



Soviet-era science, translated into English

Mathematics

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.24104>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

Mathematics

M. S. Tsalenko

CORRESPONDENCES OVER A QUASI-EXACT CATEGORY

(Presented by Academician A. I. Mal' tsev on 6 XII 1963)

1. In the paper ⁽¹⁾ an axiomatic characterization was given of the category of correspondences over an Abelian category. The principal role in this characterization is played by the notion of a category with involution, or an *I*-category (for all definitions see §§ 2, 3). It was shown that the subcategory of all proper mappings of an *I*-category satisfying axioms (K1)–(K3) (see § 2) is quasi-exact. In the present note, for each quasi-exact category K , an *I*-category $\mathfrak{R}(K)$ of correspondences over K is constructed which satisfies axioms (K1)–(K3). The subcategory of all proper mappings of the category $\mathfrak{R}(K)$ turns out to be isomorphic to the category K .

2. Let us recall some definitions (see ⁽¹⁾). A category \mathfrak{R} is called a **category with involution** or an *I*-category if the following conditions are satisfied:

- a) the set of mappings $\mathfrak{R}(a, b)$ of an object a into an object b , for any objects $a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$, is partially ordered by the relation \subset ;
- b) if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b)$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}(b, c)$, and $\alpha_1 \subset \alpha_2$, then $\alpha_1\beta \subset \alpha_2\beta$;
- c) for any objects a, b there is given a mapping of the set $\mathfrak{R}(a, b)$ into the set $\mathfrak{R}(b, a)$, called **involution** ($\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b)$ goes into $\alpha^* \in \mathfrak{R}(b, a)$), with the following properties: c1) $(\alpha\beta)^* = \beta^*\alpha^*$; c2) $\alpha^{**} = \alpha$; c3) from $\alpha_1 \subset \alpha_2$ it follows that $\alpha_1^* \subset \alpha_2^*$ (for any mappings $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b)$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}(b, c)$).

We now formulate axioms (K1)–(K3), concerning *I*-categories.

(K1). In the *I*-category \mathfrak{R} there exists an object 0 such that the set $\mathfrak{R}(0, 0)$ consists of the identity mapping ε_0 , and the sets $\mathfrak{R}(0, a)$, for any object $a \in \mathfrak{R}$, have a greatest and a least element Ω_a and ω_a , respectively.

For a mapping $\alpha : a \rightarrow b$, put $I\alpha = \omega_a\alpha$, $B\alpha = \Omega_a\alpha$, $K\alpha = \omega_b\alpha^*$, $D\alpha = \Omega_b\alpha^*$. The mapping α is called ***I*-regular** (***B*-**, ***K*-**, ***D*-regular**) if $I\alpha = \omega_b$ ($B\alpha = \Omega_b$, $K\alpha = \omega_a$, $D\alpha = \Omega_a$); α is called a **proper** mapping if it is *I*-regular and *D*-regular. A proper mapping is called a **projection** if it is *B*-regular, and is called an **injection** if it is *K*-regular.

(K2). If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b)$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}(c, b)$, then: a) from $I\alpha \subset I\beta$ it follows that $\beta\alpha^*\alpha \subset \beta$; b) from $B\alpha \supset B\beta$ it follows that $\beta\alpha^*\alpha \supset \beta$.

(K3). For any mapping $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}(0, a)$, where a is an arbitrary object of \mathfrak{R} , there exist an injection $\mu : u \rightarrow a$ and a projection $\nu : a \rightarrow v$ such that $B\mu = \alpha$, $K\nu = \alpha$.

3. Let us recall the definition of a quasi-exact category ⁽¹⁾, and for the terminology see ⁽²⁾. A category K is called **quasi-exact** if the following conditions are satisfied: 1) every mapping has a kernel and a cokernel; 2) every mapping is representable in the form of a product of a normal epimorphism and a normal monomorphism; 3) the subobjects of any object form a set.

Everywhere in what follows we shall be speaking of a fixed quasi-exact category K . In contrast to ⁽²⁾ (see ⁽¹⁾), we shall assume that in each class of equivalent pairs of the form (u, μ) , where $\mu : u \rightarrow a$ is a monomorphism, there has been chosen

representative, called a subobject of the object a . An analogous supposition is made about factor-objects. Let now $\mu_1 : u_1 \rightarrow a$ and $\mu_2 : u_2 \rightarrow a$ be two monomorphisms. A monomorphism μ will be called the **intersection** of the monomorphisms μ_1 and μ_2 , $\mu = \mu_1 \cap \mu_2$, if $\mu = \mu'_1 \mu_1 = \mu'_2 \mu_2$ and if every monomorphism $\bar{\mu}$, representable in the form $\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}_1 \mu_1 = \bar{\mu}_2 \mu_2$, is representable in the form $\bar{\mu} = \mu' \mu$. The monomorphism μ is determined up to multiplication on the left by an invertible mapping. Let now (u_1, μ_1) and (u_2, μ_2) be subobjects of the object a , and let (u, μ) be their intersection. The monomorphism μ is the intersection of μ_1 and μ_2 in the sense of the definition just given, and therefore the subobject (u, μ) will be denoted by $(u_1 \cap u_2, \mu_1 \cap \mu_2)$. In what follows one must also bear in mind the dual definitions.

(Figure: Fig. 1)

Fig. 1

4. Let K be a quasi-exact category. Consider the class of all triples of the form

$$u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u',$$

where ν, ν' are epimorphisms. In this class introduce an equivalence relation: the triple

$$u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u'$$

is regarded as equivalent to the triple

$$v \xrightarrow{\pi} y \xleftarrow{\pi'} v',$$

if there exists an invertible mapping $\xi : x \rightarrow y$ such that $\nu\xi = \pi, \nu'\xi = \pi'$. A class of equivalent triples $\bar{\alpha}$ with representative

$$u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u'$$

will be called a **correspondence** of the object a with the object b over the category K , if there exist such monomorphisms $\mu : u \rightarrow a, \mu' : u' \rightarrow a$, that the pairs $(u, \mu), (u', \mu')$ are subobjects of the objects a and b , respectively (notation:

$$\bar{\alpha} = \langle u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u', \mu, \mu' \rangle).$$

The correspondences of the object a with the object b , as is easy to see, form a set, which we shall denote by $\mathfrak{R}(a, b)$. In this set introduce a **partial order**: the correspondence

$$\bar{\alpha} = \langle u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u', \mu, \mu' \rangle$$

precedes the correspondence

$$\bar{\beta} = \langle v \xrightarrow{\pi} y \xleftarrow{\pi'} v', \sigma, \sigma' \rangle,$$

$\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b)$, $\bar{\alpha} \subset \bar{\beta}$, if $(u, \mu) \leq (v, \sigma)$, $(u', \mu') \leq (v', \sigma')$, i.e. $\mu = \mu_1 \sigma$, $\mu' = \mu'_2 \sigma'$, and there exists a mapping $\varphi : x \rightarrow y$ such that $\nu \varphi = \mu_1 \pi$, $\nu' \varphi = \mu'_2 \pi'$.

If

$$\bar{\alpha} = \langle u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u', \mu, \mu' \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b),$$

then set

$$\bar{\alpha}^* = \langle u' \xrightarrow{\nu'} x \xleftarrow{\nu} u, \mu', \mu \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}(b, a).$$

The indicated mapping of the set $\mathfrak{R}(a, b)$ into the set $\mathfrak{R}(b, a)$ will be called **involution**.

Let now

$$\bar{\alpha} = \langle u \xrightarrow{\nu} x \xleftarrow{\nu'} u', \mu, \mu' \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}(a, b), \quad \bar{\beta} = \langle v \xrightarrow{\pi} y \xleftarrow{\pi'} v', \sigma, \sigma' \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}(b, c).$$

Put

$$(u', \mu') \cap (v, \sigma) = (u' \cap v, \mu' \cap \sigma).$$

Then $\mu' \cap \sigma = \mu_1 \mu' = \sigma_1 \sigma$. Let

$$\mu_1 \nu' = \rho_1 \tau_1, \quad \sigma_1 \pi = \rho_2 \tau_2,$$

where ρ_1, ρ_2 are epimorphisms, τ_1, τ_2 are monomorphisms. Choose such maximal subobjects

$$(\bar{u}, \bar{\mu}) \leq (u, \mu), \quad (\bar{v}, \bar{\sigma}) \leq (v', \sigma')$$

of the objects a, c , respectively, that

$$\mu_1 \nu = \lambda_1 \tau_1, \quad \sigma_1 \pi' = \lambda_2 \tau_2,$$

where $\bar{\mu}_1 \mu = \bar{\mu}$, $\bar{\sigma}_1 \sigma' = \bar{\sigma}$. It is known that the subobjects $(\bar{u}, \bar{\mu})$, $(\bar{v}, \bar{\sigma})$ are uniquely determined and λ_1, λ_2 are epimorphisms. If

$\rho = \rho_1 \cap \rho_2 : u' \cap v \rightarrow z$, $\rho = \rho_1 \rho' = \rho_2 \rho''$, then the correspondence $\tilde{\gamma} = \langle \bar{u} \xleftarrow{\lambda_1 \rho'} z \xrightarrow{\lambda_2 \rho''} \bar{v}, \mu, \sigma \rangle$ will be called the **product** of the correspondences $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$: $\tilde{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{\beta}$. It is not difficult to verify that $\tilde{\gamma}$ does not depend on the choice of representatives of the correspondences $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$, on the choice of representations

of the mappings $\mu_1\nu', \sigma_1\pi$ in the form of a product of an epimorphism and a monomorphism, or on the choice of the epimorphism ρ .

In constructing the correspondence $\bar{\gamma}$, a commutative diagram arises; Fig. 1 makes this construction clear.

Theorem. *Correspondences over a quasieexact category K form an I -category $\mathfrak{K}(K)$, with respect to the multiplication, partial order, and involution introduced above, satisfying axioms (K1)–(K3). The category K is isomorphically embedded in the category $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ as the subcategory of all proper mappings.*

From this theorem and the results of [1] it follows that between quasieexact categories and I -categories in which axioms (K1)–(K3) are satisfied there is a one-to-one correspondence, under which each I -category corresponds to the subcategory of all its proper mappings, and conversely.

Moscow State University
named after M. V. Lomonosov

Received
27 XI 1963

CITED LITERATURE

1. D. Puppe, *Math. Ann.*, **148**, 1 (1962).
2. A. G. Kurosh, A. Kh. Livshits, E. G. Shulgeifer, *UMN*, **15**, No. 6 (96), 3 (1960).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.