



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# MATHEMATICS

B. Yu. WEISFEILER

1964

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.34037>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

MATHEMATICS

B. Yu. WEISFEILER

## CLASSIFICATION OF SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS OVER A $p$ -ADIC FIELD

*(Presented by Academician S. L. Sobolev, 11 IV 1964)*

The problem of classifying simple Lie algebras over a field of characteristic 0 has recently been considered by many authors (<sup>1-3</sup>) and others. A. Weil obtained results that make it possible to give a classification of classical Lie groups not containing components of type  $D_4$ , over an arbitrary field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Analogues of Weil's theorems were proved for groups of types  $G_2$  and  $F_4$ . In the present note a classification is given of all simple Lie algebras over a  $p$ -adic field  $k$ . The results are obtained by general methods, making use mainly of root techniques.

By a **Lie algebra** we shall mean a Lie algebra over the universal domain. It is defined over a field  $k$  if the commutation operation is defined over the field  $k$ ; in this case the set  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  of points rational over  $k$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra over  $k$  in the classical sense. An algebra defined over a field  $k$  is said to be  **$k$ -simple** if it contains no ideals defined over  $k$ . An algebra is called **absolutely simple** if it is  $L$ -simple for every extension  $L$  of its field of definition  $k$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra defined over a field  $k$ . It decomposes over  $k$  into a direct sum of  $k$ -simple algebras. Therefore, for our purposes it suffices to consider the case where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a  $k$ -simple Lie algebra. Then there exists a finite separable extension  $K$  of the field  $k$  and an absolutely simple algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$ , defined over  $K$ , such that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is obtained from  $\mathfrak{g}'$  by restricting the base field from  $K$  to  $k$ :  $\mathfrak{g} = R_{K/k}\mathfrak{g}'$  (<sup>4</sup>). Thus the problem of classifying semisimple Lie algebras defined over the field  $k$  is reduced to the problem of classifying absolutely simple Lie algebras defined over  $k$  and its finite extensions. In the classification, Satake's results (<sup>3</sup>) were used extensively.

Let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adic field (a finite extension of the field of  $p$ -adic numbers), and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be an absolutely simple Lie algebra defined over  $k$ .

**Theorem 1.** *There exists a maximal subalgebra in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , consisting of nilpotent elements and defined over an unramified extension  $\mathfrak{K}$  of the field  $k$ .*

Using Theorem 1 and some results of (<sup>3</sup>), one can find a normal splitting field  $K$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , containing the field  $\mathfrak{K}$ , such that: a) if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an algebra of type  $B_n, C_n, E_7, E_8, G_2$ , or  $F_4$ , then  $K = \mathfrak{K}$ ; b) if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an algebra of type  $A_n, D_n$  ( $n \neq 4$ ), or  $E_6$ , then the Galois group  $\Gamma(K/k)$  is abelian and the group  $\Gamma(\mathfrak{K}/k)$

has index 1 or 2 in  $\Gamma(K/k)$ ; c) if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is of type  $D_4$ , then the group  $\Gamma(K/k)$  is either trivial, or isomorphic to one of the cyclic groups  $Z_2, Z_3$ , or isomorphic to the symmetric group on three letters  $S_3$ .

The formulated results make it possible to give a classification of absolutely simple Lie algebras defined over the field  $k$ . By analogy with the real case, we shall call Lie algebras defined over  $k$   **$k$ -forms**.

\* After the paper had already been written, the author learned that T. A. Springer had proved a more general theorem <sup>(5)</sup>.

Before turning to the description of  $k$ -forms, let us introduce some notation and definitions.

If  $\Sigma$  is the root system of a semisimple Lie algebra, and  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of the group  $\text{Aut } \Sigma$ , then a  **$\Gamma$ -order** is such a linear order that, if  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \sigma \alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\sigma \alpha > 0$  for all  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . The fundamental system of roots with respect to a  $\Gamma$ -order is called a  **$\Gamma$ -fundamental system of roots** <sup>(3)</sup>.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme $\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme
$A_n$	$Z_2$	$\begin{cases} 1 \\ 2 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases}$	paired $D_{2m}$ $A_n$ - type root scheme, with ar- rows join- ing up- per and lower nodes; also a folded end scheme	$Z_2$	1	2	$D$ - type chain end- ing in a fork; filled and open nodes as shown

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Schemeg	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme
$A_{2s+1}$	$Z_2$	$\begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix}$	$\begin{Bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}$	paired $D_{2m+1}$ A-type root scheme with a folded ter- mi- nal pair	$Z_2$	$\begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix}$	$\begin{Bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}$	$D$ - type chain end- ing in a fork; mixed filled/open nodes as shown
$A_{ms-1}$	$Z_m$	1	$m$	linear $D_{2m+1}$ chain with al- ter- nat- ing filled/open seg- ments la- beled $k_{m,l}$ , $k_{m,l}$ , $k_{m,l}$ , $k_{m,l}$ ; be- low: $0 <$ $l <$ $m/2$ , $(l, m) =$ 1	$Z_4$	1	4	$D$ - type chain with ter- mi- nal ver- tical pair; label $k_{4,?}$ at the end

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme $\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme
$B_n$	$Z_2$	1	2	$B$ - type chain with ar- rowed ter- mi- nal root	$D_4$	$Z_3$	$\begin{cases} 1 \\ 3 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 3 \\ 1 \end{cases}$ triangular trial- ity $D_4$ scheme
$C_{2m}$	$Z_2$	1	2	$C$ - type chain with dou- ble ar- row at the left ter- mi- nal side as shown	$D_4$	$S_3 =$ $\text{Aut } \Delta$	$\begin{cases} 3 \\ 6 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases}$ $D_4$ trial- ity scheme with six- fold sym- me- try as shown

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme	$\mathfrak{g}$	$\Gamma(K/k)$	$e$	$f$	Scheme
$C_{2m+1}$	$Z_2$	1	2	$C$ - type chain with dou- ble ar- row at the right ter- mi- nal side as shown	$E_6$	$Z_2$	$\begin{cases} 1 \\ 2 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases}$	$E_6$ - type folded scheme with arcs over the chain and one lower node
$D_n$	$Z_2$	$\begin{cases} 2 \\ 1 \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 1 \\ 2 \end{cases}$	$D$ - type chain end- ing in a fork with open ter- mi- nal nodes	$E_6$	$Z_3$	1	3	$E_6$ - type scheme la- beled $k_{3,1}$ on both sides

$D_n$	$Z_2$	1	2	$D$ - type chain end- ing in a fork with filled ter- mi- nal nodes	$E_7$	$Z_2$	1	2	$E_7$ - type chain with one lower node and mixed filled/open nodes
-------	-------	---	---	--	-------	-------	---	---	--

List of  $k$ -forms of absolutely simple Lie algebras (the list does not contain  $k$ -forms decomposable over  $k$ )

If the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  contains no nilpotent elements, then the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called  **$k$ -compact** <sup>(3)</sup>. According to Satake's theorem <sup>(3)</sup>, a  $k$ -form of a semisimple algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is completely determined by specifying its normal splitting field  $L$ , a  $\Gamma(L/k)$ -fundamental system of roots  $\Delta$ , the action of the group  $\Gamma(L/k)$  on  $\Delta$ , and the  $k$ -compact  $k$ -form corresponding to the  $\Gamma(L/k)$ -subsystem

$$\Delta_0 = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \sigma \alpha = 0\}.$$

If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an associative algebra defined over  $k$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is its commutator algebra, and  $\mathfrak{Z}$  is the center of the algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ , then by  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{A})$  we denote the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{B}/\mathfrak{Z}$ . If  $\mathfrak{A}_k$  is a division algebra, then  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{A})$  is a  $k$ -compact algebra. From our results it follows that the converse assertion is true:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a  $k$ -simple  $k$ -compact Lie algebra. There exists a finite extension  $L$  of the field  $k$  and a division algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  over  $L$  such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = R_{L/k} \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{D}).$$

It is known that the isomorphism classes of division algebras of order  $n$  over  $k$  can be numbered by integers  $m$ :

$$0 < m < n, \quad (m, n) = 1.$$

We shall denote the division algebra over  $k$  specified by the pair  $(n; m)$  by  $\mathfrak{D}_{n,m}$ . Put further  $k_{n,m} = \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{D}_{n,m})$ ; then we have  $k_{n,m} = k_{n,n-m}$ .

For the description of  $k$ -forms we use  $\Gamma(K/k)$ -fundamental systems of roots ( $K$  is the splitting field constructed above) (see the scheme). Roots belonging to  $\Delta_0$  are denoted by black circles. The schemes of  $k$ -simple  $k$ -compact  $k$ -forms are singled out, and next to them it is indicated which  $k$ -compact  $k$ -form they correspond to; to one isolated black circle there always corresponds the algebra  $k_{2,1}$ . If in the group  $\Gamma(K/k)$  there are elements preserving system of simple roots, then the corresponding substitution is described by arrows.

If  $\mathfrak{P}$  (respectively  $\mathfrak{p}$ ) is a prime ideal of the field  $K$  (respectively  $k$ ), we put  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}^e$ ,  $N_{K/k}(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{p}^f$ . For all  $k$ -forms the splitting field  $K$  will be specified by giving the numbers  $e$  and  $f$  and the group  $\Gamma(K/k)$ . In this case, distinct fields with the same invariants  $e$  and  $f$  and group  $\Gamma(K/k)$  correspond to distinct  $k$ -forms, and to every field with the indicated characteristics there corresponds a  $k$ -form.

The methods applied to the study of Lie algebras over a  $p$ -adic field, together with known results on associative algebras, make it possible to obtain the following propositions (in which we call a Lie algebra defined over  $k$   $k$ -quasinormal if it contains a maximal subalgebra defined over  $k$  and consisting of nilpotent elements).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k$  be an algebraic number field;  $V$  the set of its inequivalent valuations;  $k_v$  the completion of  $k$  with respect to  $v \in V$ ;  $\mathfrak{g}$  a Lie algebra defined over  $k$ . Then: 1) for almost all  $v \in V$  the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $k$ -quasinormal; 2) if for all  $v \in V$  the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $k_v$ -quasinormal (respectively split over  $k_v$ ), then it is  $k$ -quasinormal (respectively split over  $k$ ); 3) there exists a cyclic extension  $K$  of the field  $k$  such that the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is  $K$ -quasinormal.*

I take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to E. B. Vinberg for the attention he has given to the present work.

Moscow  
Electric-Lamp Factory

Received  
1 IV 1964

## CITED LITERATURE

- (<sup>1</sup>) A. Weil, J. Indian Math. Soc., **24**, 289 (1960).
- (<sup>2</sup>) J. Tits, Coll. sur la théorie des groupes algébriques, Bruxelles, 1962, p. 137.
- (<sup>3</sup>) I. Satake, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **15**, No. 2, 210 (1963).
- (<sup>4</sup>) A. Weil, Adeles and Algebraic Groups, Princeton, 1961.
- (<sup>5</sup>) T. A. Springer, Coll. sur la théorie des groupes algébriques, Bruxelles, 1962, p. 129.

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*