

# DESCRIPTION OF UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LORENTZ GROUP IN A SPACE WITH INDEFINITE METRIC

1964

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196401.09295>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

**MATHEMATICS**

**R. S. ISMAGILOV**

## **DESCRIPTION OF UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF THE LORENTZ GROUP IN A SPACE WITH INDEFINITE METRIC**

*(Presented by Academician L. S. Pontryagin on 13 IV 1964)*

1. In the present note we give a description of an arbitrary representation of the group  $G$  of complex unimodular matrices of the second order in a space with indefinite metric (the theory of such spaces is set forth in <sup>(1,2)</sup>). The problem of studying such representations was posed by M. A. Naimark; he also obtained a number of results on unitary representations in  $\Pi$ -spaces <sup>(4,5)</sup>.

Irreducible representations of the group  $G$  that are unitary in a  $\Pi_n$ -space are described in <sup>(3)</sup>. The study of an arbitrary unitary representation in a  $\Pi_n$ -space is complicated by the fact that for such representations there is no theorem on complete reducibility. We shall describe the "simplest" (after the irreducible ones) representations of the group  $G$  that are unitary in a  $\Pi_n$ -metric (representations of type  $T^k$  and  $S$ ); any unitary representation turns out to be a direct orthogonal sum of a finite number of irreducible representations, a unitary (in the usual sense) representation, and a finite number of representations of type  $T^k$  and  $S'$  (some of these components may, of course, be absent).

We set forth the scheme for describing the representations. Let  $T_g$  be a representation of the group  $G$  that is unitary in the  $\Pi_n$ -space  $H$ . It turns out that it is sufficient to consider only those representations for which there exists in  $H$  an  $n$ -dimensional null (i.e. with identically zero scalar product) invariant subspace. More precisely, the following holds.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $D$  be the maximal null subspace in  $H$  invariant with respect to  $T_g$  (if there are no such subspaces, we put  $D = 0$ ).

Then:

- 1) if  $D = 0$ , then there is a decomposition

$$T_g = T_g^{i_1} \oplus T_g^{i_2} \oplus \dots \oplus T_g^{i_s} \oplus U_g, \quad i_k > 0,$$

where  $T_g^{i_k}$  is an irreducible representation unitary in the  $\Pi_{i_k}$ -metric, and  $U_g$  is a unitary representation (in the usual sense);

2) if  $\dim D = k < n$ , then

$$T_g = T_g^{j_1} \oplus \dots \oplus T_g^{j_m} \oplus T_g^*$$

where the representations  $T_g^{j_k}$  are irreducible and unitary in the  $\Pi_{j_k}$ -metric ( $j_k > 0$ ), while the representation  $T_g^*$  is unitary in the  $\Pi_k$ -metric; in addition, the subspace  $H^*$ , in which  $T_g^*$  acts, contains  $D$ , and the subspace  $D$  is a maximal null invariant subspace in  $H^*$ .

Thus, in what follows we may restrict ourselves to representations  $T_g$  satisfying the following condition.

A. In the  $\Pi_n$ -space  $H$ , where the representation  $T_g$  acts, there exists an  $n$ -dimensional null invariant subspace  $D$ .

Introduce two more subspaces in  $H$ : the subspace  $M$  of vectors  $x \in H$  orthogonal to  $D$ , and a subspace  $R \subset H$  such that  $R \dot{+} D = M$ ; it is obvious that the metric in  $R$  is positive.

Obviously,  $T_g M = M$ ; denote by  $T_g^M$  the restriction of  $T_g$  to the subspace  $M$ . It turns out that the study of the representation  $T_g$  reduces to the study of the representation  $T_g^M$ ; more precisely, the following Theorems 2 and 3 hold.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $T'_g$  and  $T''_g$  be two representations satisfying condition A, and let  $D$  be the maximal  $n$ -dimensional null invariant subspace for  $T'_g$  and  $T''_g$ . Suppose, furthermore, that  $T'^M_g = T''^M_g$  (i.e.  $T'_g x = T''_g x$  for all  $x \in M$ ). Then the representations  $T'_g$  and  $T''_g$  are unitarily equivalent.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $T_g$  satisfy condition A. Let

$$M = M_1 \dot{+} M_2,$$

where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are orthogonal in the sense of the metric  $(x, y)$  and invariant with respect to  $T_g$ . Then there exists a decomposition

$$H = H_1 \oplus H_2,$$

such that:

- 1)  $T_g H_i = H_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),
- 2)  $M_i \subset H_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).

The representation  $T_g^M$  is a coupling of an  $n$ -dimensional representation with a unitary one. Let us study such representations in greater detail.

2. Let  $M$  be a Hilbert space with scalar product  $\{x, y\}$ ,  $M = D \oplus R$ ,  $\dim D = n < \infty$ . Let  $(x, y)$  denote the degenerate scalar product in  $M$  defined as follows:  $(x, y) = \{x, y\}$  if  $x, y \in R$ ;  $(x, y) = 0$  if  $x \in D$  or  $y \in D$ . Consider a representation  $T_g$  of the group  $G$  in  $M$ , preserving the scalar product  $(x, y)$ , (i.e.  $(T_g x, T_g y) = (x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in M$ ); obviously,  $T_g D = D$ , and for  $x \in R$

$$T_g x = V_g x + A_g x, \tag{1}$$

where  $V_g$  is a unitary representation of  $G$  in  $R$ , while  $A_g$  maps  $R$  into  $D$ . Let us consider one special class of such representations.

**Definition 1.** We shall say that a representation  $T_g$ , acting in  $M$  and possessing the properties described above, is a **representation of type  $T_k$** , if: 1) the restriction  $S_g$  of the representation  $T_g$  to  $D$  is a multiple of the irreducible (finite-dimensional) representation  $S_{n,n}$ , while  $V_g$  is a multiple\* of the unitary irreducible representation  $T_{n,-n}$ , which is the nearest relative of the representation  $S_{n,n}$  ([3], p. 212); 2) the space  $M$  cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of two subspaces invariant with respect to  $T_g$  and orthogonal in the sense of the bilinear form  $(x, y)$ .

From condition 2) it follows, in particular, that  $T_g$  contains no unitary parts, i.e. in  $M$  there do not exist invariant subspaces on which the form  $(x, y)$  is nondegenerate (and hence positive definite).

**Definition 1'.** A representation  $T_g$ , acting in  $M$ , will be called a **representation of type  $S$** , if: 1)  $S_g = E$  (i.e.  $T_g x = x$ ,  $x \in D$ ); 2)  $V_g = V'_g \oplus V''_g$ , where  $V'_g$  is a multiple of the representation  $T_{1,-1}$  (the nearest relative of the identity representation), and the representation  $V''_g$  decomposes only into representations of the supplementary series; 3) the restriction of the representation  $T_g$  to  $H(V'_g) \oplus D$  (here  $H(V'_g)$  denotes that subspace of the space  $R$  on which  $V'_g$  acts) is a representation of type  $T_1$ .

It turns out that from unitary (in the usual sense) representations, finite-dimensional representations, and representations of types  $T_k$  and  $S$  (see the definition), one can compose any representation possessing the properties listed at the beginning of this section. More precisely, the decomposition

$$T_g = U_g \dot{+} L_g \dot{+} \sum_1^s T_g^k \dot{+} T'_g,$$

holds

\* The multiplicity may be infinite.

where  $U_g$  is a unitary representation,  $L_g$  is a finite-dimensional representation; the representation  $T_g^k$  belongs to type  $T_k$ , and the representation  $T'_g$  to type  $S_1$ ; moreover, the subspaces on which these components of the representation  $T_g$  act are mutually orthogonal in the sense of the form  $(x, y)$ . We note that  $L_g$  includes, in particular, all those finite-dimensional subrepresentations that are not relatives of any unitary representations.

3. It is now easy to describe the representations that are unitary in the  $\Pi_n$ -metric.

**Definition 2.** Let  $T_g$  be a representation of a group  $G$  that is unitary in the  $\Pi_n$ -metric. We shall call  $T_g$  a representation of type  $T^k$  if in the representation space there exists an  $n$ -dimensional null invariant subspace  $D$ , and the restriction  $T_g^M$  of the representation  $T_g$  to the subspace  $M = \{x : x \perp D\}$  is a representation of

type  $T_k$ . A representation of type  $S'$  is defined analogously. We now formulate the main theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *A representation  $T_g$  of a group  $G$  that is unitary in the  $\Pi_n$ -metric admits a decomposition into a direct orthogonal sum*

$$T_g = L_g \oplus U_g \oplus \sum_{i=1}^s \oplus T_g^{k_i} \oplus \sum_{j=1}^k \oplus \tilde{T}_g^{p_j} \oplus \sum_{s=1}^l \oplus T_g^{q_s},$$

where  $L_g$  is finite-dimensional,  $U_g$  is a unitary (in the ordinary sense) representation;  $T_g^{k_i}$  belongs to type  $T^{k_i}$ ,  $\tilde{T}_g^{p_j}$  to type  $S'$ ;  $T_g^{q_s}$  is irreducible and unitary in the  $\Pi_{q_s}$ -metric ( $q_s > 0$ ).

4. Theorem 2 asserts that a representation  $T_g$  that is unitary in the  $\Pi_n$ -metric is determined, up to unitary equivalence, by its part  $T_g^M$ ; the following question arises: let  $D$  be an  $n$ -dimensional null subspace of a  $\Pi_n$ -space  $H$ ,  $M = \{x : x \perp D\}$ , and let  $T_g^M$  be a representation of the group  $G$  in  $M$  preserving the bilinear form  $(x, y)$  (i.e.  $(T_{gx}, T_{gy}) = (x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in M$ ). Can the representation  $T_g^M$  be extended to a unitary representation  $T_g$  in the whole space  $H$ ?

The answer to this question is positive if the representation  $V_g$  that was constructed by formula (1) decomposes into a finite number of irreducible representations. The general case remains unclear.

In conclusion I express my gratitude to M. A. Naimark for his advice.

Voronezh State University

Received  
2 IV 1964

## REFERENCES

1. I. S. Iokhvidov, M. G. Krein, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch.*, **5**, 367 (1956).
2. I. S. Iokhvidov, M. G. Krein, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch.*, **8**, 413 (1959).
3. I. M. Gel' fand, M. I. Graev, *Integral Geometry and Related Questions of Representation Theory*, Moscow, 1962, p. 192.
4. M. A. Naimark, *DAN*, **149**, No. 6 (1963).
5. M. A. Naimark, *DAN*, **152**, No. 5 (1963).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*