402>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

V. V. Zhuk

On the Absolute Convergence of Fourier Series

(Presented by Academician S. N. Bernstein, July 15, 1964)

Let $f(x) \in L^2_{2\pi}$ be a 2π -periodic function. Put

$${}^s\Delta_t^p f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k C_p^k f[x + (p - 2k)t],$$

$$L^{(p)}(h, x, f) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h {}^s\Delta_t^p f(x) dt,$$

$$L_2^{(p)}(h, f) = \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq h} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\int_0^u {}^s\Delta_t^p f(x) dt \right]^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},$$

$${}^s\omega_p(h, f) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq h} \sup_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} |{}^s\Delta_t^p f(x)|,$$

$${}^s\omega_p^{(2)}(h, f) = \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq h} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [{}^s\Delta_t^p f(x)]^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 1. Suppose

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{n^{2p}} \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k^2 + b_k^2) k^{2p} \leq C(p) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[L^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{n}, x, f \right) \right]^2 dx,$$

where p is any natural number, and $C(p)$ is a constant depending only on p .

Lemma 2. If $0 < m \leq 2$, then

$$\sum_{k=2^{\gamma-1}+1}^{2^{\gamma}} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) \leq C_1(p) \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[L^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{2^{\gamma}}, x, f \right) \right]^2 dx \right\}^{m/2} (2^{\gamma})^{1-m/2},$$

where p and γ are any natural numbers, and $C_1(p)$ is a constant depending only on p .

Lemma 3. If $2^{l-1} \leq n < 2^l$, then

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{\alpha-1} E \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \geq C(\alpha) \sum_{\gamma=l+1}^{\infty} (2^{\gamma})^{\alpha} E \left(\frac{1}{2^{\gamma}} \right),$$

where $E \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \downarrow 0$ as $k \uparrow \infty$; α is any real number; $C(\alpha)$ is a constant depending only on α ; n and l are natural numbers.

Theorem 1. If $0 < m \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) \leq \\ & \leq C(p, m) \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\left[L_2^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{k}, f \right) \right]^m}{k^{m/2}} + \left[L_2^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \right]^m n^{1-m/2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(p, m) < +\infty$ is a constant depending only on p and m .

Proof. Let $2^{l-1} \leq n < 2^l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{2^l} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) + \sum_{\gamma=l+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{\gamma-1}+1}^{2^{\gamma}} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) = \\ & = O \left(\sum_{k=n}^{2n} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) + \sum_{\gamma=l+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[L^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{2^{\gamma}}, x, f \right) \right]^2 dx \right\}^{m/2} (2^{\gamma})^{1-m/2} \right) = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate I_1 :

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=n}^{2n} (a_k^2 + b_k^2) \right\}^{m/2} n^{1-m/2} \leq$$

$$\leq C_2(p) \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[L^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{2n}, x, f \right) \right]^2 dx \right\}^{m/2} n^{1-m/2} \leq C_3(p) \left[L_2^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \right]^m n^{1-m/2}.$$

Passing to the estimate of I_2 , we have

$$\sum_{\gamma=l+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[L^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{2^\gamma}, x, f \right) \right]^2 dx \right\}^{m/2} (2^\gamma)^{1-m/2} \leq \sum_{\gamma=l+1}^{\infty} \left[L_2^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{2^\gamma}, f \right) \right]^m (2^\gamma)^{1-m/2}.$$

Applying* Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\sum_{\gamma=l+1}^{\infty} \left[L_2^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{2^\gamma}, f \right) \right]^m (2^\gamma)^{1-m/2} \leq C(m) \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{\left[L_2^{(p)} \left(\frac{1}{k}, f \right) \right]^m}{k^{m/2}}.$$

The rest is clear.

Corollary 1. It is not hard to verify that

$$L_2^{(p)}(h, f) \leq {}^s\omega_p^{(2)}(h, f).$$

Consequently, for $0 < m \leq 2$,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) = O \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{[{}^s\omega_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{k}, f \right)]^m}{k^{m/2}} \right) + \left[{}^s\omega_p^{(2)} \left(\frac{1}{n}, f \right) \right]^m n^{1-m/2}.$$

In particular, if $f(x)$ has l derivatives, $f^{(l)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, and $m(l + \alpha) + m/2 > 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (|a_k|^m + |b_k|^m) = O \left(n^{1-m(l+\alpha+1/2)} \right).$$

For $l = 0$ this is a result of Lorentz ⁽¹⁾.

* For $E \left(\frac{1}{k} \right)$ we take $\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq u \leq 1/k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\int_0^u {}^s\Delta_t^p f(x) dt \right]^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$.

Corollary 2. If

$$\sup_{0 < t \leq 1/n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |{}^s \Delta_t^p f(x)| dx = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[{}^s \omega_p(\frac{1}{k}, f)]^{1/2}}{k} < +\infty,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|) < +\infty. \quad (1)$$

From this, in particular, the following two theorems follow:

Theorem A* (A. Zygmund (2)). *If $f(x)$ has bounded variation and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\omega(\frac{1}{k}, f)}}{k} < +\infty,$$

where

$$\omega(\delta, f) = \sup_{|x_1 - x_2| < \delta} |f(x_1) - f(x_2)|,$$

then relation (1) is satisfied.

Theorem B (F. I. Harshiladze (4)). *If $f(x)$ is such that for all x and h*

$$|f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x)| \leq Mh^\alpha$$

with $\alpha > 0$, and, moreover, $f(x)$ has bounded second variation on $[0, 2\pi]$, then its Fourier series converges absolutely.

Indeed, Theorem A is obvious, while Theorem B follows from the equality

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+t) - 2f(x) + f(x-t)| dx = O(t), \quad (2)$$

which is valid whenever $f(x)$ has bounded second variation (5). Since (6) it does not follow from (2) that $f \in V_2$, it is clear that Corollary 2 is stronger than Theorems A and B.

Let $F(x)$ be an antiderivative of $f(x)$.

Theorem 1. *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1/2} \omega_2^{(2)} \left(F, \frac{1}{k} \right) < +\infty,$$

then relation (1) is satisfied.

Corollary 1. (Theorem of Sas ⁽⁷⁾). If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_1^{(2)}(1/k, f)}{\sqrt{k}} < +\infty,$$

then relation (1) is satisfied.

Corollary 2. From

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ k^s \omega_2 \left(F, \frac{1}{k} \right) \sup_{0 < t \leq 1/k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(x+t) - 2F(x) + F(x-t)| dx \right\}^{1/2} < +\infty$$

it follows that (1) holds.

Corollary 3. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{k}^s \omega_2 \left(F, \frac{1}{k} \right) < +\infty,$$

then (1) holds.

* The assertion formulated in the text is due to Salem ⁽³⁾, who notes that it follows immediately from Zygmund' s results.

Hence it follows

Theorem (S. N. Bernstein ⁸⁾. The relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(1/k, f)}{\sqrt{k}} < +\infty$$

implies (1).

Corollary 4. If

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(x+t) - 2F(x) + F(x-t)| dx = O \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right),$$

then from

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt[5]{\omega_2(F, 1/k)}}{\sqrt{k}} < +\infty$$

follows (1).

This assertion contains the theorem of A. Zygmund mentioned above.

Leningrad State University
named after A. A. Zhdanov

Received
12 VI 1964

REFERENCES

- ¹ G. G. Lorentz, Math. Zs., **51**, 135 (1948).
- ² A. Zygmund, J. London Math. Soc., **3**, 194 (1928).
- ³ R. Salem, Duke Math. J., **10**, 23 (1943).
- ⁴ F. I. Kharshiladze, DAN, **79**, No. 2, 201 (1951).
- ⁵ F. I. Kharshiladze, Tr. Tbilissk. matem. inst., **20**, 145 (1954).
- ⁶ F. I. Kharshiladze, Tr. Tbilissk. univ., **64**, 94 (1957).
- ⁷ O. Szász, Ann. Math., **47**, 213 (1946).
- ⁸ S. N. Bernstein, Collected Works, **2**, 1954, pp. 166-169.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.