



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# V. V. PROIZVOLOV

In this note I shall prove

1964

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.78449>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**V. V. PROIZVOLOV**

**CARDINALITIES OF A BASE OF AN  $H$ -CLOSED SPACE**

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 11 VII 1963)*

In this note I shall prove

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be an  $H$ -closed space and let  $B$  be its  $t$ -pseudobase\* such that, for every point  $x \in X$ , the set  $B_x$  of all elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$  for which the point  $x$  is a point of contact has cardinality  $\leq a$ , where  $a$  is some cardinal number. Then the cardinality of  $B$  is  $\leq a$ .

In addition to this theorem, here a theorem on the cardinality of  $t$ -pseudobases in bicomact spaces is proved, proved by A. Mishchenko for bases <sup>(1)</sup>. In conclusion an example is given of an  $H$ -closed space showing that Theorem 1 cannot be strengthened.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** I carry out the proof following <sup>(1)</sup>. I shall call a **pseudocovering** of a space  $X$  any finite system  $\omega = \{U_\alpha\}$  of open sets such that the system  $\bar{\omega} = \{\overline{U_\alpha}\}$ , composed of the closures of the elements of the system  $\omega$ , covers the space  $X$ . As is known <sup>(2)</sup>, from any covering of an  $H$ -closed space one can choose a finite pseudocovering. I shall call a pseudocovering  $\omega$  **minimal** if it is finite and such that for every  $U_\alpha \in \omega$  there is a point  $x$  not belonging to any other elements of the pseudocovering  $\omega$ . Let  $V$  be the set of possible minimal pseudocoverings of the  $H$ -closed space  $X$ , composed of elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$ .

Since each minimal pseudocovering is finite, the cardinality of the set  $V$  does not exceed the cardinality of  $B$ . But the cardinality of the set  $V$  cannot be less than the cardinality of  $B$ ; this follows from the fact that for any  $\sigma \in B$  there exists a pseudocovering  $\omega \in V$  such that  $\sigma \in \omega$ .

To prove the last assertion, take some point  $x \in \sigma$ . For each point  $y \in X \setminus x$  choose an element  $U(y)$  of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$  containing it and lying in  $X \setminus x$ . Denote by  $\Omega$  the covering consisting of all the just selected  $U(y)$  and of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\omega$  be any minimal pseudocovering contained in  $\Omega$ ; if one adds  $\sigma$  to its elements (in the case when  $\sigma$  is not already in  $\omega$ ), then again a minimal pseudocovering is obtained. Thus, the cardinality of the set  $V$  is equal to the cardinality of  $B$ . Suppose that the cardinality of  $B > a$ . Then, if  $V_n$  denotes the set of all minimal pseudocoverings consisting of  $n$  distinct elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase, there exists such an  $n_0$  that the cardinality of  $V_{n_0} > a$ .

Let  $k \leq n_0$ , and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  be arbitrary distinct elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$ . Denote by  $S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k}$  the set of all those pseudocoverings  $\omega \in V_{n_0}$  for which

$\sigma_i \in \omega$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of the space  $X$ , and let  $B_x$  be the set of all elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$  for which the point  $x$  serves as a point of contact. Then the equality holds

$$V_{n_0} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in B_x} S_\sigma. \quad (1)$$

\* A  $t$ -pseudobase of a topological space  $X$  is a system of open sets such that for every point  $x \in X$  there is in it a subsystem whose intersection is exactly the point  $x$ . A base, obviously, is a  $t$ -pseudobase.

If  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  are any (pairwise distinct) elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$  not containing the point  $x$ , then

$$S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in B_x} S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \sigma}, \quad \text{where } \sigma \neq \sigma_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k). \quad (2)$$

Let  $x_1 \in X$  be an arbitrary point. There exists  $\sigma_1 \in B_{x_1}$  such that the cardinality of  $S_{\sigma_1}$  is  $> a$  (this follows from equality (1)). Suppose that for  $k < n_0$  such (pairwise distinct) elements  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$  of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$  have been found that the cardinality of  $S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k}$  is  $> a$ . Since  $k < n_0$ , there exists a point  $x_{k+1}$  that is contained in none of the sets  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ . But then, by virtue of equality (2), there exists also such a  $\sigma_{k+1} \in B_{x_{k+1}}$  that the cardinality of  $S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1}}$  is  $> a$ .

As a result, for all  $k \leq n_0$ , the sets  $S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k}$  have cardinality greater than  $a$ . In particular, the cardinality of the set  $S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n_0}}$  exceeds the cardinal number  $a$ . But  $S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n_0}} \subset V_{n_0}$ , and therefore there exists only one cover  $\omega \in S_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n_0}}$ , namely  $\omega = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n_0}\}$ . The theorem is proved.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a bicomact  $T_1$ -space and let  $B$  be its  $t$ -pseudobase such that, for every point  $x \in X$ , the set  $B_x$  of all elements of the  $t$ -pseudobase  $B$  containing the point  $x$  has cardinality  $\leq a$ , where  $a$  is some cardinal number. Then the cardinality of  $B$  is  $\leq a$ .*

The proof of this theorem differs hardly at all from the proof of the corresponding theorem in paper <sup>(1)</sup>.

Now I shall construct an  $H$ -closed space  $M$  possessing a point-countable base but not possessing a countable base. This will show that Theorem 1 cannot be strengthened. The space is borrowed from paper <sup>(1)</sup>.

Represent the interval  $[0, 1]$  as the sum of a disjoint system  $N_1$  of nonempty everywhere dense sets  $M_\alpha$ :

$$[0, 1] = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} M_\alpha, \quad M_\alpha \cap M_\beta = \Lambda, \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

Let  $p_1, p_2$  be arbitrary rational numbers,  $p_1 < p_2$ . By  $(p_1, p_2)$  we denote the interval of the number line with endpoints  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point of the interval  $[0, 1]$ ,  $x \in M_\alpha$ . Define the neighborhood  $U_{p_1 p_2}(x)$  as the set

$$U_{p_1 p_2}(x) = (p_1, p_2) \cap \bigcup_{\beta \geq \alpha} M_\beta.$$

In <sup>(1)</sup> it is proved that  $M$  is a Hausdorff space without a countable, but with a point-countable, base. I shall prove that  $M$  is  $H$ -closed.

Take an arbitrary cover  $\omega$  of the space  $M$  by elements of the base. We shall prove that from the cover  $\omega$  one can extract a finite pseudocover  $\pi$ . Let  $U_{p_1 p_2}(x) \in \omega$ ; then the interval  $(p_1, p_2) \in \bar{\omega}$ ; thus we obtain a cover  $\bar{\omega}$ .

From the cover  $\bar{\omega}$  extract a finite cover  $\bar{\pi}$  of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $(p_1, p_2) \in \bar{\pi}$ ; then the corresponding  $U_{p_1 p_2}(x) \in \pi$ ; thus we obtain  $\pi$ . It is not hard to verify that the closure of each  $U_{p_1 p_2}(x)$  is exactly the interval  $[p_1, p_2]$ . Hence it follows that  $\pi$  is a finite pseudocover.

Moscow State University  
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received  
5 VII 1963

## REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> A. Mishchenko, DAN, **144**, No. 5, 985 (1962). <sup>2</sup> P. S. Aleksandrov, P. S. Uryson, Tr. Mat. Inst. im. V. A. Steklova, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, **31**. Monograph, 1950.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*