



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# N. M. AKULINICHEV

1965

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.24724>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**N. M. AKULINICHEV**

## ESTIMATES OF RATIONAL TRIGONOMETRIC SUMS OF A SPECIAL FORM

*(Presented by Academician I. M. Vinogradov on 30 X 1964)*

An estimate of A. Weil <sup>(2)</sup> for a complete trigonometric sum with a polynomial with integral coefficients,  $p$  prime,  $n < p$ ,  $a_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x}{p} \right] \right| \leq (n-1)\sqrt{p}$$

becomes trivial when  $n-1 > \sqrt{p}$ . In the present note we obtain estimates of rational sums with a polynomial of degree  $n$  of the form

$$S = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx}{p} \right], \quad S = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx^k}{p} \right],$$

which are nontrivial for  $n > \sqrt{p}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $p \geq 3$  be prime;  $A, B, n$  natural numbers;  $p > n$ ;  $\delta = (n, p-1)$ ;  $(A, p) = 1$ ;  $(B, p) = 1$ . The inequality

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx}{p} \right] \right| \leq \frac{p}{\sqrt{\delta}}$$

holds.

**Proof.** Denote by  $Y$  the set of all elements  $y$  of the reduced residue system modulo  $p$  for which  $y^n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . The set  $Y$  contains  $\delta$  elements. For each fixed  $y \in Y$ , if  $x$  runs through a complete residue system modulo  $p$ , then  $xy$  also runs through a complete residue system modulo  $p$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx}{p} \right] = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{A(xy)^n + Bxy}{p} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bxy}{p} \right] = S_y. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all  $y \in Y$ , we obtain

$$\delta S = \sum_{y \in Y} \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bxy}{p} \right].$$

Squaring the inequality

$$\delta |S| \leq \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{y \in Y} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Bxy}{p} \right] \right|$$

and applying the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 |S|^2 &\leq \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{y \in Y} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Bxy}{p} \right] \right|^2 = \\ &= p \sum_{y_1 \in Y} \sum_{y_2 \in Y} \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{B(y_1 - y_2)x}{p} \right] = p^2 \sum_{\substack{y_1 \equiv y_2 \pmod{p} \\ y_1, y_2 \in Y}} 1 = p^2 \delta, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$|S| \leq p/\sqrt{\delta}.$$

**Corollary.** Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $n \mid p-1$ ,  $(A, p) = 1$ ,  $(B, p) = 1$ . Then

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx}{p} \right] \right| \ll p^{5/6}.$$

**Proof.** Multiplying the squared estimate of Theorem 1 by A. Weil' s estimate

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx}{p} \right] \right| \leq (n-1)\sqrt{p},$$

we obtain

$$|S|^2 |S| \ll \frac{p^2}{n} np^{1/2} = p^{5/2}$$

or

$$|S| \ll p^{5/6}.$$

When the two estimates are multiplied, they lose part of their strength; however, since the left-hand side of the resulting upper bound does not depend on  $n$ , the result has an attractive form.

Denote by  $B(T)$  the number of solutions of the congruence  $Ax^n + Bx \equiv y \pmod{p}$  in the numbers  $0 \leq x \leq p-1$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq T-1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(A, p) = 1$ ,  $(B, p) = 1$ ,  $n \mid p-1$ .  
Then

$$B(T) = T + \theta p^{5/6} \ln p, \quad |\theta| \leq 1.$$

**Proof.** Representing the number  $B(T)$  in the form

$$B(T) = \sum_{y=0}^{T-1} \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i a \frac{Ax^n + Bx - y}{p} \right]$$

and separating the terms with  $a = 0$ , we obtain

$$B(T) = T + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{y=0}^{T-1} \exp \left[ -2\pi i \frac{ay}{p} \right] \right) \left( \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{Ax^n + Bx}{p} \right] \right).$$

From the known estimate

$$\left| \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{y=0}^{T-1} \exp \left[ -2\pi i \frac{ay}{p} \right] \right) \right| < p \ln p$$

and the estimate of the corollary to Theorem 1, the assertion of Theorem 2 follows.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $p$  be a prime number;  $a, b, k, n$  natural numbers;  $n \mid p-1$ ;  $(n, k) = 1$ ;  $\delta = (k, p-1)$ ;  $(a, p) = 1$ ;  $(b, p) = 1$ .  
Then

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{ax^n + bx^k}{p} \right] \right| \ll \frac{p}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\delta-1} p^{3/4}.$$

**Proof.** Choose the set  $Y$  to be the same as in Theorem 1. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{ax^n + bx^k}{p} \right] \right|^2 &\leq \frac{p}{n^2} \sum_{y_1 \in Y} \sum_{y_2 \in Y} \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{bx^k (y_1^k - y_2^k)}{p} \right] = \\ &= \frac{p^2}{n} + \frac{p}{n^2} \sum_{\substack{y_1, y_2 \in Y \\ y_1 \neq y_2}} \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{b(y_1^k - y_2^k)x^k}{p} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by the conditions of the theorem,  $y_1^k \not\equiv y_2^k \pmod{p}$  for  $y_1 \neq y_2$ , the estimate of I. M. Vinogradov is applicable to the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{b(y_1^k - y_2^k)x^k}{p} \right] \\ \left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{b(y_1^k - y_2^k)x^k}{p} \right] \right| \leq (\delta - 1)\sqrt{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{ax^n + bx^k}{p} \right] \right|^2 \leq \frac{p^2}{n} + \frac{p}{n^2} n(n-1)(\delta-1)\sqrt{p}.$$

From the inequality  $\sqrt{a'+b'} \leq \sqrt{a'} + \sqrt{b'}$  (for  $a' \geq 0, b' \geq 0$ ) Theorem 3 follows.

In the case  $(k, p-1) = 1$  we obtain the estimate

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{ax^n + bx^k}{p} \right] \right| \leq \frac{p}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

This estimate is stronger than A. Weil' s estimate for  $n > p^{1/3}$ . The estimate of Theorem 3 is stronger than A. Weil' s estimate for

$$n > \max \left( (1/2p)^{1/3}, (1/2p)^{1/4} \sqrt{\delta-1} \right).$$

The method used in proving the estimates can be applied to deriving a variety of other inequalities. For example, the following assertion is true.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(a, p) = (b, p) = (c, p) = 1$ ;  $n_1 \mid p-1$ ;  $n_2 \mid p-1$ ;  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ ;  $(k, p-1) = 1$ ;  $(k, n_1) = 1$ .

Then

$$\left| \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{ax^{n_1} + bx^{n_2} + cx^k}{p} \right] \right| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{p}{\sqrt[4]{n_2}}.$$

I express my gratitude to Academician I. M. Vinogradov for his help in the writing of this paper.

Received  
28 IX 1964

## REFERENCES

1. I. M. Vinogradov, *Selected Works*, Moscow, 1952.
2. A. Weil, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **34**, 204 (1948).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*