



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Mathematics

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.75920>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Mathematics

G. N. SYTAYA

ON THE LIMITING DISTRIBUTION OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF FUNCTIONALS OF A SEQUENCE OF SUMS OF INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

(Presented by Academician A. N. Kolmogorov, 7 IV 1964)

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with $M\xi_n = 0, D\xi_n = 1$. Denote

$$S_{nk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i; \quad S_{n0} = 0.$$

Consider a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions $\Phi_n(x, y)$, defined for $x \in (-\infty, \infty), y \in (-\infty, \infty)$. We shall seek conditions sufficient for the existence of a limiting distribution of the quantities

$$\eta_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi_n(S_{nj}, S_{nk}).$$

If $\Phi(x, y)$ is a continuous function, then from M. Donsker's theorem ⁽¹⁾ it follows that the limiting distribution of the quantities

$$\eta_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi(S_{nj}, S_{nk})$$

exists and coincides with the distribution of the functional

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \Phi(w(t), w(s)) dt ds$$

($w(t)$ is the Brownian motion process).

For arbitrary nonnegative measurable functions $\Phi_n(x)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \Phi_n(x) = 0,$$

the problem was considered by A. V. Skorokhod in the paper (2), in which it is established that, for the existence of a limiting distribution of the quantities

$$\eta_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \Phi_n(S_{nj}),$$

it is sufficient that: 1) there exist a square-integrable density of the random variables ξ_j , and 2) the sequence of functions

$$u_n(x) = 2n \int_0^x \Phi_n(z) dz$$

converge almost everywhere to some limit $u(x)$. In this case the limiting distribution of the quantities η_n coincides with the distribution of the random variable

$$\int_0^{w(1)} u(x) dx - \int_0^1 u(w(s)) dw(s).$$

This result was generalized to the case of sign-changing functions by N. P. Slobodenyuk (3).

On the basis of the results of article (2), one can prove the validity of the following assertion:

Theorem. *Suppose that the following hold:*

1. *The ξ_j have a square-integrable density $p(x)$,*

$$\int |x|^3 p(x) dx < \infty.$$

- 2.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x,y} n^{3/2} \Phi_n(x, y) = 0.$$

3. *For each N , the quantities $nu_n(x, y)$, $nu_n^*(x, y)$, where*

$$u_n(x, y) = 2n \int_0^x \Phi_n(\alpha, y) d\alpha; \quad u_n^*(x, y) = 2n \int_0^y \Phi_n(x, \beta) d\beta,$$

are uniformly bounded in the aggregate on the set $|x| \leq N$; $|y| \leq N$.

4. The sequence

$$q_n(x, y) = 4n^2 \int_0^x \int_0^y \Phi_n(\alpha, \beta) d\alpha d\beta$$

converges almost everywhere to the function $q(x, y)$.

Then the limiting distribution of η_n exists and coincides with the distribution of the random variable

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{w(1)} \int_0^{w(1)} q(x, y) dx dy - \int_0^1 \int_0^{w(1)} q(x, w(s)) dx dw(s) - \\ & - \int_0^{w(1)} \int_0^1 q(w(t), y) dw(t) dy + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 q(w(t), w(s)) dw(t) dw(s), \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^{w(1)} q(x, w(s)) dx dw(s) = p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{w(1)} q(x, w(t_k)) dx \Delta w(t_k),$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 q(w(t), w(s)) dw(t) dw(s) = p \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q(w(t_j), w(t_k)) \Delta w(t_j) \Delta w(t_k).$$

The proof of the theorem is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $\Phi_n(x, y) = 0$ for $|x| > c$ or $|y| > c$. Then, if conditions 1 and 2 of the theorem are satisfied and the functions

$$n\bar{u}_n(x, y) = 2n^2 \int_{-\infty}^x \Phi_n(\alpha, y) d\alpha$$

are bounded in the aggregate, the quantities η_n have a limiting distribution if and only if there exists a limiting distribution of the quantities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{S_{nk}} \bar{u}_n(x, S_{nk}) dx - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{u}_n(S_{nj}, S_{nk}) \frac{\xi_{j+1}}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (2)$$

and the two distributions coincide.

Lemma 2. If the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied and the quantities

$$n\bar{u}_n^*(x, y) = 2n^2 \int_{-\infty}^y \Phi_n(x, \beta) d\beta$$

are bounded in the aggregate, then the limiting distribution of (2), and consequently also of η_n , exist simultaneously, and if (2) exists, then it coincides

with limiting distribution

$$\int_0^{S_{nn}} \int_0^{S_{nn}} \bar{q}_n(x, y) dx dy - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{S_{nn}} \bar{q}_n(x, S_{nk}) dx \frac{\xi_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n}} - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{S_{nn}} \bar{q}_n(S_{nj}, y) dy \frac{\xi_{j+1}}{\sqrt{n}} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{q}_n(S_{nj}, S_{nk}) \frac{\xi_{j+1}}{\sqrt{n}} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\bar{q}_n(x, y) = 4n^2 \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^y \Phi_n(\alpha, \beta) d\alpha d\beta.$$

Lemma 3. If the conditions of Lemmas 1 and 2 are satisfied and the sequence $\bar{q}_n(x, y)$ converges almost everywhere to a nondecreasing function $\bar{q}(x, y)$, then the limiting distribution of the quantity (3) exists and coincides with the distribution of the random variable

$$\int_0^{w(1)} \int_0^{w(1)} \bar{q}(x, y) dx dy - \int_0^1 \int_0^{w(1)} \bar{q}(x, w(s)) dx dw(s) - \int_0^{w(1)} \int_0^1 \bar{q}(w(t), y) dw(t) dy + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \bar{q}(w(t), w(s)) dw(t) dw(s).$$

Example. Suppose that, for $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n, \dots$, condition 1 of the theorem is satisfied. Consider the function

$$\Phi_n(x, y) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon_n}, & \text{when } |x - y| < \varepsilon_n, \\ 0, & \text{when } |x - y| \geq \varepsilon_n. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that if ε_n is chosen equal to $n^{-1/2+\delta}$ ($0 < \delta < 1/2$), then conditions 2-4 of the theorem are satisfied, and the sequence $q_n(x, y)$ converges to the value

$$q(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } x \cdot y \leq 0, \\ 8 \min(|x|, |y|), & \text{when } x \cdot y > 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, there exists a limiting distribution of the functional η_n , coinciding with the distribution of the random variable (1). In the present case formula (1), with the aid of K. Ito' s theorem⁴, can be transformed into the form

$$4 \left\{ \int_0^1 |w(t) - w(1)| dt - \int_0^1 |w(t)| dt + w(1) \int_0^1 \operatorname{sgn} w(t) dt \right\} \\ - \frac{8}{3} |w(1)|^3 + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 q(w(t), w(s)) dw(t) dw(s).$$

In conclusion I express my sincere gratitude to A. V. Skorokhod, who posed the problem and guided its solution.

Institute of Mathematics
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
7 IV 1964

REFERENCES

- ¹ M. Donsker, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, 2, No. 6 (1951).
- ² A. V. Skorokhod, *Ukr. Math. Zhurn.*, 13, No. 4, 67 (1961).
- ³ N. P. Slobodenyuk, *Dop. AN URSR*, No. 6 (1963).
- ⁴ K. Ito, *Sborn. per. Matematika*, 1, 1 (1957).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.