

# ON SOME PERTURBATIONS OF A CLOSED LINEAR OPERATOR

1964

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.74744>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**M. A. GOLDMAN, S. N. KRACHKOVSKII**

**ON SOME PERTURBATIONS OF A CLOSED LINEAR OPERATOR**

*(Presented by Academician V. I. Smirnov on 20 IV 1964)*

Consider a vector space  $\mathfrak{X}$  and a linear operator  $T$  acting in it. Let  $\mathfrak{D}_T$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}_T$ , and  $\mathfrak{Z}_T$  denote, respectively, the domain, the range, and the set of all zeros of the operator  $T$ . Introduce the linear sets

$$\mathfrak{M}_T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{R}_{T^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{N}_T = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{Z}_{T^n}.$$

Obviously,  $\mathfrak{M}_T \subset \mathfrak{D}_T$  and

$$T(\mathfrak{D}_T \cap \mathfrak{M}_T) \subset \mathfrak{M}_T, \quad T(\mathfrak{N}_T) \subset \mathfrak{N}_T.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{Z}_0 &= \mathfrak{Z}_T, & \mathfrak{Z}_n &= \mathfrak{Z}_0 \cap \mathfrak{R}_{T^n} \\ (n = 1, 2, \dots), & \mathfrak{Z}_\omega &= \mathfrak{Z}_0 \cap \mathfrak{M}_T \left( = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{Z}_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** *If, beginning with some number  $m$ , all  $\mathfrak{Z}_n$  coincide, then*

$$T(\mathfrak{D}_T \cap \mathfrak{M}_T) = \mathfrak{M}_T.$$

**Proof.** Take an arbitrary element  $y$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_T$ . Then  $y \in \mathfrak{R}_{T^{n+1}}$  for every  $n$ . Denote by  $y_n$  some preimage of the element  $y$  contained in  $\mathfrak{R}_{T^n}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). This means that  $y_n \in \mathfrak{D}_T \cap \mathfrak{R}_{T^n}$  and  $Ty_n = y$ . The difference

$$z_{mk} = y_m - y_{m+k}$$

is contained in  $\mathfrak{R}_{T^m}$ , and  $Tz_{mk} = 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Consequently,  $z_{mk} \in \mathfrak{Z}_m$ . But  $\mathfrak{Z}_m = \mathfrak{Z}_{m+1} = \dots = \mathfrak{Z}_\omega$ , whence it is clear that  $z_{mk} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{m+k}$ . Thus

$$y_m = z_{mk} + y_{m+k} \in \mathfrak{R}_{m+k}.$$

Since this is true for every  $k$  and  $y_m \in \mathfrak{D}_T$ , we have  $y_m \in \mathfrak{D}_T \cap \mathfrak{M}_T$ . Hence (since  $Ty_m = y$ ) we conclude that

$$T(\mathfrak{D}_T \cap \mathfrak{M}_T) = \mathfrak{M}_T.$$

Let  $\alpha_T$  be the dimension of  $\mathfrak{Z}_T$ , and  $\beta_T$  the dimension of the space complementary to  $\mathfrak{R}_T$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If at least one of the numbers  $\alpha_T$  or  $\beta_T$  is finite, then, beginning with some number, all  $\mathfrak{Z}_n$  are identical.*

**Proof.** If  $\alpha_T$  is finite, then the assertion is obvious (for the  $\mathfrak{Z}_n$  do not increase and are contained in  $\mathfrak{Z}_T$ ).

Consider the case where  $\beta_T$  is finite. We shall prove the theorem by contradiction, i.e., suppose that there exists an increasing sequence

$$k_1, k_2, \dots$$

such that

$$\mathfrak{Z}_0 = \mathfrak{Z}_1 = \dots = \mathfrak{Z}_{k_1} \neq \mathfrak{Z}_{k_1+1} = \mathfrak{Z}_{k_1+2} = \dots = \mathfrak{Z}_{k_2} \neq \mathfrak{Z}_{k_2+1} = \dots$$

Take

$$z_i \in \mathfrak{Z}_{k_i}, \quad z_i \notin \mathfrak{Z}_{k_i+1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then  $z_i \in \mathfrak{R}_{k_i}$ ,  $z_i \notin \mathfrak{R}_{k_i+1}$ . To each  $z_i$  assign an  $x_i$  such that

$$T^{k_i} x_i = z_i.$$

The sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  is linearly independent. Indeed, let

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i x_i = 0.$$

Then

$$T^{k_r} x = \alpha_r^{k_r} x_r = \alpha_r z_r = 0,$$

and since  $z_r \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\alpha_r = 0$ . Similarly we obtain

$$\alpha_{r-1} = 0, \dots, \alpha_1 = 0.$$

Consequently,  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  are linearly independent for every  $r$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{L}_r$  the linear span of the elements  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ , and show that

$$\mathfrak{L}_r \cap \mathfrak{R}_1 = 0.$$

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{L}_r \cap \mathfrak{R}_1$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i x_i \in \mathfrak{R}_1.$$

Then

$$x = T x', \quad \alpha_r z_r = T^{k_r} x = T^{k_r+1} x' \in \mathfrak{R}_{k_r+1}.$$

But  $z_r \notin \mathfrak{R}_{k_r+1}$ , and therefore  $\alpha_r = 0$ . Similarly,

$$\alpha_{r-1} = 0, \dots, \alpha_1 = 0.$$

Thus  $x = 0$ , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{L}_r \cap \mathfrak{R}_1 = 0.$$

This proves (in view of the arbitrariness of  $r$ ) that outside  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  there are infinitely many linearly independent elements, contrary to the assumption that  $\beta_T$  is finite.

Let us note that the finiteness of one of the numbers  $\alpha_T$  or  $\beta_T$  is not a condition necessary for all  $\mathfrak{Z}_n$ , beginning with some index, to coincide. Indeed, let the space  $\mathfrak{X}$  be decomposed into the direct sum of two infinite-dimensional subspaces. Then each of the projection operators thereby obtained gives an example of an operator for which both numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are infinite, while  $\mathfrak{Z}_1 = \mathfrak{Z}_2 = \dots = \{0\}$ .

Further we shall assume that the space  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a Banach space. Let  $A$  be a closed linear operator acting in  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Fixing  $A$ , consider some set  $\{B\}$  of bounded linear operators ( $B : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ ), commuting with  $A$  and with one another (commutativity of  $B$  with  $A$  means that  $B$  maps  $\mathfrak{D}_A$  into itself and  $ABx = BAx$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{D}_A$ ). Denote by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the closure of the linear hull of the set  $\{B\}$ . It is easy to see that all operators belonging to the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  commute with  $A$  and with one another. As  $\{B\}$  one may take, for example, the set consisting of the identity operator  $I$  alone; then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a one-dimensional space with elements  $\lambda I$ . If the operator  $A$  is defined on all of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then as  $\{B\}$  one may take the set  $\{I, A, A^2, \dots\}$ ; then the elements of  $\mathfrak{B}$  will be all possible polynomials in  $A$  and their limits.

Suppose now that, together with  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is also fixed. In  $\mathfrak{B}$  distinguish the set  $\Phi$ , consisting of operators  $S$  such that: 1) the range  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  of the operator  $T = A_S = A - S$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{X}$ ; 2) at least one of the numbers  $\alpha_T$  or  $\beta_T$  is finite (here  $\beta_T$  denotes the dimension of the space complementary to  $\mathfrak{M}_T$  in the algebraic sense). Then, by Theorems 2 and 1, for every  $S$  in  $\Phi$  the operator  $T = A - S$  maps  $\mathfrak{D}_A \cap \mathfrak{M}_T$  onto  $\mathfrak{M}_T$ . The set  $\Phi$  is open in  $\mathfrak{B}$  <sup>(1)</sup>.

Our subsequent task is to study the sets  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_{A_S}$  as  $S$  varies in  $\Phi$ .

Let  $A'_S$  be the restriction of the operator  $A_S$  to  $\mathfrak{D}_{A'_S} = \mathfrak{D}_A \cap \mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$ . It generates, in the quotient space  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_S}/\mathfrak{Z}_{A'_S}$ , the invertible operator  $\tilde{A}'_S$ , defined by the equality  $\tilde{A}'_S \tilde{x} = A_{Sx}$ , where  $\tilde{x}$  is the coset (element of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_S}/\mathfrak{Z}_{A'_S}$ ) containing  $x$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{D}_{A'_S}$ ). The operator  $(\tilde{A}'_S)^{-1}$  is bounded. Let

$$N'_S = \|(\tilde{A}'_S)^{-1}\|.$$

**Theorem 3.** *For every  $S_0 \in \Phi$  there exists a neighborhood in  $\Phi$  such that the inclusions*

$$\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{A_S} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{N}_{A_S} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}} \subset \mathfrak{N}_{A_{S_0}}$$

*hold.*

**Proof.** Fix an element  $y_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$ . The equation  $A_{S_0}y = y_0$  has a solution  $y_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$  such that  $\|y_1\| < (N'_{S_0} + 1)\|y_0\|$ . Similarly, the equation  $A_{S_0}y = y_1$  has a solution  $y_2$ , for which  $\|y_2\| < (N'_{S_0} + 1)\|y_1\|$ , etc. Form the series

$$y_S^0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (S - S_0)^k y_{k+1}$$

and the series

$$y_S^n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dS^n} y_S^0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

which all converge in the ball

$$\|S - S_0\| < (N'_{S_0} + 1)^{-1}.$$

Using the closedness of the operator  $A_{S_0}$ , its commutativity with every operator  $S$  from  $\mathfrak{B}$ , and the equality  $A_{S_0}(\mathfrak{D}_A \cap \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}) = \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$ , one can prove that all terms and sums of the series under consideration belong to  $\mathfrak{D}_A \cap \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$ . A direct verification shows that

$$A_S^n y_S^{n-1} = y_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

(here one has to apply the operator  $A_S$  to the series term by term, which is permissible because  $A_S$  is closed). Consequently,  $y_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$ . In view of the arbitrariness of the element  $y_0$  from  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$ , we obtain the inclusion  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$ , where  $\|S - S_0\| < (N'_{S_0} + 1)^{-1}$ .

The second inclusion is obtained in a similar way <sup>(1)</sup>, by considering the series

$$x_S^0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (S - S_0)^k x_k \quad \text{and} \quad x_S^n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dS^n} x_S^0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $x_0, x_1, \dots$  is a sequence of elements chosen in a definite way from  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$ . The magnitude of the corresponding neighborhood is estimated by the inequality

$$\|S - S_0\| < \frac{1}{2} (N'_{S_0} + 1)^{-1}.$$

Denote by  $\Gamma$  the set of those  $S$  in  $\Phi$  for which the operator  $A_S$  has zeros not contained in  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The set  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $\Phi$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose the contrary. Then there exists an accumulation point  $S_0$  of the set  $\Gamma$ , belonging to  $\Phi \setminus \Gamma$ . Let  $S_n \in \Gamma$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\|S_n - S_0\| \rightarrow 0$ . For each zero  $x_0$  of the operator  $A_{S_0}$  construct, as above, the element

$$x_S^0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (S - S_0)^k x_k,$$

which is a zero of the operator  $A_S$  in some neighborhood of the point  $S_0$  and belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$ . Such a construction is possible, since every zero of the operator  $A_{S_0}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_0}}$ . Without loss of generality, one may assume that all  $S_n$  are contained in this neighborhood.

Denote by  $\Omega_n$  the set of all zeros of the operator  $A_{S_n}$  of the form  $x_{S_n}^0$ , corresponding to all possible  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_0}}$ . The sets  $\Omega_n$  are linear and  $\Omega_n \subset \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_n}}$ . Since  $\overline{\Omega}_n \subset \mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_n}}$ ,  $\overline{\Omega}_n \subset \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_n}}$ , and  $S_n \in \Gamma$ , there is an element  $z_n$  in  $\mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_n}}$  such that  $\|z_n\| = 1$ ,  $\rho(z_n, \overline{\Omega}_n) > 1/2$ . Hence we conclude that  $\rho(z_n, \mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_0}}) > 1/3$ , starting from some index. This follows from the fact that  $\rho(z_n, \mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_0}}) = \rho(z_n, K)$ , where  $K$  is the ball in  $\mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_0}}$  with center at the zero point and radius 2, and from the fact that every point  $x_0 \in K$  is arbitrarily little distant from the corresponding point  $x_{S_n}^0 \in \mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_n}}$ , beginning with some index, uniformly in  $K$ . But

$$A_{S_0} z_n = A_{S_0} z_n - A_{S_n} z_n = (S_n - S_0) z_n \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.  $y_n = A_{S_0} z_n \rightarrow 0$ . Consequently,

$$y_n = \tilde{A}_{S_0} \tilde{z}_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\tilde{A}_{S_0}$  is a continuously invertible operator acting in  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_0}}$ . Hence

$$\tilde{z}_n = (\tilde{A}_{S_0})^{-1} y_n \rightarrow 0,$$

in contradiction to the fact that

$$\|\tilde{z}_n\| = \rho(z_n, \mathfrak{Z}_{A_{S_0}}) > 1/3.$$

**Theorem 5.** *If  $S$  runs through the set  $G \setminus \Gamma$ , where  $G$  is some connected component of the set  $\Phi$ , then the spaces  $\overline{\mathfrak{R}}_{A_S}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$  are constant.*

**Proof.** Let  $S_1$  and  $S$  be any two points of  $G \setminus \Gamma$ , and let  $F$  be a polygonal line in  $G \setminus \Gamma$  joining  $S_1$  with  $S$ . Take on  $F$  a finite number of points  $S_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ),  $S_m = S$ , for which

$$\|S_i - S_{i+1}\| < \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sup_{S \in F} N'_S \right)^{-1}.$$

The finiteness of  $\sup_{S \in F} N'_S$  can be proved by the same method as the analogous assertion in Lemma 2 (2).

On the basis of Theorem 3 (from the estimates for  $\|S - S_0\|$ ) there follow the equalities

$$\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_i}} = \mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_{i+1}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathfrak{R}}_{A_{S_i}} = \overline{\mathfrak{R}}_{A_{S_{i+1}}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,$$

whence

$$\mathfrak{M}_{A_{S_1}} = \mathfrak{M}_{A_S}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{A_{S_1}} = \overline{\mathfrak{A}}_{A_S}.$$

Let us note that assertions analogous to Theorems 3 and 5 were obtained in paper (2) for the case when  $\mathfrak{B}$  is generated by a single operator  $I$ . As for Theorem 4, instead of it we had there a more special result—the isolation of  $\Gamma$  in  $\Phi$ .

Received  
29 III 1964

### CITED LITERATURE

<sup>1</sup> M. A. Gol' dman, DAN, 100, No. 2 (1955). <sup>2</sup> M. A. Gol' dman, S. N. Krachkovskii, DAN, 154, No. 3 (1964).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*