



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.98492>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1964. Vol. 158, No. 1

MATHEMATICS

M. I. VISHIK, G. I. ESKIN

GENERAL BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS WITH DISCONTINUOUS BOUNDARY CON- DITIONS

(Presented by Academician I. G. Petrovskii on 6 IV 1964)

1. The case of an elliptic differential equation of order $2m$

In a domain $G \subset R^n$ with smooth boundary Γ , a general elliptic differential equation of order $2m$ is given:

$$A(x, D)u(x) = f(x). \quad (1)$$

Let Γ be divided into two parts Γ^+ and Γ^- , with $\gamma = \Gamma^+ \cap \Gamma^-$ a smooth $(n-2)$ -dimensional surface. On Γ^+ and Γ^- boundary conditions are prescribed:

$$B_{1j}(x, D)u|_{\Gamma^+} = \varphi_{1j}(x'), \quad B_{2j}(x, D)u|_{\Gamma^-} = \varphi_{2j}(x') \quad (1 \leq j \leq m). \quad (2)$$

It is assumed that B_{1j} on Γ^+ and B_{2j} on Γ^- satisfy the Shapiro-Lopatinskii condition, or, for brevity, we shall say: the natural conditions. Fix a point $x_0 \in \gamma$ and introduce in its neighborhood a local coordinate system (x', x_n) , where the axis x_n is normal to Γ , and x' lies in the tangent plane to Γ . By (ξ', ξ_n) we shall always denote the variables dual to (x', x_n) . Let

$$A_0(x_0, \xi) = A_+(x_0, \xi)A_-(x_0, \xi)$$

be the factorization of the principal part $A_0(x, \xi)$ of the polynomial $A(x, \xi)$ with respect to ξ_n . Denote

$$b_{ijk}(x_0, \xi') = \int_C \frac{B_{ij}^{(0)}(x_0, \xi)\xi_n^{k-1}}{A_+(x_0, \xi)} d\xi_n \quad (i = 1, 2; 1 \leq j, k \leq m),$$

where C is a contour in the complex ξ_n -plane enclosing the zeros of $A_+(x_0, \xi)$; $B_{ij}^{(0)}(x_0, \xi)$ is the principal part of $B_{ij}(x_0, \xi)$, or $B_{ij}^{(0)}(x_0, \xi) = m_{ij}$.

Introduce the matrices

$$B_i = \|b_{ijk}(x_0, \xi')\|_{j,k=1}^m \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The matrix $B_1 B_2^{-1}$ can be represented in the form

$$B_1 B_2^{-1} = D_1 B_3 D_2^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

where B_3 is a matrix of zero order of homogeneity;

$$D_1 = \|\xi_-^{m_{1j}} \delta_{jk}\|, \quad D_2 = \|\xi_+^{m_{2j}} \delta_{jk}\|,$$

where

$$\xi_+ = \xi_{n-1} + i|\xi''|, \quad \xi_- = \xi_{n-1} - i|\xi''|, \quad \xi' = (\xi'', \xi_{n-1}).$$

Here x_{n-1} is normal to γ , and x'' lies in the tangent plane to γ .

It is assumed that at every point $x_0 \in \gamma$ the condition of proper ellipticity is fulfilled, i.e. B_3 admits a factorization of the form

$$B_3 = B_3^+ D_+ D_-^{-1} B_3^-, \quad (4)$$

where B_3^+ , B_3^- are matrices of zero order of homogeneity in ξ' , depending smoothly on x' and ξ' ($|\xi'| = 1$), with B_3^+ (B_3^-) admitting analytic continuation in ξ_{n-1} to the upper (lower) half-plane and, moreover,

$$\det B_3^+(x_0, \xi') \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \text{Im} \xi_{n-1} \geq 0, \quad |\xi'| > 0,$$

and

$$\det B_3^-(x_0, \xi') \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \text{Im} \xi_{n-1} \leq 0, \quad |\xi'| > 0.$$

The matrices D_\pm have the form

$$D_\pm = \|\delta_{jk} \xi_\pm^{\nu_j(x_0)}\| \quad (x_0 \in \gamma)$$

(see (3)).

First consider the special case in which all $\nu_j(x)$ do not depend on x and are equal to one another. Denote by $\dot{H}_{\lambda, N}(G)$ the space of functions $u(x)$ with norm

$$\|u\|_{\lambda, N}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^N \|\alpha_k(x) u(x)\|_{\lambda+k}^2,$$

where $N \geq 0$ is an integer, $N \geq s_0 - \nu$, $s_0 =$

$\max(2m, m_{1i} + 1/2, m_{2j} + 1/2)$, $a_k(x) \in C^\infty$, $a_k(x) = O(r^k)$, r is the distance to γ , $a_k(x) > 0$, $x \in G$.

Theorem 1. The problem (1), (2) is normally solvable in the space $H_{\chi+\delta,N}(G)$, where $0 < \delta < 1$, if $f \in H_{\chi+\delta-2m,N}(G)$, $\varphi_{1j} \in H_{\chi+\delta-m_{1j}-1/2,N}(\Gamma^+)$, $\varphi_{2j} \in H_{\chi+\delta-m_{2j}-1/2,N}(\Gamma^-)$. In this case the estimate holds

$$\|u\|_{\chi+\delta,N} \leq C \left(\|f\|_{\chi+\delta-2m,N} + \sum_{j,i} \|\varphi_{ji}\|_{\chi+\delta-m_{ij}-1/2,N} + \|u\|_{\chi+\delta-1,N} \right). \quad (5)$$

We note that the space $H_{\chi+\delta,N}(G)$ consists of functions having smoothness of order $\chi + \delta + N$ outside γ and smoothness only of order $\chi + \delta$ in a neighborhood of γ . We emphasize that in the space $H_{\chi+\beta,N}(G)$, for $\beta \geq 1$ or $\beta \leq 0$, the problem (1), (2) is no longer normally solvable (see (1)).

Remark. In the case when $\chi(x)$ depends on $x \in \gamma$, there is also a theorem on the normal solvability of the problem (1), (2) in the spaces $H_{\chi(x)+1/2,N}$, $N \geq s_0 - \min \chi(x)$, $x \in G + \Gamma$, defined by means of a partition of unity in $G + \Gamma$, analogously to (1).

It is of interest to study in greater detail the behavior of the function $u(x)$ in a neighborhood of γ . It turns out that even in the more general case of constant, but different χ_j , $u(x)$ can be represented in the form

$$u(x) = v(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{k_i} \int_{\gamma} G_{ij}(x, x - y'') g_{ij}(y'') dy'' = v(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{k_i} G_{ij} g_{ij}, \quad (6)$$

where $v(x) \in H^s(G)$, and the kernels $G_{ij}(x, z)$ are expressed in terms of the coefficients of the operators A, B_{1j}, B_{2j} . The Fourier transforms with respect to z , $F_{zG_{ij}}$, have a principal part of homogeneity order $-\chi_j - i - 1$. Here it is assumed that $s - \sigma_j \neq l$ (cf. (2, 4)), l is any integer, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $\sigma_j = \text{Re } \chi_j$; $s - \sigma_j - 1/2 = k_j + r_j$, where k_j is an integer, $|r_j| < 1/2$.

Theorem 2. If in (1) and (2) one substitutes the expression (6) in place of $u(x)$, then the resulting problem of finding $v(x)$ and $g_{ij}(x'')$ ($x \in G$, $x'' \in \gamma$) is normally solvable in the spaces: $v(x) \in H^s(G)$, $g_{ij}(x'') \in H^{s-\chi_j+i-1}(\gamma)$, $f \in H^{s-2m}(G)$, $\varphi_{1j} \in H^{s-m_{1j}-1/2}(\Gamma^+)$, $\varphi_{2j} \in H^{s-m_{2j}-1/2}(\Gamma^-)$ ($s \geq s_0$). The corresponding a priori estimate, analogous to (5), holds.

Theorem 2 makes it possible to resolve the question of the smoothness of $u(x)$ near γ . The principal singularities of the solution $u(x)$ are contained in the potentials on γ , namely: $G_{ij}g_{ij}$, for smooth g_{ij} , has a singularity of order r^{χ_j+i-1} , possibly with a logarithmic factor. Therefore, in order that $u(x)$ be a smooth function in $G + \Gamma$, it is necessary that all $g_{ij}(x'') = 0$ on γ , and this gives an infinite number of conditions on the right-hand sides f and φ , on which $g_{ij}(x'')$ depends. We note that from (6) one can obtain the asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(x)$ in a neighborhood of γ .

2. Systems of paired equations. In the study of general boundary value problems an important role is played by the study of systems of paired equations

on Γ , i.e. systems of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^p B_{kj}^{(1)} u_j = f_{k1}(x'), \quad x' \in \Gamma^+, \quad k = 1, \dots, p; \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^p B_{kj}^{(2)} u_j = f_{k2}(x'), \quad x' \in \Gamma^-, \quad k = 1, \dots, p, \quad (8)$$

where $B_{kj}^{(1)}$ and $B_{kj}^{(2)}$ are singular operators on all of Γ , and $u_j(x')$ are unknown functions on Γ . If the symbols \widetilde{B}_1 and \widetilde{B}_2 of the operators $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ are elliptic on Γ and the matrix $\widetilde{B}_1 \widetilde{B}_2^{-1}$ admits on γ a factorization of the form (4), then the system (7), (8) is normally solvable in spaces analogous to those introduced in Theorem 5 in ⁽³⁾.

Instead of (7), (8) one may consider a more general system when, for example, r_1 of the functions $u_j(x') = 0$ on Γ^- , and r_2 of the functions $u_j(x') = 0$ on Γ^+ . Then (7) consists of $p - r_2$ equations, and (8) of $p - r_1$ equations. In this case as well an analogous theorem on normal solvability holds.

3. General problems with discontinuous boundary conditions. Let an equation, generally speaking of variable order $\alpha(x)$, be given in G :

$$L_{\alpha(x)} u(x) = f(x), \quad (9)$$

where $L_{\alpha(x)}$ has the same form as in ⁽³⁾. Let $L_{\alpha(x)} = L^- L^+ + T_N$ be a factorization of $L_{\alpha(x)}$, where L^+ , generally speaking, does not satisfy condition c) of ^(1,3), and has nonintegral order $\varkappa(x)$. Then, as was shown in ⁽³⁾, for (9) one may impose any finite number of boundary conditions, with the order of growth of the desired solutions as they approach Γ increasing by one when the number of boundary conditions is increased by one. Therefore one may pose the question of finding a solution of (9) satisfying one number of boundary conditions on Γ^+ and another number on Γ^- . It is then natural to expect that the solutions have one order of growth when approaching Γ^+ and another when approaching Γ^- . Let the boundary conditions have the form:

$$B_{j1} u|_{\Gamma^+} = \varphi_{j1}(x'), \quad 1 \leq j \leq M_+; \quad (10)$$

$$B_{j2} u|_{\Gamma^-} = \varphi_{j2}(x'), \quad 1 \leq j \leq M_-, \quad (11)$$

where $M_+ \geq M_-$, and B_{j1} and B_{j2} satisfy on Γ^+ and Γ^- the conditions of Theorem 3 from ⁽³⁾; $\text{ord } B_{j1} = a_{j1}(x)$, $\text{ord } B_{j2} = a_{j2}(x)$.

The solution of this problem, roughly speaking, can be found in the form

$$u(x) = \theta^+ R^+ \left(v_+(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{M_+} c_k(x') \delta^{(k-1)}(\Gamma) \right), \quad (12)$$

where $L^+ \cdot R^+ = I + T_N$ (see (3)); θ^+ is the characteristic function of the domain $G + \Gamma$; $v_+(x)$ is a function in G ; $c_k(x')$ are functions on Γ , with $c_k(x') = 0$ for $k > M_-$, $x' \in \Gamma^-$; $\delta^{(k)}(\Gamma)$ is the derivative of the δ -function in the normal direction to Γ . Substituting (12) into (9), (10), (11), we obtain equations for finding $v_+(x)$ and $c_k(x')$. For $c_k(x')$ this yields a system of paired equations of the same type as in the preceding section. It is assumed here that the corresponding matrix $\widetilde{B}_1 \widetilde{B}_2^{-1}$ admits a factorization of the form (4) on γ . Denote by H the space of functions $u(x)$ that admit the representation (12), where $v_+(x) \in H^{l(x)-\mu(x)}(G)$, and $c(x') = (c_1(x'), \dots, c_{M_+}(x'))$ belongs to the space H_1 of the same type as in Theorem 5 in (3) (see the preceding section). For brevity we do not describe H_1 in detail here.

Theorem 3. The problem (9), (10), (11) is normally solvable for $u(x) \in H$,

$$f(x) \in H^{l(x)-\alpha(x)}(G), \quad \varphi_{j1}(x') \in H^{l(x)-a_{j1}(x)-1/2}(\Gamma^+), \quad \varphi_{j2}(x') \in H^{l(x)-a_{j2}(x)-1/2}(\Gamma^+).$$

An estimate analogous to (5) holds.

Remark 1. One may consider an even more general problem when, in addition to conditions (10) and (11) on Γ^+ and Γ^- , a certain number of conjugation conditions are prescribed on γ :

$$C_{j1}u|_{\gamma^+} - C_{j2}u|_{\gamma^-} = \Psi_j(x''), \quad 1 \leq j \leq M_1,$$

where C_{j1}, C_{j2} are operators of the type B_{j1} , and $|_{\gamma^+}$ means that the restriction to γ is carried out in two steps: first from G to Γ^+ , and then along Γ^+ to γ . The symbol $|_{\gamma^-}$ has an analogous meaning. The corresponding theorem on normal solvability has been proved.

Remark 2. Analogously to item 5 in (3), instead of equation (9) one may consider an equation of the form

$$L_\alpha \left(u + \sum_{k=1}^{M_+} G_k g_k \right) = f(x), \quad x \in G, \quad (13)$$

where $g_k(x') = 0$ for $k > M_-$, $x' \in \Gamma$. Under the fulfillment of natural conditions, this problem is also normally solvable in the corresponding spaces.

4. We can now investigate such a general problem. Let the boundary of the domain Γ be divided into p parts:

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{k=1}^p \Gamma_k,$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j$ is either empty or is a closed $(n - 2)$ -dimensional manifold without singular points. On each part Γ_j , an arbitrary number of boundary conditions of the form (10) is prescribed, or an arbitrary number of additional potentials with densities equal to zero outside Γ_j is added to (9). Obviously, both the boundary conditions and the potentials must satisfy the natural conditions on Γ . Then, applying the results of the preceding item, we conclude that *in the corresponding space H of functions $u(x)$ (having the corresponding behavior near the various Γ_j) the indicated problem is normally solvable, if the right-hand sides belong to the usual spaces of type H^l .*

For general equations of the form (9), for which $\varkappa(x)$ is, generally speaking, variable, the following question is of particular interest: how should one divide the boundary Γ into parts Γ_i , and how many boundary conditions should be prescribed on each part, or how many additional potentials with densities concentrated on Γ_i should be added to (9), in order to obtain a normally solvable problem in the class of solutions continuous up to the boundary Γ and smooth inside G . At the same time it is natural to require that the number of boundary conditions on each piece Γ_i be maximal, and that the number of additional potentials in (9) be minimal. For definiteness, consider the case when the surfaces $\text{Re } \varkappa(x') = k$, $x' \in \Gamma$, where k is an integer, are surfaces of type γ_{ij} . Then it turns out that one should take

$$\Gamma_k = \{x' \in \Gamma : k \leq \text{Re } \varkappa(x') \leq k + 1\}$$

and, for $k > 0$, prescribe on Γ_k k boundary conditions of the form (10), while for $k < 0$ add to equation (9) $|k|$ additional potentials. If on Γ_k they satisfy the natural conditions, then in the corresponding space H of functions $u(x)$ the problem is normally solvable; moreover $u(x)$ has the required smoothness properties, except, possibly, for the transition surfaces $\gamma_k = \Gamma_{k-1} \cap \Gamma_k$, where $u(x)$ may have certain singularities.

To ensure that $u(x) \in C(\overline{G})$, it is necessary additionally to add to equation (9) the corresponding number of potentials taken over γ_k . The proof of this theorem is carried out analogously to the proof of Theorems 3 and 4 in (3); here the spaces of the right-hand sides are the usual spaces of type H^l , while the spaces H of solutions $u(x)$ are constructed in a special way and, as is proved, are contained in $C(\overline{G})$.

Received
29 III 1964

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. I. Vishik, G. I. Eskin, DAN, **155**, No. 1 (1964).
2. J. Peetre, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. 3, **15**, No. 4 (1961); Ser. 3, **17**, No. 1–2 (1963).
3. M. Vishik, G. I. Eskin, DAN, **156**, No. 2 (1964).
4. M. Schechter, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **13**, No. 2, 183 (1960).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.