



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

1964

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.84813>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**V. KLYUSHIN**

**ON PERFECT MAPPINGS OF PARACOMPACT SPACES**

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 4 VI 1964)*

In the present paper we investigate properties of paracompact spaces admitting perfect mappings\* onto metric spaces, and consider the question of approximating spaces by inverse spectra of metric spaces with perfect projections. The results obtained here adjoin the results of V. Ponomarev <sup>(1,7)</sup>, B. Pasynkov <sup>(2)</sup>, and A. Arkhangel'skii <sup>(8)</sup>.

B. Pasynkov, generalizing the well-known theorems of S. Mardesić <sup>(3)</sup>, proved in <sup>(2)</sup> a theorem on the factorization of continuous mappings into metric spaces. For perfect mappings the following assertion holds.

**Theorem 1.** *If for a paracompact space  $X$  there exists a perfect mapping  $f : X \rightarrow R$  onto some metric space  $R$ , then for every cover  $\omega$  of the space  $X$  there exist a metric space  $S$  and perfect mappings  $g : X \rightarrow S$  and  $h : S \rightarrow R$  such that  $g$  is an  $\omega$ -mapping and  $f = hg$ . If, moreover,  $\dim X = n$ , then for every cover  $\omega$  of the space  $X$  there exist a metric space  $\tilde{S}$ , whose dimension  $\dim \tilde{S} = n$ , and perfect mappings  $\tilde{g} : X \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  and  $\tilde{h} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow R$ , subject to the same conditions:  $\tilde{g}$  is an  $\omega$ -mapping and  $f = \tilde{h}\tilde{g}$ .\**

For the proof we shall need the following

**Lemma.** *Let a perfect mapping  $f : X \rightarrow R$  of a completely regular space  $X$  onto a completely regular space  $R$  be given, a mapping  $g : X \rightarrow S$  onto a completely regular space  $S$ , and a mapping  $h : S \rightarrow R$ . Then, if  $f = hg$ , the mappings  $g$  and  $h$  are also perfect.*

**Proof of the lemma.** It is easy to see that the mapping  $h$  is perfect under our assumptions. The mapping  $g$  is bicomact, since the elements of the decomposition  $\{g^{-1}z\}_{z \in S}$  are closed subsets of the elements of the decomposition  $\{f^{-1}y\}_{y \in R}$ . To prove the lemma it remains only to prove the closedness of the mapping  $g$ . Consider the mappings

$$\bar{f} : \beta X \rightarrow \beta R, \quad \bar{g} : \beta X \rightarrow \beta S \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta R,$$

which are extensions of the mappings  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$  to the maximal bicomact extensions  $\beta X$  and  $\beta S$ , so that  $\bar{f}(\beta X \setminus X) = \beta R \setminus R$ ,  $\bar{h}(\beta S \setminus S) = \beta R \setminus R$ \*\*\*\* and  $\bar{f} = \bar{h}\bar{g}$ . To prove the closedness of the mapping  $g$ , it is enough to show

that  $\bar{g}(\beta X \setminus X) = \beta S \setminus S$ . Suppose that this last equality does not hold. Then, since  $\bar{h}(\beta S \setminus S) = \beta R \setminus R$  and  $\bar{f} = \bar{h}\bar{g}$ , the equality

$$\bar{f}(\beta X \setminus X) = \beta R \setminus R$$

would not hold. The lemma is proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Take in the space  $R$  a sequence  $\{\alpha_i\}$  of covers by balls of radius  $1/2^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The sequence of covers  $\{\omega_i\}$  of the space  $X$ , where  $\omega_i = f^{-1}\alpha_i$ ,

---

\* A continuous mapping  $f : X \rightarrow R$  is called perfect if it is closed and bicompat in the sense that the inverse images  $f^{-1}y$  of all points  $y \in R$  are bicompat.

\*\* Covers here are everywhere assumed to be open.

\*\*\* A continuous mapping  $f : X \rightarrow S$  of a space  $X$  into some space  $S$  is called an  $\omega$ -mapping for a given cover  $\omega$  of the space  $X$  if for every point  $y \in S$  there exists a neighborhood  $Oy$  whose inverse image  $f^{-1}Oy$  is contained in at least one element of the cover  $\omega$ .

\*\*\*\* These relations are fulfilled automatically, since  $f$  and  $h$  are perfect.

$i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is a normal sequence.\* Introduce the following notation. For any two covers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , denote by  $\alpha \wedge \beta$  the cover consisting of all sets that are intersections of some element of the cover  $\alpha$  with some element of the cover  $\beta$ .

We shall now construct by induction a normal sequence of covers  $\{\gamma_i\}$ , subject to the following conditions: 1) the cover  $\gamma_1$  is inscribed in the cover  $\omega$ , and 2) the cover  $\gamma_i$  is inscribed in the cover  $\omega_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . We do this as follows. For  $i = 1$ , take the cover  $\omega \wedge \omega_1$  as the cover  $\gamma_1$ . Suppose that for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$  covers  $\gamma_i$  have been constructed satisfying our conditions, and as the cover  $\gamma_k$  take any cover star-inscribed in  $\gamma_{k-1} \wedge \omega_k$ . Such a cover always exists, since  $X$  is paracompact.

The normal sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$  induces some metric space  $S$  and a continuous mapping  $g : X \rightarrow S$  (see (1)). The sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$  is inscribed in the sequence  $\{\omega_i\}$ , and the elements of the partition  $\{g^{-1}z\}_{z \in S}$  are subsets of the elements of the partition  $\{f^{-1}y\}_{y \in R}$ .

Define the perfect mapping  $h : S \rightarrow R$  as follows:

$$h = fg^{-1}.$$

According to the lemma, the mapping  $g : X \rightarrow R$  is perfect.

Let now  $\dim X = n$ . Into the normal sequence  $\{\gamma_i\}$ , as shown by B. A. Pasyukov, one can inscribe a normal sequence of covers  $\{\mu_i\}$  subject to the following conditions:

1°. Each element of the cover  $\mu_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , intersects only a finite number of elements of the cover  $\mu_i$ .

2°. The multiplicity of any cover  $\mu_i$  does not exceed  $n + 1$ .

The space  $\tilde{S}$  induced by the sequence of covers  $\{\mu_i\}$  has dimension  $\dim \tilde{S} = n$  (see (6)). The mapping  $\tilde{g}$ , induced by this sequence, will, as is easy to verify, be perfect. The mapping  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{f}\tilde{g}^{-1}$  is also perfect. The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 2.** *A space  $X$  is a Čech-complete paracompactum if and only if for every cover  $\omega$  of the space  $X$  there exists a perfect  $\omega$ -mapping  $f : X \rightarrow S$  onto some complete metric space  $S$ .*

**Proof.**

1°. **Necessity.** Z. Frolík proved (5) that for a Čech-complete paracompactum there exists a perfect mapping onto a complete metric space. Taking an arbitrary cover  $\omega$  of the space  $X$  and applying Theorem 1, we obtain a metric space  $S$  and a perfect  $\omega$ -mapping  $f : X \rightarrow S$  onto the space  $S$ . The completeness of the space  $S$  follows from a result proved by V. Ponomarev (4), asserting the preservation of completeness in both directions under perfect mappings.

2°. Sufficiency follows directly from the fact that if for every cover  $\omega$  of the space  $X$  there exists an  $\omega$ -mapping onto some metric space  $S$ , then  $X$  is a paracompactum, and from the aforementioned result of V. Ponomarev. The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 3.** *If a paracompactum  $X$  admits a perfect mapping onto some metric space  $R$ , then  $X$  is the limit of some spectrum,*

$$X = \varprojlim \{X_\alpha, \mathfrak{D}_\alpha^\beta\},$$

whose elements  $X_\alpha$  are metric spaces, and all projections  $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha^\beta$  are perfect mappings.

---

\* A sequence of covers  $\{\omega_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , is called **normal** if for each  $i$  the cover  $\omega_{i+1}$  is star-inscribed in the cover  $\omega_i$ , i.e., for each point  $x \in X$  the union of the elements of the cover  $\omega_{i+1}$  containing this point is contained in some element of the cover  $\omega_i$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{\omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}}$  be the set of all covers of the space  $X$ . To each cover  $\omega_\alpha$  we assign a certain metric space  $X_\alpha$  and a mapping  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  which is a perfect  $\omega_\alpha$ -mapping. Denote by  $D$  the diagonal of the product  $X \times X$ . For each pair  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  take the space

$$\bar{\omega}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} D = \{\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_1}(x), \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_2}(x)\} = X_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \subset X_{\alpha_1} \times X_{\alpha_2}.$$

Denote by  $h$  the natural mapping of the space  $X$  onto the space  $D$ , which assigns to each point  $x \in X$  the point  $(x, x) \in D$ . The mapping  $\tilde{\omega}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} = \bar{\omega}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} h$ ,

according to the lemma, is a perfect mapping of the space  $X$  onto the space  $X_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}$ . The natural projections  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_1}^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_2}^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}$  of the space  $X_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}$  onto the factor spaces  $X_{\alpha_1}$  and  $X_{\alpha_2}$  are also perfect mappings;  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_1} = \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_1}^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}\tilde{\omega}_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_2} = \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha_2}^{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}\tilde{\omega}_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}$ .

Now denote by  $D^{(2)}$  the diagonal of the product  $D \times D$ . For each pair  $(\alpha_1\alpha_2)$ ,  $(\alpha_3\alpha_4)$  put

$$\bar{\omega}_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4)}D^{(2)} = \{\tilde{\omega}_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)}(x), \tilde{\omega}_{(\alpha_3\alpha_4)}(x)\} = X_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4)} \subset X_{(\alpha_1\alpha_2)} \times X_{(\alpha_3\alpha_4)}$$

and repeat the arguments given above.

Continuing this process, we obtain a spectrum  $S = \{X_\alpha, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta\}$ , whose elements  $X_\alpha$  are metric spaces, and all projections  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta$  are perfect mappings (here the indices  $\alpha$  denote sets  $(\alpha_1\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{2^n})$ ).

The mappings  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ , defined for all indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2^n})$  and satisfying the condition  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha = \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta \tilde{\omega}_\beta$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ , determine a mapping  $\tilde{\omega}$  of the space  $X$  into the space

$$\tilde{X} = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{X_\alpha, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta\}$$

by assigning to each point  $x \in X$  the point  $\tilde{\omega}(x) = \{x_\alpha\}$ , where  $x_\alpha = \tilde{\omega}_\alpha(x)$ . We shall show that the mapping  $\tilde{\omega} : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $x'$  and  $x''$  be two distinct points of the space  $X$ . For the cover  $\omega = \{X \setminus x', X \setminus x''\}$  there exists  $\alpha = \alpha(x', x'')$  for which  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is an  $\omega$ -mapping. It is clear that  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha(x') \neq \tilde{\omega}_\alpha(x'')$ . Thus the mapping  $\tilde{\omega} : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is one-to-one. We now show that for every open set  $O \subset X$  the set  $\tilde{\omega}(O)$  is open in  $\tilde{X}$ . Take an arbitrary point  $x \in O$ . For the cover  $\omega = \{O, X \setminus x\}$  there exists an  $\alpha$  such that the mapping  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  will be an  $\omega$ -mapping. Hence there exists a neighborhood  $O_{\alpha y}$  of the point  $y = \tilde{\omega}_\alpha(x)$  such that  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^{-1}(O_{\alpha y}) \subseteq O$ . Running through all points of the set  $O$ , we obtain that the set

$$\tilde{\omega}(O) = \tilde{\omega}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^{-1}(O_{\alpha y})\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^{-1}(O_{\alpha y}),$$

where by  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha$  are denoted the projections of the space  $\tilde{X}$  onto  $X_\alpha$ . The mapping  $\tilde{\omega}$  is open. Moreover, as is clear from the construction, the mapping  $\tilde{\omega}$  is a mapping onto the whole space  $\tilde{X}$ . The theorem is proved.

Since both paracompactness and completeness in the sense of Čech are preserved in both directions under perfect mappings, Theorem 3 immediately implies

**Theorem 4.** *A space  $X$  is paracompact and complete in the sense of Čech if and only if it is the limit of some spectrum of complete metric spaces with perfect projections.*

We now consider the question of approximating  $n$ -dimensional, in the sense of dim, paracompacts by spectra of  $n$ -dimensional, in the sense of dim, metric spaces with perfect projections.

**Theorem 5.** *If a paracompact  $X$  of dimension  $\dim X = n$  admits a perfect mapping  $f : X \rightarrow R$  onto some metric space  $R$ , then the space  $X$  is the limit of some spectrum*

$$X = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{R_\alpha, \tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta\}$$

*of  $n$ -dimensional in the sense of dim metric spaces  $R_\alpha$ , and all projections  $\tilde{\omega}_\alpha^\beta$  are perfect mappings.*

From this theorem it follows at once

**Theorem 6.** *A Čech-complete paracompact space  $X$  of dimension  $\dim X = n$  is the limit of a certain spectrum of  $n$ -dimensional, in the sense of dim, complete metric spaces with perfect projections.*

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Let  $\{\omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$  be the set of all covers of the space  $X$ . To each cover  $\omega_\alpha$  we assign an  $n$ -dimensional, in the sense of dim, metric space  $R_\alpha$  and a perfect  $\omega_\alpha$ -mapping  $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha : X \rightarrow R_\alpha$ . For every pair  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  there exists a perfect mapping

$$\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} : X \rightarrow R_{\alpha_1} \times R_{\alpha_2},$$

defined as follows:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}(x) = (\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_1}(x), \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_2}(x))$$

for all  $x \in X$ . By Theorem 1 there exists an  $n$ -dimensional, in the sense of dim, metric space  $R_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}$  and perfect mappings

$$\mathfrak{F}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} : X \rightarrow R_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}$$

and

$$h_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} : R_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \rightarrow R_{\alpha_1} \times R_{\alpha_2},$$

such that

$$\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} = h_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \mathfrak{F}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}.$$

Let us now denote by  $p_{\alpha_i}$  the natural projections onto the factor spaces  $R_{\alpha_i}$ , and put

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_1}^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} = p_{\alpha_1} h_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_2}^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} = p_{\alpha_2} h_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}.$$

Obviously,

$$p_{\alpha_1} \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_1} = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_1}^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \mathfrak{F}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}, \quad p_{\alpha_2} \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_2} = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha_2}^{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \mathfrak{F}_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)}.$$

Next take, for each pair  $(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)$ ,  $(\alpha_3 \alpha_4)$ , the product  $R_{(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)} \times R_{(\alpha_3 \alpha_4)}$  and repeat the preceding arguments. Continuing this process, we obtain a spectrum

$$S = \{R_\alpha, \mathfrak{F}_\alpha^\beta\},$$

where the indices  $\alpha$  denote collections  $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2n})$ . Repeating the arguments of Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$X = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{R_\alpha, \mathfrak{F}_\alpha^\beta\}.$$

The theorem is proved.

I express my deep gratitude to V. Ponomarev and B. Pasynkov for the assistance rendered to me.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
29 V 1964

## REFERENCES

1. V. Ponomarev, DAN, **141**, No. 3, 561 (1961).
2. B. Pasynkov, DAN, **150**, No. 3, 488 (1963).
3. S. Mardešić, Ill. J. Math., **4**, No. 2 (1960).
4. V. Ponomarev, DAN, **124**, No. 2, 268 (1959).
5. Z. Frolik, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., **8**, No. 11–12, 747 (1960).
6. K. Nagami, Japan. J. Math., **30**, 25 (1960).
7. V. Ponomarev, DAN, **153**, No. 5, 1013 (1963).
8. A. Arhangel'skii, DAN, **151**, No. 4, 751 (1963).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*