



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# M. V. RYBASHOV, E. E. DUDNIKOV

1°.\*\* Consider the nonlinear programming problem

1965

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196501.10835>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**CYBERNETICS AND CONTROL THEORY**

**M. V. RYBASHOV, E. E. DUDNIKOV**

**A PARAMETRIC METHOD FOR SOLVING FRACTIONAL PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS ON ANALOG COMPUTERS**

*(Presented by Academician V. A. Trapeznikov on 18 XI 1964)*

1°. Consider the nonlinear programming problem

$$\lambda^* = \min\{P(x), x \in R\} \tag{1}$$

with fractional objective function  $P(x) = F_1(x)/F_2(x)$  and a nonempty, admissible, bounded and closed set  $R$ , defined by the system of constraints

$$\varphi_s(x) \leq 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, m, \tag{2}$$

$$\psi_j(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}x_k + b_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, q; \quad q < n,$$

$$\alpha_i \leq x_i \leq \beta_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $x \in E_n$ ;  $F_1(x), \varphi_s(x)$  are convex functions;  $a_{jk}, b_j, \alpha_i, \beta_i$  are real numbers.

It is assumed that a segment of values of the parameter numbers  $\Omega = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$  is known, among which lies the extremal value (1),  $\lambda^* \in \Omega$ . Further, it is assumed that  $F_2(x) > 0$  for  $x \in R$ , and that the auxiliary function  $\xi(x, \lambda) = -\lambda F_2(x)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , is convex.

In addition, it is assumed that the functions  $F_1(x), F_2(x), \varphi_s(x)$  are defined everywhere, continuously differentiable, and that their partial derivatives in every bounded closed domain satisfy a Lipschitz condition. The fractional programming problem is: find a vector  $x = x^*$ ,  $x^* \in R$ , for which the objective function  $P(x)$  attains the extremal value  $\lambda^*$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $F_2(x) = 1$  (convex programming) or is linear, then there is no need to know the segment of numbers  $\Omega$ . In this case the function  $\xi(x, \lambda)$  is convex for any  $\lambda$ .

**Remark 2.** The problem formulated includes the known problem of fractional-linear programming <sup>(1,2)</sup> under additional constraints (2').

2°. Introduce the function  $V(x, \lambda)$  with parameter  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda$  is a real number)

$$V(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \{ [F_1(x) - \lambda F_2(x)]^2 \operatorname{sg}[F_1(x) - \lambda F_2(x)] + \sum_{s=1}^m \varphi_s^2(x) \operatorname{sg} \varphi_s(x) + \sum_{j=1}^q \psi^2(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \beta_i)^2 \operatorname{sg}(x_i - \beta_i) + (\alpha_i - x_i) \operatorname{sg}(\alpha_i - x_i)] \},$$

where  $\operatorname{sg} r = 1$  for  $r > 0$  and  $\operatorname{sg} r = 0$  for  $r \leq 0$ . Let  $\Omega'$  be the set of values of the function  $P(x)$  on the set  $R$ ,  $\lambda^* \in \Omega'$  and  $\Omega \cap \Omega' \neq \emptyset$ .

The function  $V(x, \lambda)$  is everywhere convex and attains an absolute minimum on the compact convex set  $Q(\lambda)$ , moreover

$$V(x, \lambda) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in Q(\lambda), \quad Q(\lambda) \subseteq R, \quad \lambda \in \Omega', \quad (3)$$

$$V(x, \lambda) > 0 \quad \text{in all other cases.}$$

From the convexity of  $V(x, \lambda)$  and the boundedness of the set  $Q(\lambda)$  it follows that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} V(x, \lambda) = +\infty, \quad (4)$$

$$\|\operatorname{grad} V(x, \lambda)\| > 0 \quad \text{when } V(x, \lambda) > 0. \quad (5)$$

If  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , then the set  $Q(\lambda^*)$  consists precisely of the points at which the extremal value  $P(x) = \lambda^*$  is attained.

Using the properties (3) of the function  $V(x, \lambda)$ , the fractional-programming problem can be reduced to finding the minimum value of the parameter  $\lambda \in \Omega$  and the corresponding vector  $x$  for which  $V(x, \lambda) = 0$ .

The procedure for finding  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and  $x = x^*$  reduces to the following. For  $\lambda = \lambda_1$  the function  $V(x, \lambda_1)$  is minimized. If  $\min_x V(x, \lambda_1) = 0$ , then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda^*$ . If, however,  $\min_x V(x, \lambda) > 0$ , then the minimization is repeated for an increasing sequence of values of the parameter  $\lambda$  until such a  $\lambda_i$  is found for which  $\min_x V(x, \lambda_i) = 0$  and  $\min_x V(x, \lambda_i - \varepsilon) > 0$  for any arbitrarily small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In this case  $x = x^*$ ,  $\lambda_i = \lambda^*$ .

3°. To find the minimum of  $V(x, \lambda)$  for fixed  $\lambda$ , we use the gradient system of differential equations

$$\tau_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V(x, \lambda)}{\partial x_i}, \quad \tau_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

The solutions of this system  $x(x_0, \lambda, t)$ , independently of the initial conditions  $x_0$ ,  $x_0 \in Q(\lambda)$ , for  $t > 0$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  converge to the set  $Q(\lambda)$ , i.e., for any  $\delta$ -neighborhood of the set  $Q(\lambda)$  there exists a  $T(\delta, x^0) > 0$  such that, for  $t > T(\delta, x^0)$ ,  $x(x_0, \lambda, t) \in \delta$  and  $\|x(x_0, \lambda, t)\| < M$ ,  $M > 0$ .

Indeed, by virtue of (5) and (6),

$$\frac{dV(x, \lambda)}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial V(x, \lambda)}{\partial x_i} \frac{dx_i}{dt} \leq -\frac{1}{\max_i \{\tau_i\}} \|\text{grad } V(x, \lambda)\|^2 < 0 \quad \text{when } x \notin Q(\lambda),$$

$$dV(x, \lambda)/dt \equiv 0 \quad \text{when } x \in Q(\lambda).$$

It follows from (4) that every solution  $x(x^0, \lambda, t)$  is bounded. The set  $Q(\lambda)$  is an invariant set for the system (6),  $dV(x(x_0, \lambda, t), \lambda)/dt \equiv 0$ , if  $x^0 \in Q(\lambda)$  and  $x \in Q(\lambda)$  for  $0 \leq t < +\infty$ , and is an  $\omega$ -limit set for its solutions, which proves assertion (3). In this case the function  $V(x, \lambda)$  is an analogue of the Lyapunov function.

Taking (2) and (2') into account, system (6) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\tau_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} = & \left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial F_1(x)}{\partial x_i} - \lambda \frac{\partial F_2(x)}{\partial x_i} \right] [F_1(x) - \lambda F_2(x)] \text{sg} [F_1(x) - \lambda F_2(x)] + \right. \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{\partial \varphi_s(x)}{\partial x_i} \varphi_s(x) \text{sg} \varphi_s(x) + \sum_{j=1}^q \frac{\partial \psi_j(x)}{\partial x_i} \psi_j(x) + \\ & \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \beta_i) \text{sg}(x_i - \beta_i) - (\alpha_i - x_i) \text{sg}(\alpha_i - x_i)] \right\}. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

The system of differential equations (7) can be solved by known methods on an electronic analog computer (electronic model) [4]. With a sufficiently slow change of the parameter  $\lambda$ , the analog model will automatically track, with some error  $\mu$ , the coordinates of the minimum of the function  $W(x, \lambda)$ , with  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  as the rate of change of  $\lambda$  is decreased.

At the moment when the minimum of the function  $V(x, \lambda)$  passes from a nonzero value to a zero value, the vector  $x$  and the parameter  $\lambda$  are recorded. The obtained values  $x, \lambda$  are the solution of the problem.

Institute of Automation and Telemechanics  
(Technical Cybernetics)

Received  
11 XI 1964

### CITED LITERATURE

1. I. R. Isbell, W. H. Marlow, *Nav. Res. Logist. Quart.*, **3**, No. 1-2, 71 (1956).
2. A. Charnes, W. W. Cooper, *Nav. Res. Logist. Quart.*, **9**, No. 3-4, 181 (1962).
3. J. La-Salle, S. Lefschetz, *Stability by Liapunov's Direct Method*, 1964.
4. B. Ya. Kogan, *Electronic Modeling Devices and Their Application to the Study of Automatic Control*, Moscow, 1962.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*