



Soviet-era science, translated into English

M. A. NAIMARK

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.20748>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

M. A. NAIMARK

ON COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRAS OF OPERATORS IN THE SPACE Π_1

(Presented by Academician P. S. Novikov on 23 I 1964)

Let us consider a commutative algebra R of bounded linear operators in the space Π_k^* with indefinite inner product (ξ, η) , $\xi, \eta \in \Pi_k$. We shall call the algebra R symmetric if from $A \in R$ it follows that $A^* \in R$, where A^* is defined by the condition $(A\xi, \eta) = (\xi, A^*\eta)$. Two algebras R, R' in two spaces Π_k are called equivalent if there exists a linear mapping of one space onto the other, preserving the indefinite inner product, under which R is mapped onto R' . In the case of ordinary Hilbert spaces, the description, up to equivalence, of all commutative symmetric algebras is well known. It is natural to pose the analogous problem for algebras in Π_k^{**} . The present paper is devoted to the solution of this problem for the simplest case $k = 1$.

Let R be a commutative symmetric algebra in Π_1 . According to Theorem 1 of ⁽⁴⁾ (see also ⁽⁵⁾), in Π_1 there exists a one-dimensional nonnegative subspace \mathfrak{N} invariant with respect to all $A \in R$. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{N}$, $\xi_0 \neq 0$. Then

$$A\xi_0 = \lambda(A)\xi_0 \quad \text{for all } A \in R, \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda(A)$ is a numerical function on R possessing the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\alpha A) &= \alpha\lambda(A), & \lambda(A + B) &= \lambda(A) + \lambda(B), \\ \lambda(AB) &= \lambda(A)\lambda(B), & |\lambda(A)| &\leq |A|. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The further classification of the algebras R depends on the properties of the space \mathfrak{N} . Only the following cases are possible:

I. Among the nonnegative invariant subspaces \mathfrak{N} there exist positive subspaces (i.e., such that $(\xi, \xi) > 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{N}$).

In case I $\lambda(A)$ does not depend on the choice of the positive invariant subspace \mathfrak{N} , and for each such subspace $\lambda(A^*) = \overline{\lambda(A)}$. Put

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{\xi : \xi \in \Pi_1, A\xi = \lambda(A)\xi\}, \quad \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{M}^\perp.$$

Then \mathfrak{M} is positive one-dimensional or the space Π_1 ; \mathfrak{H} is negative (i.e., $(\xi, \xi) < 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$) and invariant with respect to all $A \in R$. Let A_1 be the

restriction of A to \mathfrak{H} , and $R_1 = \{A_1 : A \in R\}$. Then R_1 is a commutative symmetric algebra in an ordinary Hilbert space.

Only the following cases are possible:

Ia. There exist operators $A \in R$ for which $A_1 = 0$, but $\lambda(A) \neq 0$.

Ib. From $A_1 = 0$ it follows that $\lambda(A) = 0$.

* The study of the space Π_k and of operators in it was begun by L. S. Pontryagin⁽¹⁾ and then continued in works of I. S. Iokhvidov and N. G. Krein (in this connection, as well as for definitions and basic properties of Π_k and operators in Π_k , see⁽²⁾).

** For the case of an algebra generated by a single Hermitian (in the sense of (ξ, η)) operator, in contrast to the ordinary Hilbert space this is not always the case in Π_1 ; this question is closely connected with the question of the spectral representation of Hermitian operators in Π_k , considered by M. G. Krein and G. Langer in⁽³⁾, see also⁽²⁾, Ch. V.

In case Ib the function $\lambda(A)$ may be regarded as a function $\lambda(A_1)$ on R_1 , and for $\lambda(A_1)$ the first three conditions (2) are satisfied.

Theorem 1. In case Ia the algebra R is defined by a space \mathfrak{M} , one-dimensional positive or of type Π_1 , and by a commutative symmetric algebra R_1 in some Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and in case Ib, in addition, by a numerical function $\lambda(A_1)$ on R_1 satisfying the conditions:

$$\lambda(\alpha A_1) = \alpha \lambda(A_1), \quad \lambda(A_1 + B_1) = \lambda(A_1) + \lambda(B_1), \quad \lambda(A_1 B_1) = \lambda(A_1) \lambda(B_1),$$

$$\lambda(A_1^*) = \overline{\lambda(A_1)}.$$

Here R is realized in the following way: Π_1 consists of all formal sums $\xi = m + h$, $m \in \mathfrak{M}$, $h \in \mathfrak{H}$, with componentwise definition of addition and multiplication by a number and with scalar product

$$(\xi, \xi') = (m, m') - [h, h']$$

for $\xi = m + h$, $\xi' = m' + h'$, where $[h, h']$ is the ordinary scalar product in \mathfrak{H} . The algebra R consists of all operators A defined by the formula

$$A(m + h) = \lambda m + A_1 h, \quad A_1 \in R_1,$$

where λ is an arbitrary number independent of A_1 in case Ia and $\lambda = \lambda(A_1)$ in case Ib. Two algebras R and \tilde{R} of type I, corresponding to $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{H}, R_1$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}, \tilde{R}_1$ (and to $\lambda(A_1), \tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{A}_1)$), in case Ib are isomorphic if and only if: a) $\dim \tilde{\mathfrak{M}} = \dim \mathfrak{M}$; b) \tilde{R}_1 and R_1 are equivalent; c) in case Ib there exists an isometric mapping of $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ onto \mathfrak{H} , mapping \tilde{R}_1 onto R_1 , under which $\tilde{\lambda}(\tilde{A}_1)$ passes into $\lambda(A_1)$.

II. All nonnegative invariant subspaces \mathfrak{H} are null (i.e. $(\xi, \xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{M}$) **and among them there exists an invariant subspace \mathfrak{N}_1 such that $\text{Im } \lambda(A) \neq 0$ for some Hermitian $A \in R$.**

On the basis of Theorem 2 from ⁽⁶⁾, in this case there exists a null invariant subspace \mathfrak{N}_2 , skew-conjugate to \mathfrak{N}_1 , and such that

$$A\xi = \overline{\lambda(A)}\xi$$

for $\xi \in \mathfrak{N}_2$, $A = A^* \in R$. It is not hard to show that the pair $\mathfrak{N}_1, \mathfrak{N}_2$ is determined uniquely up to interchange. Obviously, one can choose $\xi_1 \in \mathfrak{N}_1$ and $\xi_2 \in \mathfrak{N}_2$ so that $(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 1$. Further, $\mathfrak{N}_1 \dot{+} \mathfrak{N}_2$ is an invariant subspace of type Π_1 . Therefore, putting

$$\mathfrak{H} = (\mathfrak{N}_1 \dot{+} \mathfrak{N}_2)^\perp,$$

we obtain that

$$\Pi_1 = (\mathfrak{N}_1 \dot{+} \mathfrak{N}_2) \oplus \mathfrak{H},$$

\mathfrak{H} is negative and invariant with respect to all $A \in R$. Let A_1 be the restriction of A to \mathfrak{H} and

$$R_1 = \{A_1 : A \in R\}.$$

Then R_1 is a symmetric commutative algebra in an ordinary Hilbert space.

Only the following two cases are possible:

IIa. There exist operators $A \in R$ for which $A_1 = 0$, but $\lambda(A) \neq 0$.

IIb. From $A_1 = 0$ it follows that $\lambda(A) = 0$.

In case IIb the function $\lambda(A)$ may be regarded as a function $\lambda(A_1)$ on R_1 .

Theorem 2. In case IIa the algebra R is defined by a commutative symmetric algebra R_1 of operators in some Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and in case IIb, in addition, by a function $\lambda(A_1)$, defined on R_1 and satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\alpha A_1) &= \alpha \lambda(A_1), & \lambda(A_1 + B_1) &= \lambda(A_1) + \lambda(B_1), \\ \lambda(A_1 B_1) &= \lambda(A_1) \lambda(B_1), & \lambda(A_1^*) &= \overline{\lambda(A_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra R is realized in the following way: Π_1 is the space of all formal sums

$$\xi = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2 + h,$$

where $h \in \mathfrak{H}$; α_1, α_2 are arbitrary complex numbers; ξ_1, ξ_2 are abstract elements. The operations of addition and multiplication by a number are defined in Π_1 componentwise, and the scalar product is given by the formula

$$(\xi, \xi') = \alpha_1 \overline{\alpha'_2} + \alpha_2 \overline{\alpha'_1} - [h, h']$$

for

$$\xi = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2 + h, \quad \xi' = \alpha'_1 \xi_1 + \alpha'_2 \xi_2 + h',$$

where $[h, h']$ is the ordinary scalar product in \mathfrak{H} .

The algebra R consists of all linear operators A in Π_1 defined by the formulas

$$A\xi_1 = \lambda\xi_1, \quad A\xi_2 = \mu\xi_2, \quad Ah = A_1h,$$

where $A_1 \in R_1$; λ, μ are arbitrary complex numbers in case IIa and $\lambda = \lambda(A_1)$, $\mu = \overline{\lambda(A_1^*)}$ in case IIb. Two algebras R and \widetilde{R} of type II, corresponding to R_1 and \widetilde{R}_1 (and to $\lambda(A_1), \widetilde{\lambda}(\widetilde{A}_1)$ in case IIb), are equivalent if and only if R_1 and \widetilde{R}_1 are equivalent, and in the case-

where IIb means when there exists an isometric mapping \mathfrak{H} onto $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$, under which \widetilde{R}_1 is mapped onto R_1 and $\widetilde{\lambda}(\widetilde{A}_1)$ passes into $\lambda(A_1)$ or $\overline{\lambda(A_1^*)}$.

III. All nonnegative invariant subspaces \mathfrak{N} are null, and for each of them $\lambda(A^*) = \overline{\lambda(A)}$. It is not difficult to show that in this case \mathfrak{N} is unique and, consequently, $\lambda(A)$ is determined uniquely. In case III we shall additionally assume that $1 \in R$, that Π_1 is separable, and that R is separable in the operator norm. Let $\xi_0 \in \mathfrak{N}$, $\xi_0 \neq 0$, and let η_0 be such that $(\eta_0, \eta_0) = 0$, $(\xi_0, \eta_0) = 1$. Put $\mathfrak{H} = \{\xi_0, \eta_0\}^\perp$. Then \mathfrak{H} is negative, and Π_1 consists of all sums $\alpha\xi_0 + \beta\eta_0 + h$, where α, β are arbitrary complex numbers and $h \in \mathfrak{H}$. The subspace \mathfrak{H} is no longer invariant with respect to the operators $A \in R$, but

$$Ah = (h, h_A)\xi_0 + A_1h,$$

where $h_A \in \mathfrak{H}$ and A_1 is a bounded linear operator in \mathfrak{H} . Put $R_1 = \{A_1 : A \in R\}$. Then R_1 is a symmetric commutative algebra in the usual Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , containing the identity operator; it is, up to equivalence, determined uniquely, independently of the choice of η_0 .

Let \widehat{R}_1 be the closure of R_1 in the operator norm, and let T be the bicomact space of maximal ideals t of the algebra \widehat{R}_1 . Let $A(t)$ be the value of the element $A_1 \in R$ on the ideal t . Only the following two cases are possible:

IIIa. There exists a point $t_0 \in T$ for which $A(t_0) = \lambda(A)$ for all $A \in R$.

IIIb. Such a point $t_0 \in T$ does not exist.

Theorem 3. *In case IIIa a separable algebra R with identity in the separable space Π_1 is specified by:*

- a) a bicomact space T ;
- b) a self-adjoint algebra R_1 of numerical functions $A(t) \in C(T)$, everywhere dense in $C(T)$;
- c) a measure σ with support T ;
- d) a finite or countable system of closed sets $F_1 = T \supset F_2 \supset \dots$, a point $t_0 \in T$, and the Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} constructed from them, consisting of all

vector-functions $p = \{p(t)\} = \{p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots\}$, $t \in T_1 = T - \{t_0\}$, where $p_k(t) \in L^2_\sigma(T_1)$ and $p_k(t) = 0$ almost everywhere on $T - F_k$, with the usual definition of the operations of addition and multiplication by a number and with scalar product

$$[p, p'] = \int (p(t), p'(t)) d\sigma = \int \sum_k p_k(t) \overline{p'_k(t)} d\sigma,$$

where everywhere the integral is taken over T_1 .

Denote by $\mathfrak{P}(t)$ the subspace in l^2 consisting of all vectors $p = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\} \in l^2$ for which $p_k = p_{k+1} = \dots = 0$ when $t \in T_1 - F_k$. Then

$$\mathfrak{P} = \int \mathfrak{P}(t) d\sigma.$$

If $T_1 = \emptyset$, then, by definition, $\mathfrak{P} = (0)$.

R is specified by:

- d) a Hilbert space \mathfrak{L} (possibly = (0)), in it a linear subset \mathcal{L} (possibly = (0)) and an anti-isometric operator* V , mapping \mathcal{L} onto \mathcal{L} and satisfying the condition $V^2 = 1$;
- e) a measurable vector-function $\zeta = \{\zeta(t)\}$ such that $\zeta(t) \in \mathfrak{P}(t)$ almost everywhere on T_1 and

$$\{[A(t) - A(t_0)]\zeta(t)\} \in \mathfrak{P}$$

for any function $A(t) \in R_1$;

- f) a linear manifold \mathcal{E} in the collection \mathfrak{E} of all $\{A(t), q, \gamma, \lambda\}$, where $A(t) \in R_1$, $q \in \mathcal{L}$, $\gamma \in C$ (C is the set of all complex numbers), $\lambda = A(t_0)$, satisfying the following conditions: 1) if $\{A(t), q, \gamma, \lambda\} \in \mathcal{E}$, then also $\{\overline{A(t)}, Vq, \overline{\gamma}, \overline{\lambda}\} \in \mathcal{E}$; 2) if $\{A_1(t), q_1, \gamma_1, \lambda_1\} \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\{A_2(t), q_2, \gamma_2, \lambda_2\} \in \mathcal{E}$, then also

$$\left\{ A_1(t)A_2(t), \overline{\lambda_2}q_1 + \overline{\lambda_1}q_2, \lambda_1\gamma_2 + \lambda_2\gamma_1 - \int |A_1(t) - \lambda_1| |A_2(t) - \lambda_2| (\zeta(t), \zeta(t)) d\sigma + (Vq_2, q_1), \lambda_1\lambda_2 \right\} \in \mathcal{E}$$

- 3) the projection of \mathcal{E} onto the first, second, third, and fourth components coincides respectively with R_1 , \mathcal{L} , C , and C .

* An operator V in \mathcal{L} is called **anti-isometric** if

$$V(\alpha_1 q_1 + \alpha_2 q_2) = \overline{\alpha_1} Vq_1 + \overline{\alpha_2} Vq_2$$

and

$$(Vq_1, Vq_2) = (q_2, q_1)$$

for $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in C$.

The algebra R is realized as follows. The space Π_1 consists of all formal sums $\xi = \alpha\xi_0 + \beta\eta_0 + p(t) + q$, where $\alpha, \beta \in C$, $p(t) \in \mathfrak{P}$, $q \in \mathfrak{Q}$, ξ_0, η_0 are abstract elements, with componentwise definitions of the operations of addition and multiplication by a number, and with scalar product

$$(\xi, \xi') = \alpha\bar{\beta}' + \bar{\beta}\alpha' - \int (p(t), p'(t)) d\sigma - [q, q']$$

for $\xi = \alpha\xi_0 + \beta\eta_0 + p(t) + q$, $\xi' = \alpha'\xi_0 + \beta'\eta_0 + p'(t) + q'$, where $[q, q']$ is the usual scalar product in \mathfrak{Q} .

The algebra R consists of all linear operators A in Π_1 given by the formulas

$$A\xi_0 = \lambda\xi_0, \quad Ap(t) = - \int (A(t) - \lambda)(p(t), \zeta(t)) d\sigma \xi_0 + A(t)p(t); \quad (3)$$

$$Aq = (q, q')\xi_0 + \lambda q; \quad (4)$$

$$A\eta_0 = \gamma\xi_0 + \lambda\eta_0 + (A(t) - \lambda)\zeta(t) + Vq, \quad (5)$$

where $\{A(t), q', \gamma, \lambda\} \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Two such algebras R, \tilde{R} with the same $\xi_0, \eta_0, T, t_0, \mathfrak{P}$, and \mathfrak{Q} , but corresponding, possibly, to different $R_1, \zeta(t), \mathfrak{L}, V, \mathfrak{E}$ and $\tilde{R}_1, \tilde{\zeta}(t), \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$, respectively, are equivalent if and only if there exist: 1) a homeomorphism s of the space T onto itself; 2) a measurable family, defined for almost all $t \in T_1$, of isometric mappings $U(t)$ of the space $\mathfrak{P}(st)$ onto $\mathfrak{P}(t)$; 3) a unitary operator U_0 in \mathfrak{Q} ; 4) elements $p_0(t) \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $q_0 \in \mathfrak{Q}$ such that: $\alpha) st_0 = t_0$; $\beta)$ the correspondence $A(t) \rightarrow A(st)$ is a mapping of the algebra R_1 onto \tilde{R}_1 ; $\gamma)$ the measures $\sigma(\Delta)$ and $\sigma(s\Delta)$ are equivalent; $\delta)$ U_0 maps \mathfrak{L} onto $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}$ and, moreover, V is transformed into \tilde{V} ; $\varepsilon)$

$$\tilde{\zeta}(t) = \left[\frac{d\sigma(st)}{d\sigma(t)} \right]^{1/2} U(t)[\zeta(st) + p_0(st)];$$

$\chi)$ the correspondence $\{A(t), q, \gamma, \lambda\} \rightarrow \{\tilde{A}(t), \tilde{q}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\lambda}\}$, where $\tilde{A}(t) = A(st)$, $\tilde{q} = U_0q$,

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma - \int (A(t) - \lambda)[(\zeta(t), p_0(t)) + (p_0(t), \zeta(t)) + (p_0(t), p_0(t))] d\sigma + (Vq', q_0) + (q_0, Vq')$$

is a mapping of the set \mathfrak{E} onto the set $\tilde{\mathfrak{E}}$.

In case IIIb the algebra R is realized analogously, with the difference that now $t_0, \mathfrak{Q}, V, U_0, q_0$ are absent, formula (4) and the condition $st_0 = t_0$ are absent; T_1 coincides with T , and λ runs through arbitrary complex numbers no longer necessarily equal to $A(t_0)$.

Corollary 1. In case IIIb one may assume that $\zeta(t) \equiv 0$, and when $\zeta(t) \equiv 0$ formulas (3)–(5) for the operators $A \in R$, including the coefficient γ , are determined uniquely.

Corollary 2. In cases I and II the algebras R are semisimple; in case IIIa the radical of the algebra R consists of those and only those operators $A \in R$ for which $A^3 = 0$ (in case IIIb, for which $A^2 = 0$).

Steklov Mathematical Institute
Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received
9 I 1964

References

1. L. S. Pontryagin, *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, **8**, 243 (1944).
2. I. S. Iokhvidov, M. G. Krein, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch.*, a) **5**, 367 (1956);
b) **8**, 413 (1959).
3. M. G. Krein, G. K. Langer, *DAN*, **152**, 39 (1963).
4. M. A. Naimark, *DAN*, **149**, No. 6, 1261 (1963).
5. M. A. Naimark, *Acta Szeged*, **24**, 177 (1963).
6. M. A. Naimark, *DAN*, **152**, No. 5, 1064 (1963).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.