



Soviet-era science, translated into English

G. E. KISILEVSKII

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.87346>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

G. E. KISILEVSKII

CONDITIONS FOR THE NONDECOMPOSABILITY OF DISSIPATIVE VOLTERRA OPERATORS WITH FINITE-DIMENSIONAL IMAGINARY COMPONENT

(Presented by Academician L. S. Pontryagin on 2 VI 1964)

Let A be a simple Volterra dissipative* operator acting in a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . If

$$\operatorname{sp} \frac{A - A^*}{2i} = l < \infty$$

and $W(z)$ is the characteristic matrix-function (c.m.f.) of the operator A , then⁽²⁾

$$\|W(z)\| \leq e^{2l|z|}. \quad (1)$$

M. S. Brodskii showed⁽²⁾ that if equality is attained in estimate (1), then the operator A is nondecomposable. In the present article some necessary conditions for nondecomposability are established.

1. Let the imaginary component

$$\frac{A - A^*}{2i}$$

of the operator A be n -dimensional ($2 \leq n < \infty$). The c.m.f. of the operator A satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $W(z)$ is an entire matrix-function of the complex variable z ;
- 2) $W(z) = I + izH + \dots$, where H is a nonzero Hermitian nonnegative matrix-function;
- 3) $W^*(z)W(z) = I$ ($\operatorname{Im} z = 0$);
- 4) $W^*(z)W(z) \geq I$ ($\operatorname{Im} z < 0$).

A matrix-function $W_1(z)$ is called a divisor of the c.m.f. $W(z)$ if $W(z)$ can be represented in the form

$$W(z) = W_2(z)W_1(z),$$

where $W_k(z)$ ($k = 1, 2$) satisfy conditions 1)–4). By virtue of⁽³⁾, the operator A is nondecomposable if and only if its c.m.f. is ordered.

Lemma 1. *If the characteristic matrix-function $W(z)$ of the operator A has a scalar divisor of the form*

$$W_0(z) = e^{il_0z} I \quad (l_0 > 0),$$

then the operator A is decomposable.

Proof. It suffices to show that the c.m.f. $W(z)$ is not ordered, i.e., that there exist such divisors of it which are not divisors of each other. Such divisors, as is easy to see, are the matrix-functions

$$W_k(z) = e^{il_0 z} P_k \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

where P_1 and P_2 are projection matrices of the same order as $W(z)$, with

$$P_1 P_2 = 0.$$

For entire matrix-functions, as also for scalar functions, one can introduce the concepts of order and type of growth.

Lemma 2. *The order of growth of any characteristic matrix-function of the operator A is equal to 1, and the type of growth σ satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{2l}{n} \leq \sigma \leq 2l.$$

Proof. It is not hard to prove that all c.m.f.'s of the operator A have the same growth. Therefore, without loss of generality, one may

* The terminology introduced in ⁽¹⁾ is used in the article.

consider that the order of the c.m.f. $W(z)$ is equal to n . Denoting by $\lambda_1^2 \leq \lambda_2^2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n^2$ the eigenvalues of the matrix $W^*(z)W(z)$ and taking into account that $\det W(z) = e^{2ilz}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(z)\|^2 &= \|W^*(z)W(z)\| = \\ &= \lambda_n^2 \geq \sqrt[n]{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \dots \lambda_n^2} = \sqrt[n]{\det[W^*(z)W(z)]} = e^{-\frac{4l}{n} \operatorname{Im} z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all z the inequality

$$\|W(z)\| \geq e^{-\frac{2l}{n} \operatorname{Im} z} \quad (2)$$

holds.

The assertion of the lemma follows from inequalities (1) and (2).

Theorem 1. *If the growth type σ of the c.m.f. $W(z)$ of the operator A satisfies the inequality*

$$\frac{2l}{n} \leq \sigma < \frac{2l}{n-1},$$

then the operator A is not unicellular.

Proof. We shall assume that the order of the c.m.f. $W(z)$ is equal to n . Since $\|W(z)\| = 1$ ($\text{Im } z = 0$), by generalizing to matrix functions a well-known theorem from the theory of entire scalar functions ([4], Chapter I, Theorem 22), we obtain the inequality

$$\|W(z)\| \leq e^{-\sigma \text{Im } z} \quad (\text{Im } z \leq 0). \quad (3)$$

Let $\lambda_1^2 \leq \lambda_2^2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n^2$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix $W^*(z)W(z)$. From the equality $\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2 \dots \lambda_n^2 = e^{-4l \text{Im } z}$ it follows that

$$\lambda_1^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2^2 \dots \lambda_n^2} e^{-4l \text{Im } z} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{2(n-1)}} e^{-4l \text{Im } z}.$$

From (3) it follows that

$$\lambda_n^2 = \|W^*(z)W(z)\| = \|W(z)\|^2 \leq e^{-2\sigma \text{Im } z} \quad (\text{Im } z \leq 0)$$

and, consequently,

$$\lambda_1^2 \geq e^{-2[2l - \sigma(n-1)] \text{Im } z} \quad (\text{Im } z \leq 0).$$

Introducing the notation $l_0 = 2l - \sigma(n-1)$ and taking into account that λ_1^2 is the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $W^*(z)W(z)$, we obtain the inequality

$$W^*(z)W(z) \geq e^{-2l_0 \text{Im } z} I \quad (\text{Im } z \leq 0). \quad (4)$$

Since $\sigma < \frac{2l}{n-1}$, we have $l_0 > 0$, and the scalar matrix function $W_0(z) = e^{il_0 z} I$ satisfies conditions 1)–4). We shall show that the matrix function $W_1(z) = W(z)W_0^{-1}(z)$ also satisfies these conditions. The fulfillment of conditions 1)–3) is obvious. To verify condition 4), consider the equality

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^*(z)W_1(z) &= W_0^{*-1}(z) W^*(z)W(z) W_0^{-1}(z) = \\ &= e^{il_0 \bar{z} I} W^*(z)W(z) e^{-il_0 z I} = e^{2l_0 \text{Im } z} W^*(z)W(z), \end{aligned}$$

whence, by virtue of (4), the inequality

$$W_1^*(z)W_1(z) \geq I \quad (\operatorname{Im} z < 0)$$

follows.

Thus, $W(z)$ has the scalar divisor $W_0(z)$, and, consequently, the operator A is not unicellular.

Consider the case when $\sigma = 2l/n$. From (2) and (3) it follows that

$$\|W(z)\| = e^{-\frac{2l}{n} \operatorname{Im} z} \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0).$$

Therefore, as is easy to prove,

$$\lambda_1^2 = \lambda_2^2 = \dots = \lambda_n^2 = e^{-\frac{4l}{n} \operatorname{Im} z} \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0),$$

i.e., the matrix-function $W^*(z)W(z)$ is scalar in the lower half-plane. Since $W(z) = W^{*-1}(\bar{z})$ ⁽¹⁾, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(z)\|^2 &= \|W^*(z)W(z)\| = \|W^{-1}(\bar{z})W^{*-1}(\bar{z})\| \\ &= \|[W^*(\bar{z})W(\bar{z})]^{-1}\| = e^{\frac{4l}{n} \operatorname{Im} \bar{z}} = e^{-\frac{4l}{n} \operatorname{Im} z} \quad (\operatorname{Im} z > 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the equality

$$\|W(z)\| = e^{-\frac{2l}{n} \operatorname{Im} z}$$

holds for all z . Considering the scalar matrix-function

$$W_0(z) = e^{\frac{2ilz}{n}} I,$$

we obtain that $\|W(z)W_0^{-1}(z)\| \equiv 1$, whence it follows that the matrix-function $W(z)W_0^{-1}(z)$ is identically equal to some constant matrix. From condition 2 it is clear that $W(z)W_0^{-1}(z) \equiv I$; consequently,

$$W(z) = e^{\frac{2ilz}{n}} I. \quad (5)$$

Considering the triangular model ⁽¹⁾ of the operator with characteristic matrix-function (5), we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. *If $\sigma = 2l/n$, then the space \mathfrak{H} decomposes into the orthogonal sum $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{H}_n$ of subspaces invariant with respect to the operator A , in each of which the induced operator is unicellular and has a one-dimensional imaginary component.*

Consider the multiplicative representation ⁽¹⁾ of the characteristic matrix-function

$$W(z) = \int_0^l e^{2izt} dH(t) \left(H(t) = \int_0^t B(x) dx, \quad B^*(x) = B(x), \quad B(x) \geq 0, \quad \text{sp } B(x) \equiv 1 \right). \quad (6)$$

The idea of the proof of the following assertion belongs to Yu. L. Shmul'yan.

Lemma 3. *If the rank of the matrix-function $B(t)$ is equal to n on a set of positive measure, then the operator A is not unicellular.*

Proof. Let $m(t)$ be the lower bound of the spectrum of the matrix $B(t)$; then $m(t) > 0$ ($t \in e_0 \subset [0, l]$, $\text{mes } e_0 > 0$) and

$$l_0 = \int_0^l m(t) dt > 0.$$

It is not difficult to prove that the scalar matrix-function

$$W_0(z) = e^{2il_0z} I$$

is a divisor of the characteristic matrix-function $W(z)$, and, consequently, the operator A is not unicellular.

2. Consider the case $n = 2$. Comparing the criterion of M. S. Brodskii with Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 3. *In order that a simple Volterra dissipative operator with a two-dimensional imaginary component be unicellular, it is necessary and sufficient that the type of growth σ of its characteristic matrix-function be equal to the non-Hermitian trace $2l$ of the operator.*

Theorem 4. *In order that a simple Volterra dissipative operator with a two-dimensional imaginary component be unicellular, it is necessary and sufficient that its characteristic matrix-function of the second order have no scalar divisors.*

Theorem 5. *For any simple Volterra dissipative operator with a two-dimensional imaginary component there exists a spectral function ⁽⁵⁾ of rank one.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the c. m.-f. of the operator is representable in the form (6), where the rank of the matrix-function $B(t)$ is equal to 1 almost everywhere. In the case $\sigma = 2l$ this follows from Lemma 3, since, by M. S. Brodskii's criterion, the operator is unicellular.

If $\sigma = l$, then

$$W(z) = e^{ilzI} = e^{ilzP_2} e^{ilzP_1} = \int_0^l e^{2iz} dH(t),$$

where

$$P_1 + P_2 = I, \quad P_1 P_2 = 0, \quad H(t) = \int_0^t B(x) dx,$$

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} P_1, & \text{for } 0 \leq t < l/2, \\ P_2, & \text{for } l/2 \leq t \leq l. \end{cases}$$

Let $l < \sigma < 2l$; then $W(z) = e^{il_0 z I} W_1(z)$ ($l_0 = 2l - \sigma$). It can be shown that $W_1(z)$ has no scalar divisors and, consequently, is regular; therefore, in the multiplicative representation

$$W_1(z) = \int_0^l e^{2iz} dH_1(t) \left(H_1(t) = \int_0^t B_1(x) dx, \quad l_1 = \sigma - l \right)$$

the rank of the matrix-function $B_1(t)$ is equal to 1 almost everywhere. Defining the matrix-function $B(t)$ by the equalities

$$B(t) = \begin{cases} B_1(t), & \text{for } 0 \leq t < l_1, \\ P_1, & \text{for } l_1 \leq t < l_1 + \frac{1}{2}l_0, \\ P_2, & \text{for } l_1 + \frac{1}{2}l_0 \leq t \leq l_1 + l_0. \end{cases} \quad (P_1 + P_2 = I, \quad P_1 P_2 = 0)$$

we obtain the obvious representation

$$W(z) = \int_0^l e^{2iz} dH(t) \left(H(t) = \int_0^t B(x) dx, \quad l = l_1 + l_0 \right).$$

Let A be a simple Volterra dissipative operator with two-dimensional imaginary component, and let $W(z)$ be its c. m.-f. of the second order. Then 3 cases are possible:

I. $\sigma = 2l$; in this case the operator A is unicellular, and the c. m.-f. $W(z)$ is regular.

II. $\sigma = l$; in this case the operator decomposes into an orthogonal sum of two unicellular operators, and the c. m.-f. $W(z)$ is scalar.

III. $l < \sigma < 2l$; in this case the operator A has two mutually orthogonal invariant subspaces, and the c. m.-f. is representable in the form $W(z) = W_0(z)W_1(z)$, where $W_1(z)$ is regular and $W_0(z)$ is scalar.

Zhytomyr Pedagogical Institute
named after I. Franko

Received
28 V 1964

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ M. S. Brodskii, M. S. Livshits, UMN, **13**, no. 1 (79), 3 (1958).
- ² M. S. Brodskii, DAN, **111**, No. 5, 926 (1956).
- ³ M. S. Brodskii, DAN, **138**, No. 3, 512 (1961).
- ⁴ B. Ya. Levin, *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*, 1956, p. 70.
- ⁵ M. S. Brodskii, UMN, **16**, no. 1 (97), 135 (1961).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.