

Soviet-era science, translated into English

LOCAL SATURATION OF A FAMILY OF LINEAR POSITIVE OPERATORS

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.80867>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

R. G. MAMEDOV

LOCAL SATURATION OF A FAMILY OF LINEAR POSITIVE OPERATORS

(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov, 15 I 1964)

I. Let $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(f; x)$ be a family of linear positive operators (l.p.o.), whose domain of definition H , in particular, contains also the set of all functions $f(x)$ having on $[a, b]$ an absolutely continuous $(2m - 1)$ -st derivative $f^{(2m-1)}(x)$. Define the linear operator

$$M_\lambda(f; x) = \frac{1}{\tau_\lambda^{[2m]}} \left[\mathcal{L}_\lambda(f; x) - \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \tau_\lambda^{[k]} \right],$$

where $\tau_\lambda^{[k]} = \mathcal{L}_\lambda[(t-x)^k; x]$ and $m \geq 1$ is a given integer.

Denote by $H(n, x)$ the set of all functions $f(x)$, defined on $[a, b]$ and having a finite derivative of order n , $f^{(n)}(x)$, at the point $x \in [a, b]$.

Let the operator $M_\lambda(f; x)$ map every function $f(x) \in H$ into a function continuous on $[a, b]$. Suppose, moreover, that the conditions

$$|M_\lambda(f; x)| \leq M < +\infty, \quad (1)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} M_\lambda(f; x) = \frac{f^{(2m)}(x)}{(2m)!} \quad (2)$$

are satisfied for every function $f \in H(2m, x)$ on the set of all points x of the interval $[a, b]$ where the finite $f^{(2m)}(x)$ exists. It is known^(3,4) that, in order that the asymptotic equality (2) hold for all $f \in H(2m, x)$, it is necessary and sufficient that the condition

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tau_\lambda^{[2m+2j]}}{\tau_\lambda^{[2m]}} = 0$$

hold for at least one value $j = 1, 2, \dots$

In what follows we also assume that for the l.p.o. the condition

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b [M_\lambda(f; x)\varphi(x) - M_\lambda(\varphi; x)f(x)] dx = 0 \quad (3)$$

is satisfied for all $f(x) \in H$ and $\varphi(x) \in H$.

Theorem 1. If $M_\lambda(f; x) = o(1)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, then $f(x)$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq 2m - 1$.

Proof. Denote by $C^{(2m)}(a, b)$ the totality of all functions $f(t)$, defined on the interval $[a, b]$, having on this interval a continuous derivative of order $2m$ and equal to zero outside $[a, b]$. Since $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} M_\lambda(f; x) = 0$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b M_\lambda(f; x)\varphi(x) dx = 0 \quad (4)$$

for every function $\varphi \in C^{(2m)}(a, b)$.

We note that, by virtue of (3), the relation

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b M_\lambda(f; x)\varphi(x) dx = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b M_\lambda(\varphi; x)f(x) dx. \quad (5)$$

holds.

On the other hand, the equality

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} M_\lambda(\varphi; x) = \frac{\varphi^{(2m)}(x)}{(2m)!}$$

is valid on $[a, b]$ for $\varphi \in C^{(2m)}(a, b)$. Consequently, from (1), (4), and (5) we find

$$\int_a^b \varphi^{(2m)}(x)f(x) dx = 0$$

for every function $\varphi \in C^{(2m)}(a, b)$. Hence, on the basis of the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations, it follows that $f(x)$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $2m - 1$.

Theorem 2. In order that, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the relation

$$M_\lambda(f; x) = O(1) \quad (6)$$

hold uniformly on $[a, b]$, it is necessary and sufficient that $f^{(2m-1)}(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$.

Necessity. Since (6) holds uniformly on $[a, b]$, by the weak compactness of the functionals of the space $L_1(a, b)$, there exist a subsequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ and a function $\psi(x) \in L_\infty(a, b)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b M_{\lambda_k}(f; x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_a^b \psi(x) \varphi(x) dx \quad (7)$$

for every $\varphi \in C^{(2m)}(a, b)$. The left-hand side of relation (7), by virtue of (1), (2), and (3), is equal to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b M_{\lambda_k}(f; x) \varphi(x) dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b M_{\lambda_k}(\varphi; x) f(x) dx = \frac{1}{(2m)!} \int_a^b \varphi^{(2m)}(x) f(x) dx. \quad (8)$$

The right-hand side of equality (7), in turn, can be represented in the form

$$\int_a^b \psi(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_a^b \varphi^{(2m)}(x) F_{2m}(x) dx, \quad (9)$$

where $F_{2m}(x)$ is the $2m$ -th indefinite integral of $\psi(x)$.

Consequently, from (7), (8), and (9) we find

$$\int_a^b \varphi^{(2m)}(x) [f(x) - (2m)! F_{2m}(x)] dx = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C^{(2m)}(a, b)$. Hence we conclude that $f(x) - (2m)! F_{2m}(x)$ is an algebraic polynomial of degree $2m - 1$, i.e. $f^{(2m-1)}(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$.

Sufficiency. If $f^{(2m-1)}(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$, then $f^{(2m)}(x)$ exists almost everywhere and is bounded on $[a, b]$. Hence, by virtue of (1),

$$\|M_\lambda(f; x)\|_{L_\infty} = O(1)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Owing to the continuity of $M_\lambda(f; x)$, from the last relation we find that (6) holds uniformly on $[a, b]$.

Theorems 1 and 2 determine the orders and classes of local saturation (see, for this, (5)) of the family $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(f; x)$.

- II. By analogous reasoning one determines the classes and orders of local saturation of the family of l.p.o. $W_\lambda(f; x)$, defined on the set of 2π -periodic functions. We shall show this for $m = 1$; the case $m > 1$ is treated similarly. We assume that for the family $W_\lambda(f; x)$ the conditions stated

at the beginning are also satisfied, in particular conditions (1), (2), and (3), in which $M_\lambda(f; x)$ must be replaced by the operator

$$N_\lambda(f; x) = \frac{1}{\mu_\lambda^{[2]}} [W_\lambda(f; x) - f(x) - f'(x)\mu_\lambda^{[1]}],$$

where

$$\mu_\lambda^{[k]} = W_\lambda \left[2^k \sin^k \frac{t-x}{2}; x \right].$$

Theorem 3. 1. If, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$N_\lambda(f; x) = o(1) \tag{10}$$

uniformly, then $f(x)$ is a constant.

2. In order that, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the relation

$$N_\lambda(f; x) = O(1) \tag{11}$$

hold uniformly, it is necessary and sufficient that $f'(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$.

Proof. 1. Since (10) holds uniformly on $[-\pi, \pi]$, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} N_\lambda(f; x) e^{-ikx} dx = 0.$$

Using condition (3) and the asymptotic equality (2), we find

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} N_\lambda(f; x) e^{-ikx} dx = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} N_\lambda(e^{-ikt}; x) f(x) dx = -\pi k^2 C_k(f) = 0$$

for all k , where $C_k(f)$ is the k -th Fourier coefficient of $f(x)$. Consequently, $C_k(f) = 0$ for $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, i.e. $f(x) = \text{const}$.

2. Let us prove necessity. Taking into account that (11) holds uniformly on $[-\pi, \pi]$, by the weak compactness of the space $L_1(-\pi, \pi)$ one can find a function $\psi(x) \in L_\infty(-\pi, \pi)$ and a subsequence λ_k such that the relation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} N_{\lambda_k}(f; x) e^{-ikx} dx = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi(x) e^{-ikx} dx$$

is valid.

The left-hand side is equal to $-\pi k^2 C_k(f)$. Consequently,

$$-\pi k^2 C_k(f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \psi(x) e^{-ikx} dx$$

for all k . Hence it follows that $f''(x) \in L_{\infty}(-\pi, \pi)$, i.e. $f'(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$.

The proof of sufficiency is obvious.

As an example, consider the l.p.o. of P. P. Korovkin ⁽¹⁾

$$A_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) V_n(t) dt, \quad (12)$$

where

$$V_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k^{(n)} \cos kt, \quad \rho_1^{(n)} = \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2}, \dots$$

For the l.p.o. (12) all the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied, and moreover

$$\mu_n^{[1]} = 0, \quad \mu_n^{[2]} = 2[1 - \rho_1^{(n)}] = 2 \left(1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n+2} \right) \simeq \frac{\pi^2}{n^2} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus, from Theorem 3 we obtain

Corollary. 1. If, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $A_n(f; x) - f(x) = o(n^{-2})$ uniformly, then $f(x) = \text{const}$.

2. In order that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the relation $A_n(f; x) - f(x) = O(n^{-2})$ hold uniformly, it is necessary and sufficient that $f'(x) \in \text{Lip } 1$.

The last result is customarily written as follows:

$$\text{Sat}[A_n]_C = [\{f \mid f' \in \text{Lip } 1\}, n^{-2}, \text{constant}].$$

For another l.p.o. of P. P. Korovkin ⁽²⁾,

$$A_r(f; x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x+t) u_r(t) dt,$$

$$u_r(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^{k^2} \cos kt,$$

we have

$$\text{Sat}[A_n]_C = [\{f \mid f' \in \text{Lip } 1\}, 1 - r, \text{ constant}].$$

On the basis of Theorem 3 one can also verify that the class and order of saturation of the well-known l.p.o. of Vallée-Poussin and Jackson (see ⁽⁶⁾) are determined in the form

$$\text{Sat}[B_n]_C = [\{f \mid f' \in \text{Lip } 1\}, n^{-1}, \text{ constant}],$$

$$\text{Sat}[D_n]_C = [\{f \mid f' \in \text{Lip } 1\}, n^{-2}, \text{ constant}],$$

respectively. These results were obtained earlier by A. Kh. Turetskii ⁽⁷⁾.

We note that assertions analogous to Theorems 1-3 are also valid in the metric of the space L_p ($p > 1$). Moreover, if approximation of functions by means of l.p.o. on the unbounded interval $(-\infty, \infty)$ is considered, then in proving the corresponding assertions one should use the Fourier transform of functions instead of Fourier coefficients.

Azerbaijan Polytechnic
Institute

Received
13 I 1964

CITED LITERATURE

- ¹ P. P. Korovkin, UMN, **13**, no. 6 (84), 99 (1958).
- ² P. P. Korovkin, DAN, **127**, no. 3, 513 (1959).
- ³ R. G. Mamedov, DAN, **146**, no. 5, 1013 (1962).
- ⁴ R. G. Mamedov, Izv. AN AzerbSSR, Ser. Phys., Math. and Techn., **4**, 3 (1962).
- ⁵ R. G. Mamedov, DAN, **144**, no. 2, 272 (1962).
- ⁶ I. P. Natanson, *Constructive Theory of Functions*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1949.
- ⁷ A. Kh. Turetskii, DAN, **126**, no. 6, 1207 (1959).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.