



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1964

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.90688>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**D. A. VLADIMIROV**

**ON THE EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURES ON BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS**

*(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 10 III 1964)*

In this note we consider the following problem. Let  $X$  be a complete Boolean algebra, and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a group of its automorphisms.\* What properties of the algebra  $X$ , on the one hand, and of the collection of automorphisms  $\mathfrak{A}$ , on the other, guarantee the existence on  $X$  of a measure invariant with respect to all automorphisms of the group  $\mathfrak{A}$ ? This problem was partly touched upon by us in the note <sup>(1)</sup>, in which one may also find explanations concerning the terms and notation occurring below.

As in <sup>(1)</sup>, by a **measure** we mean a real-valued function  $\mu$  defined on  $X$  and possessing the following properties:

- 1)  $\mu(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ;
- 2)  $\mu(x + y) = \mu(x) + \mu(y)$  for  $x \wedge y = 0$ ;
- 3)  $\mu(x_n) \downarrow 0$  when  $x_n \downarrow 0$ ;
- 4)  $\mu(1) = 1$ .

Properties 2) and 3) together mean the countable additivity of the measure. A function  $\mu$  nonnegative for all  $x$  and possessing properties 2) and 4) will be called a **quasimeasure**. Invariance of a measure or quasimeasure consists in the fact that, for all  $x \in X$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,

$$\mu(Ax) = \mu(x).$$

Let us now enumerate those properties of the collection of automorphisms  $\mathfrak{A}$  which will be important for us.

A<sub>1</sub>. For all  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{A \in \mathfrak{A}} Ax = 1.$$

(This is an ergodicity property of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ; it means that there are no elements fixed under all  $A$  other than 0 and 1.)

A stronger requirement is contained in the condition

$A_2$ . For every  $x \neq 0$  there exists a finite number of automorphisms  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that

$$\sup_{k=1, \dots, n} A_k x = 1.$$

Properties  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  say that the group  $\mathfrak{A}$  is “sufficiently rich” in automorphisms. We now pass to the enumeration of properties having the opposite character. We shall agree to write  $x \simeq y$  if  $y = Ax$  for some  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Further, if there exist representations of  $x$  and  $y$  in the form of sums of disjoint elements

$$x = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}, \quad y = \sum_{\alpha} y_{\alpha},$$

where  $x_{\alpha} \simeq y_{\alpha}$ , then we shall write  $x \sim y$ . Here the cardinality of the set of summands may be arbitrary. In the case when the sums are finite, we shall write  $x \simeq y$ . Finally, we shall agree to denote by an arrow  $\rightarrow$  convergence in the order topology (i.e., in the  $(o)$ -topology; see (2)).

$B_1$ . If  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $A_n x_n \rightarrow 0$ , whatever  $A_n \in \mathfrak{A}$  may be (“uniform continuity” of all automorphisms of the group).

$B_2$ . If  $x_n \simeq x_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $x_n \wedge x_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$ , then

$$x_1 = x_2 = \dots = 0.$$

---

\* As usual, by an automorphism we mean a one-to-one mapping of  $X$  onto itself that preserves order. The necessary information from the theory of partially ordered sets is contained, for example, in the monograph (2).

$B_3$ . If  $x_n = \sum_{\alpha} x_{n\alpha}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ , then for any  $A_{n\alpha} \in \mathfrak{A}$  one has

$$\sup_{\alpha} A_{n\alpha} x_{n\alpha} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the index  $\alpha$  ranges over an arbitrary set depending on  $n$ .

$B_3^-$ . If  $x_n \sim x_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $x_n \wedge x_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$ , then

$$x_1 \sim x_2 = \dots = 0.$$

$B_3''$ . If  $x_n \simeq x_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $x_n \wedge x_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$ , then

$$x_1 = x_2 = \dots = 0.$$

$B_4$ . The relations  $x < y$  and  $x \sim y$  are incompatible.

$B_5$ . For every  $x \neq 0$  there exists an invariant quasimeasure  $\mu$  such that

$$\mu(x) > 0.$$

diagram of implications among  $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_3^-, B_3'', B_4, B_5, B_6$

Figure 1: diagram of implications among  $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_3^-, B_3'', B_4, B_5, B_6$

diagrams of implications involving  $B_1 \& K, B_2 \& K, A_1 \& B_3, A_1 \& B_4, A_2 \& K, m, km, B_3, B_5$

Figure 2: diagrams of implications involving  $B_1 \& K, B_2 \& K, A_1 \& B_3, A_1 \& B_4, A_2 \& K, m, km, B_3, B_5$

$B_6$ . If

$$\sum_k x_k \leq 1,$$

then for any  $A_k \in \mathfrak{A}$  one has

$$\sum_k A_{kx} k < +\infty$$

in the extended  $K$ -space “built over” the algebra  $X$ .

Finally, let us add to the listed conditions one more, of a purely algebraic character.

**K.** On the group  $\mathfrak{A}$  there exists a Banach mean. This condition is satisfied, for example, by every commutative or even solvable group (see on this matter <sup>(3)</sup>; <sup>(4)</sup>, p. 141).

**Theorem 1.** *The relation among the conditions  $B_1, \dots, K$  is described by the following diagram:*

Here a heavy arrow means “implies in every algebra,” while a light arrow means “implies in a regular algebra.”

We shall agree to denote by  $m$  the assertion: “there exists an invariant measure” ; and by  $km$  the assertion: “there exists an invariant quasimeasure possessing property 1).”

**Theorem 2.** *The relation of the conditions  $A_1, \dots, B_6$  to the assertions  $m, km$  is described by the following diagrams:*

Here the heavy and light arrows have the former meaning; a dotted arrow means “implies in a normalized algebra” (i.e. in an algebra on which there exists some measure with properties 1)-4));  $\&$  is the logical sign of conjunction.

Let us note the following special cases.

- a) The fact that conditions  $A_1$  and  $B_3$  together imply the existence of an invariant measure constituted the content of Theorem 1 of the paper <sup>(1)</sup>. Algebras with a group of automorphisms having properties  $A_1$  and  $B_3$  were called completely homogeneous in <sup>(1)</sup>. There the general form of such an algebra was also established. Theorem 2 shows,

that in this definition  $B_3$  may be replaced by  $B_4$  (although the equivalence of  $B_3$  and  $B_4$  was established by us in Theorem 1 only for a regular algebra).

- b) Theorem 2 shows that in a normed algebra the existence of an invariant measure is ensured by conditions  $K$  and  $B_2$ . In particular, when the group consists of powers of a single automorphism, we obtain, as a consequence, the Hajian-Kakutani theorem on an invariant measure (see <sup>(5)</sup>).

Leningrad State University  
named after A. A. Zhdanov

Received  
26 II 1964

## REFERENCES

1. D. A. Vladimirov, *DAN*, **146**, 987 (1962).
2. B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces*, Moscow, 1961.
3. G. M. Adelson-Velskii, Yu. A. Shreider, *UMN*, **12**, no. 6 (1957).
4. N. Bourbaki, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Moscow, 1959.
5. P. Halmos, *Sborn. per. Matematika*, **6**, 3 (1962).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*