



Soviet-era science, translated into English

I. U. BRONSTEIN

1963

SovietRxiv

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.91494>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

Abstract

Full Text

I. U. BRONSTEIN

RECURRENCE, PERIODICITY, AND TRANSITIVITY IN DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS WITHOUT UNIQUENESS

(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov on 24 I 1963)

In this paper we consider certain properties of recurrence, periodicity, stability in the sense of Poisson, and transitivity in semigroups of multivalued mappings (s.m.m.) (R, S, f) ⁽¹⁾, where R is a uniform separated space ⁽²⁾.

1°. **Definition 1** ⁽³⁾. A point $p_0 \in R$ will be called a **point of discontinuity** for the s.m.m. (R, S, f) if, for any $s \in S$, the mapping f^s of the space R into itself, defined by the condition

$$f^s(p) = f(p, s) \quad (p \in R),$$

is strongly discontinuous at the point $p = p_0$ ⁽⁴⁾.

Definition 2. A set $A \subseteq R$ will be called **transitive** ⁽⁵⁾ if

$$A \subseteq \overline{f(p, S)}$$

for every point $p \in A$.

A closed semi-invariant ⁽¹⁾ transitive set is minimal semi-invariant. If all points of a minimal semi-invariant set Σ are points of discontinuity, then Σ is a transitive set.

Let Σ be an arbitrary minimal semi-invariant set and $p \in \Sigma$. If the set $F_1 = \overline{f(p, S)} \subseteq \Sigma$ is semi-invariant, then $\Sigma = F_1$; otherwise consider the set

$$F_2 = \overline{f(F_1, S)} \subseteq \Sigma.$$

Suppose that closed sets

$$F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq F_\alpha \subseteq \dots \subseteq \Sigma$$

have been constructed for all transfinite numbers α less than some transfinite β . If β is a limiting ordinal number, then define

$$F_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} F_\alpha.$$

If $\beta = \delta + 1$, then define

$$F_\beta = \overline{f(F_\delta, S)}.$$

In both cases, from $\alpha < \beta$ it follows that $F_\alpha \subseteq F_\beta$. Since the set of all closed subsets of Σ can be well ordered, there will be found some transfinite number γ such that

$$F_{\gamma+1} = F_\gamma,$$

i.e.

$$\overline{f(F_\gamma, S)} = F_\gamma;$$

then F_γ is a closed nonempty semi-invariant subset of Σ . Therefore $F_\gamma = \Sigma$.

Thus, to every minimal semi-invariant set Σ and point $p \in \Sigma$ there corresponds some transfinite $\gamma(\Sigma, p)$. Let

$$\gamma(\Sigma) = \min_{p \in \Sigma} \gamma(\Sigma, p).$$

Examples show that, for any countable transfinite α , there is a minimal semi-invariant bicomact set Σ such that

$$\gamma(\Sigma) \geq \alpha.$$

2°. Introduce the notation:

$$T_p = f(p, S) \quad (p \in R);$$

D is the group of real numbers; D^+ is the semigroup of nonnegative numbers; U is the filter of neighborhoods of the uniform structure of the space R ⁽²⁾.

Definition 3. We shall say that a point $p \in R$ **satisfies condition** R_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$), if for every $\alpha \in U$ there exists a bicomact set $K \subseteq S$ such that condition K_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) is fulfilled:

K_1 .

$$T_p \subseteq \alpha[f(p, sK)]$$

for every $s \in S$.

K_2 .

$$T_p \subseteq \alpha[f(q, K)]$$

for every point $q \in T_p$.

K_3 . For arbitrary elements s_1 and s_2 of S , there exists an element $s_3 \in s_2K$ such that

$$f(p, s_1) \subseteq \alpha[f(p, s_3)].$$

K_4 . For arbitrary elements s_1 and s_2 of S , there exists an element $s_3 \in s_2K$ such that

$$f(p, s_1) \subseteq \alpha[f(p, s_3)]$$

and

$$f(p, s_3) \subseteq \alpha[f(p, s_1)].$$

K_5 . For any element $s \in S$ and any point $q \in T_p$ there exists an element $s' \in K$ such that $f(p, s) \subseteq a[f(q, s')]$.

Each of the properties R_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) of a point $p \in R$ in the case of an ordinary dynamical system ⁽⁶⁾ ($S = D$, R a metric space) is equivalent to the recurrence of the motion issuing from the point p .

Properties R_3 and R_4 (in the case where the space R is metric and $S = D^+$) were introduced by B. M. Budak ⁽⁷⁾ under the names (+)-recurrence and strong (+)-recurrence.

Theorem 1.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_4 & \rightarrow & R_3 \rightarrow R_1 \\ & \uparrow & \downarrow \\ & & R_5 \rightarrow R_2 \end{array}$$

(an arrow denotes logical implication).

Examples show that the conditions R_4 (R_3 , R_5 , R_5) are stronger than the corresponding conditions R_3 (R_1 , R_3 , R_2), while the conditions R_2 and R_3 are independent. It is unknown whether condition R_2 (R_5) follows from condition R_4 .

Theorem 2. *If Σ is a bicomact minimal semi-invariant set, all of whose points are points of continuity, then any point $p \in \Sigma$ satisfies condition R_2 .*

There exist bicomact invariant transitive sets no point of which satisfies condition R_2 .

Theorem 3. *If a point p satisfies condition R_2 and the space R is complete, then \bar{T}_p is a bicomact transitive set.*

Examples show that in Theorem 3 condition R_2 cannot be replaced by condition R_3 , even if all points of the space are points of discontinuity.

From Theorems 2 and 3 there follows a corollary which is a generalization of well-known theorems of Birkhoff ⁽⁶⁾:

Corollary. *Let R be a complete space all of whose points are points of discontinuity. In order that the set $\Sigma \subseteq R$ be a bicomact minimal semi-invariant set, it is necessary and sufficient that all points $p \in \Sigma$ satisfy condition R_2 .*

In the proof of the following theorem, essential use is made of the fact that the set of all bicomact subsets of a bicomact set, endowed with the finite topology, is bicomact ⁽⁸⁾.

Theorem 4. *In complete spaces all of whose points are points of discontinuity, the conditions R_2 and R_5 (R_1 and R_3) are equivalent. Under the same assumptions, condition R_4 is stronger than R_2 , and R_2 is stronger than R_3 .*

Thus Theorems 2 and 3 are a generalization of the corresponding results of B. M. Budak ⁽⁷⁾ and M. I. Minkevich ⁽⁹⁾.

An example of E. A. Barbashin ⁽³⁾ shows that there exist bicomact minimal semi-invariant sets all of whose points are points of discontinuity and no point of which satisfies condition R_4 .

3°. Let (R, S, f) be an s.t.s. and $p \in R$. Introduce the notation:

$$S_1(p) = \mathcal{E}\{s \in S : f(p, s) \in p\}.$$

It is easy to see that $S_1(p)$ is a closed subsemigroup of the semigroup S .

Definition 4. We shall say that a point $p \in R$ satisfies condition O_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) if there exists a bicomact set $K \subseteq S$ such that condition K'_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is fulfilled:

$$K'_1. T_p = f(p, K).$$

$$K'_2. T_p = f(p, K), \text{ and for any point } q \in T_p \text{ there exists an element } s \in S \text{ for which } p \in f(q, s).$$

$$K'_3. T_p = f(q, K) \text{ for any point } q \in T_p.$$

Condition O_2 (for $S = D^+$) was introduced by M. I. Minkevich ⁽¹⁰⁾. If a point p satisfies condition O_2 , then the funnel T_p is called **closed** ⁽¹⁰⁾. Each of the conditions O_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) in the case of an ordinary dynamical system ($S = D$) means periodicity of the motion issuing from the point p .

It is easy to see that O_3 implies O_2 , and O_2 implies O_1 . Examples show that O_2 is stronger than O_1 . Let us show that O_3 is stronger than O_2 .

Example 1. As the space R , take the set of points of three-dimensional space XYZ lying on the following curves: a) on the circles $x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = 0$ and $x^2 + y^2 = 4, z = 0$; b) on the spiral $x = (2 - e^\varphi) \sin \varphi, y = (2 - e^\varphi) \cos \varphi, z = 0$ ($-\infty < \varphi \leq 0, \varphi$ is the polar angle); c) on the semicircles lying in the half-plane $x = 0, z \geq 0$ and subtending the segments $[1; 2 - e^{-2\pi n}]$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) of the Y -axis as diameters; d) on the circle lying in the plane $x = 0$ and subtending the segment $[1, 2]$ of the Y -axis as diameter.

Prescribe along the curves lying in the plane $z = 0$ uniform motion in the positive direction with unit velocity, and along the curves lying in the plane $x = 0$ uniform motion with the same velocity, starting from the point $p = (0; 1; 0)$ in the direction of increasing z . In this way we define the p.n.o. (R, D^+, f) . The point p satisfies condition O_2 , but does not satisfy condition O_3 .

The constructed example shows that Theorem 1 (§ 2) of the work of M. I. Minkevich ⁽¹⁰⁾ is false.

In the same work it is asserted (Theorem 8, § 2) that in a closed funnel (when $S = D^+$) the sections repeat periodically, starting from some time $T \geq 0$. The following example shows that the indicated theorem is also false.

Example 2. Let the circle L_1 have length π , and let the circle L_2 have length 1, lie in the same plane, and touch the circle L_1 from within at the point p . Prescribe on these circles uniform motion in one and the same direction with unit velocity. In the resulting system the point p satisfies condition O_3 , but the sections do not repeat, owing to the incommensurability of the numbers 1 and π .

However, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5. Let $S = D^+$, $p \in R$. The sections of the funnel T_p repeat periodically, starting from some $T \geq 0$, if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- 1) the point p satisfies condition O_3 , and the semigroup $S_1(p)$ is multigenic⁽¹¹⁾,
- 2) the point p satisfies condition O_1 and $S_1(p)$ contains a nonempty interval.

4°. Consider the following property of a point p , analogous to the property of Poisson stability:

P. For any neighborhood $U(p)$ and any point $q \in T_p$, there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $f(q, s) \cap U(p) \neq \Lambda$.

Theorem 6. In a transitive set all points satisfy condition P.

For nontransitive minimal bicomact sets the assertion of Theorem 6, generally speaking, does not hold.

Definition 5. We shall say that a semi-invariant set Σ is **regionally transitive**⁽⁵⁾, if for any two open in Σ sets U and V there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $U \cap f(V, s) \neq \Lambda$.

A transitive set is regionally transitive. If the funnel T_p of every point $p \in \Sigma$ contains an interior (relative to Σ) point and the set Σ is regionally transitive, then Σ is transitive.

There exist regionally transitive bicomact minimal semi-invariant sets that are not transitive.

Definition 6. A point $p \in R$ will be called **orbitally stable** if for any neighborhood $\alpha \in U$ there exists a neighborhood $\beta \in U$ such that from $q \in \beta(p)$ it follows that $T_q \subseteq \alpha(T_p)$.

Theorem 7. If all points of the set T_p are points of unrest and at the same time points of orbital stability, and the point p satisfies condition P, then \bar{T}_p is a transitive set.

Theorem 8. In order that a semi-invariant bicomact set Σ be transitive, it is necessary and sufficient that it be regionally transitive and that all points $p \in \Sigma$ be orbitally stable.

There exist bicomact semi-invariant nontransitive sets all of whose points are orbitally stable.

In conclusion, the author expresses his gratitude to Prof. V. V. Nemytskii for discussion of the work and valuable comments.

Received
11 I 1963

REFERENCES

1. I. U. Bronshtein, DAN, **144**, No. 5 (1962).
2. N. Bourbaki, *General Topology (Basic Structures)*, Moscow, 1958.
3. E. A. Barbashin, *Uchenye zapiski Moskovskogo universiteta*, **2**, issue 135 (1948).
4. V. I. Ponomarev, *Matematicheskii sbornik*, **48**, No. 2 (1959).
5. W. H. Gottschalk, G. A. Hedlund, *Topological Dynamics*, Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., **36**, 1955.
6. V. V. Nemytskii, V. V. Stepanov, *Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1949.
7. B. M. Budak, *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta*, No. 8 (1947).
8. E. Michael, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **71**, No. 1 (1951).
9. M. I. Minkevich, *Uchenye zapiski Moskovskogo universiteta*, **2**, issue 135 (1948).
10. M. I. Minkevich, *Uchenye zapiski Moskovskogo universiteta*, **6**, issue 163 (1952).
11. E. S. Lyapun, *Semigroups*, Moscow, 1960.

Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.