



Soviet-era science, translated into English

MATHEMATICS

1964

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.18800>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

MATHEMATICS

V. V. STRYGIN

ON THE DEPENDENCE ON A PARAMETER OF AN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

(Presented by Academician A. Yu. Ishlinskii, 15 V 1964)

1. Consider a system of ordinary differential equations with deviating argument

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f[t, \lambda(t), x(t - h_1(t)), \dots, x(t - h_k(t))]; \quad (1)$$

here $x(t)$ is a vector-function with values in R^m . We shall assume that the right-hand sides of system (1) and the deviations $h_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, possess the property of ω -periodicity in t ,

$$f(t + \omega, x_1, y_1, \dots, y_k) \equiv f(t, x, y_1, \dots, y_k),$$

$$h_i(t + \omega) = h_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $-\infty < t < \infty$, $x \in R^m$, $y_j \in R^m$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

M. A. Krasnosel'skii proposed in ⁽¹⁾ a method for proving existence theorems for periodic solutions of systems (1), using reduction to special nonlinear integral equations whose right-hand sides depend on an auxiliary parameter λ . The operator defining the mentioned integral equations has the form

$$A(x; \lambda) = \int_0^t f[s, x(s), \tilde{x}_\omega(s - h_1(s, \lambda)), \dots, \tilde{x}_\omega(s - h_k(s, \lambda))] ds, \quad (2)$$

where \tilde{x}_ω is the ω -periodic extension of the function $x(t)$ from the interval $(0, \omega]$ to the whole axis.

With respect to the functions $h_i(s, \lambda)$ ($0 \leq s \leq \omega$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k$) we assume that, for each fixed $\lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$, they are measurable in s and, as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, converge in measure to the functions $h_i(s, \lambda_0)$.

We shall assume that the vector-function $f(t, x, y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is continuous in the aggregate of variables (t, x, y_1, \dots, y_k) ($t \in [0, \omega]$, $x \in R^m$, $y_i \in R^m$, $i = 1, \dots, k$).

Then, for each fixed λ_0 , the operator (2) acts in the space C of vector-functions continuous on $[0, \omega]$ and is continuous in x uniformly with respect to $\lambda_0 \in [0, 1]$.

It can be shown that the fixed points of the operator

$$B(x; \lambda) = x(\omega) + A(x; \lambda)$$

are ω -periodic solutions of the equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f[t, x(t), x(t - h_1(t)), \dots, x(t - h_k(t))]. \quad (3)$$

For applying to equation (1) the alternative principle for proving existence theorems for ω -periodic solutions, proposed in ⁽¹⁾, it is necessary that the operator (2) be continuous in the aggregate of variables (λ, x) ($\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x \in C$). For this, as is easily seen, it is sufficient that the operator (2), for fixed x , be continuous in λ .

In the present paper we give conditions under which operator (2) is continuous with respect to the parameter λ . Then, with the aid of the assertions proved and the alternative principle, existence theorems are established for ω -periodic solutions for a certain class of equations (1).

2. Consider a vector-function $g(t, y)$ ($t \in [0, \omega]$, $x \in R^{m_1}$), taking its values in R^{m_2} . Suppose that the operator

$$gy = g[t, y(t)] \quad (4)$$

acts from the space S_{m_1} into S_{m_2} , where S_m denotes the space of measurable vector-functions on $[0, \omega]$ with values in R^m .

We shall say that a point (t_0, x_0) of the topological product $[0, \omega] \times R^{m_1}$ has type τ , if there exists a set $K \subseteq R^{m_1}$ such that the intersection of K with the ball $T(x_0, \rho) = \{x \mid x \in R^{m_1}, |x - x_0| \leq \rho\}$ has interior points in R^{m_1} for every positive ρ , and

$$\lim_{y_n \in K; y_n \rightarrow y_1} g(t_0, y_n) = g(t_0, y_0).$$

Everywhere in what follows we shall assume that all points $(t, y) \in [0, \omega] \times R^{m_1}$ are points of type τ . Below, $\Gamma(t)$ denotes the set of points of the space R^{m_1} at which the function $g(t, x)$, for fixed t , has discontinuities.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- 1) *The sequence of vector-functions $y_n(t)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) converges in measure to the vector-function $y_0(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq \omega$).*

- 2) The set Ω of points $t \in [0, \omega]$ for which $y_0(t) \in \Gamma(t)$ is measurable.
 3) The equality holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{mes } \Omega_n = \text{mes } \Omega, \quad (5)$$

where $\Omega_n = \{t \mid t \in \Omega, y_n(t) = y_0(t)\}$ (obviously, Ω_n is measurable).

Then the sequence of vector-functions

$$g[t, y_1(t)], g[t, y_2(t)], \dots \quad (6)$$

converges in measure to the vector-function $g[t, y_0(t)]$.

Below, by C_ω we denote the subspace of vector-functions $x(t) \in C$ satisfying the condition $x(0) = x(\omega)$.

Theorem 2. Operator (2) is continuous in the aggregate of the variables (λ, x) for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in C_\omega$.

It follows from this theorem that the operator

$$D(x; \lambda) = \int_0^t f[s, x(s), \widetilde{x}_\omega(s - \lambda h_1(s)), \dots, \widetilde{x}(s - \lambda h_k(s))] ds,$$

where $h_i(s)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k; s \in [0, \omega]$) are finite and measurable almost everywhere, acts in C and is continuous in the aggregate of the variables (λ, x) ($\lambda \in [0, 1], x \in C_\omega$).

The following example shows that the operator D , if considered on the whole space C , may fail to possess the property of continuity with respect to λ . For simplicity, consider the space of scalar functions continuous on $[0, \omega]$, and define the operator $A_0(x; \lambda)$ by the equality

$$A_0(x; \lambda) = \int_0^t \widetilde{x}_\omega[s - \lambda h(s)] ds; \quad (7)$$

here $h(s)$ is the ω -periodic extension of the function $\varphi(s) = 2s$ from the interval $(0, \omega]$ to the entire axis. Let $\lambda_0 = 1/2$, and let the function $x_0(t)$ be defined as follows:

$$x_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } t \in \left[0, \frac{\omega}{2}\right], \\ t - \frac{\omega}{2}, & \text{for } t \in \left(\frac{\omega}{2}, \omega\right]. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that for $\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{8\omega}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ the equality

$$\tilde{x}_{0\omega} \left(s - \frac{1}{2}h(s) \right) - \tilde{x}_{0\omega}(s - \lambda h(s)) = \begin{cases} \omega \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\lambda \right), & \text{for } s = 0, \\ \frac{\omega}{2}, & \text{for } s \in (0, \omega]. \end{cases}$$

holds.

It follows from this that $A_0(x_0, \lambda_0) - A_0(x_0, \lambda) = \omega t/2$ ($0 \leq t \leq \omega$) for $\lambda \in (1/8\omega, 1/2)$, i.e., the operator (7) does not have the property of continuity with respect to λ at $\lambda_0 = 1/2$.

3. Suppose that the functions $h_i(s)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) are finite and measurable almost everywhere. We introduce into consideration the family of functions $h_i(s, \lambda)$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $0 \leq s \leq \omega$, defined by the formula

$$h_i(s, \lambda) = \begin{cases} h_i(s), & \text{for } \lambda = 1; \\ N(\lambda) \operatorname{sign} h_i(s), & \text{for } \lambda \in [0, 1) \text{ and } |h_i(s)| \geq N(\lambda); \\ h_i(s), & \text{for } \lambda \in (0, 1) \text{ and } |h_i(s)| < N(\lambda); \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

here $N(\lambda) = \operatorname{tg} \pi \lambda/2$. Obviously, for any fixed $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the functions $h_i(s, \lambda)$ are finite and measurable almost everywhere. One can show that, for almost every $s \in [0, \omega]$, $h_i(s, \lambda)$ are continuous in λ .

Theorem 3. *Let the functions $h_i(s, \lambda)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) be defined by equality (8). Then the operator (2) is continuous in the aggregate of the variables (x, λ) ($x \in C$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$).*

It follows from Theorem 3 that in equation (1) one can introduce the parameter λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) in such a way that the operator (2) corresponding to this equation will be continuous in the aggregate of the variables (x, λ) ($x \in C$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$).

4. Consider the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = f(t, x, x, \dots, x), \quad (9)$$

obtained from system (1) when $h_i(s) \equiv 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Suppose that the solution $x(t) = q(t, x_0)$, $x(0) = x_0$, of the Cauchy problem for system (9) is unique and defined on $[0, \omega]$. The operator U , defined by the equality

$$Ux = q(\omega, x),$$

is called the translation operator along the trajectories of system (9) over the time ω .

Following M. A. Krasnosel' skii, we shall say that the right-hand side of system (9) is nondegenerate at "infinity" if there exists a positive number ρ_0 such that for all $\rho > \rho_0$, on the spheres $S_\rho = \{x \mid x \in R^n, |x| = \rho\}$, the vector field

$$\varphi x = x - Ux$$

does not vanish and has nonzero rotation.

From the results of work (1) and Theorem 3 it follows that

Theorem 4. *Suppose that, for arbitrary ω -periodic, measurable, and almost everywhere finite deviations $h_i(s)$, the ω -periodic solutions of equation (1) (if, of course, they exist) lie in the ball $|x| \leq r$. Let system (9) be nondegenerate at "infinity."*

Then equation (1), for arbitrary $h_i(s)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$), has ω -periodic solutions in the ball $|x_i| \leq r$.

From Theorem 4 one can obtain various criteria for the existence of ω -periodic solutions of system (1). We give one simple assertion.

Below, by l_k ($k = 1, \dots, m$) we denote the components of the vector $l \in R^m$.

Theorem 5. Suppose that the right-hand sides of the system

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = f_i[t, x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t); x_1(t - h(t)), \dots, x_m(t - h(t))] \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad (10)$$

satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) The functions $f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m)$ are continuous in the aggregate of their variables and are ω -periodic in t .
- 2) The function $h(t)$ is measurable, finite almost everywhere, and periodic in t with period ω .
- 3) For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, each of the functions $f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m)$, for all values of $(x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m)$, satisfies one of the conditions:
 - a) $f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m) \geq -\gamma$,
 - b) $f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m) \leq \gamma$,

where $\gamma > 0$.

- 4) One of the two inequalities holds:
 - c) $x_i \text{ sign } f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m) > 0$,
 - d) $x_i \text{ sign } f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_m) < 0$

for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $x, y \in G_i$, where

$G_i = \{x \mid |x_k| \leq r + \gamma\omega \ (k = 1, 2, \dots, i-1); |x_i| \leq r; x_k \in (-\infty, \infty) \ (k = i+1, \dots, m)\}$.

5) The system

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_m; x_1, \dots, x_m) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m)$$

is nondegenerate at “infinity.”

Then system (10) has at least one ω -periodic solution lying in the ball $|x| \leq r + \gamma\omega$.

The author expresses his gratitude to M. A. Krasnosel' skii for supervising the work.

Voronezh
State University

Received
23 IV 1964

CITED LITERATURE

1. M. A. Krasnosel' skii, DAN, **154**, No. 3 (1963).

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.