



---

Soviet-era science, translated into English

# Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR

1963

SovietRxiv

---

View the original and related papers at <https://sovietrxiv.org/items/ru-196301.86873>

Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.

**Abstract**

**Full Text**

## **Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR**

1963. Volume 153, No. 1

**MATHEMATICS**

**Yu. P. OREVKOV**

### **ON THE TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF UNIFORM PROPERTIES OF METRIC SPACES**

*(Presented by Academician P. S. Aleksandrov, 24 V 1963)*

Consider the class  $\{P_\alpha\}$  of all metric spaces  $P_\alpha$ . Each space  $P_\alpha$  has a unique bicomact extension\*  $uP_\alpha$ , generating the natural proximity\*\* of the space  $P_\alpha$ . Thus to each space  $P_\alpha$  there is associated a topological space

$$N_\alpha = uP_\alpha \setminus P_\alpha,$$

which we shall call the **remainder** of the space  $P_\alpha$ . Yu. M. Smirnov posed the question of characterizing (and also of the possibility of such a characterization of) uniform properties\*\*\* of spaces  $P_\alpha$  by topological properties of their remainders  $N_\alpha$ . The first nontrivial example of this was given in (5): the uniform property of total boundedness of the spaces  $P_\alpha$  is equivalent to the topological property of hereditary normality of their remainders  $N_\alpha$ . In the same place, as a "trivial" consequence of one theorem of Yu. M. Smirnov,\*\*\*\* it was asserted that the uniform property of completeness of the space  $P_\alpha$  is equivalent to the property that its remainder does not satisfy the first axiom of countability. Here we prove this assertion, which at first seemed simple (see Theorem 1), and even in a strengthened form. In addition, we give several uniform properties which cannot be characterized in the indicated way (see Theorem 2). Let us also note that in the class of all proximity spaces such a "proximity" property\*\*\*\*\*, as the property of metrizability, cannot be characterized by any topological property of the remainder. This follows from a result of Yu. M. Smirnov, which asserts that every completely regular space can be the remainder for some pseudocompact nonmetrizable space.\*\*\*\*\*

**Theorem 1.** Let  $P$  be a metric space,  $N = uP \setminus P$ . The following assertions are equivalent to one another:

- a) every nonempty bicomact subset of the remainder  $N$  of type  $G_\delta$  in  $N$  has cardinality not less than the cardinality of the hypercontinuum\*\*\*\*\*;

- b) no point of the remainder  $N$  has type  $G_\delta$  in it;
- c) the remainder  $N$  does not satisfy the first axiom of countability;
- d) the space  $P$  is complete.

**Remark 1.** Of course, assertion a) may be replaced by any assertion intermediate between it and assertion c). For example, every nonempty bicomact subset of the remainder  $N$  that is countable

---

\* See <sup>(3)</sup>, p. 557, Theorem 10.

\*\* Subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of a metric space  $P$  with metric  $\rho$  are considered close in it if  $\rho(A, B) = 0$ .

\*\*\* That is, properties preserved under uniformly continuous (in both directions) homeomorphisms.

\*\*\*\* See <sup>(3)</sup>, p. 287, Theorems 7 and 7'. Yu. M. Smirnov proved that the property of completeness of the space  $P_\alpha$  is equivalent to the property that the bicomactum  $uP_\alpha$  not satisfy the first axiom of countability at any point of the remainder (in other words, all points of the remainder have uncountable character in the bicomactum  $uP_\alpha$ ).

\*\*\*\*\* That is, a property preserved under mappings that take close sets to close sets and distant sets to distant sets.

\*\*\*\*\* Nonmetrizable even in the topological sense; see <sup>(4)</sup>, p. 299.

\*\*\*\*\* That is, the cardinality of the set of all subsets of the line.

the remainder in  $N$  has uncountable character. Here the countability of the character (and also of the pseudocharacter) is essential\*: every point of the remainder of the full space of all natural numbers has continuum character.

**Remark 2.** For arbitrary proximity spaces this theorem is not true even in the case of local bicomactness: any bicomactum  $N$  without the first axiom of countability, according to Yu. M. Smirnov, can be the remainder of a pseudocompact (nonmetrizable) space  $P$ . By virtue of pseudocompactness, this space is totally bounded\*\* in any proximity compatible with the topology, in particular in the proximity generated by the extension  $uP = P \cup N$ . Consequently, the bicomactum  $uP$  itself is a completion\*\*\* of the space  $P$ .

**Preliminary lemmas.** Let  $aT$  be a bicomact extension of the space  $T$ . We shall call a point  $x$  of the remainder  $aT \setminus T$  **attainable** if it is a limit point for some countable subset  $K$  of the space  $T$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $aT$  be a bicomact extension of a finally compact space  $T$ ; every bicomact subset  $\Phi$  of the remainder  $aT \setminus T$  of type  $G_\delta$  (in the remainder) has countable character in  $aT$ .

**Lemma 2.** For any weakly paracompact\*\*\*\* space  $T$  and for any of its regular extensions  $aT$ , the set of all attainable points of the remainder  $aT \setminus T$  is dense in  $aT \setminus T$ .

**Lemma 3.** If a bicomact extension  $aT$  of a space  $T$  satisfies the assertion of Lemma 2, then every nonempty subset of the remainder  $aT \setminus T$  having countable character (in the remainder!) contains attainable points.

**Proof of the theorem.** It is not difficult to see that each assertion of Theorem 1 is stronger than the following one, and property b) is stronger than property c) by virtue of the cited theorem of Yu. M. Smirnov. Let now  $P$  be complete, and let a nonempty bicomactum  $\Phi$  lie in the remainder  $N$  and have type  $G_\delta$  in it.

1. The space  $P$  has a countable base. Then, by Lemma 1, the bicomactum  $\Phi$  has countable character in  $uP$ , i.e., there exists such a countable system of neighborhoods  $\{O_k\Phi\}$  of the bicomactum  $\Phi$  that in any of its neighborhoods  $O\Phi$  there is contained at least one neighborhood  $O_k\Phi$ . We may assume that  $\overline{O_{k+1}\Phi}^u \subset O_k\Phi$ \*\*\*\* for every  $k$ . Since  $\Phi \neq \emptyset$ \*\*\*\*, all neighborhoods  $O_k\Phi$  are nonempty, and with them the intersections  $P \cap O_k\Phi$  are also nonempty. Let

$$p_k \in P \cap \overline{O_k\Phi}^u.$$

Since

$$\bigcap_k \overline{O_k\Phi}^u = \Phi \subset N,$$

all limit points of the sequence  $\{p_k\}$  are contained in  $\Phi$ . Hence, from the completeness of the space  $P$  we see that no subsequence of the sequence  $\{p_k\}$  is fundamental. Then, by one lemma of Yu. M. Smirnov\*\*\*\*, there exist a subsequence  $C = \{p_{k_i}\}$  and a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that all pairwise distances between distinct points of this sequence  $C$  are greater than  $\varepsilon$ . According to the theory

---

\* The character of a set  $M$  in a space  $P$  is the least of all such cardinalities  $\tau$  that are the cardinalities of bases of the space  $P$  around the set  $M$ . The pseudocharacter of a set  $M$  is the least of all such cardinalities that are the cardinalities of systems of open sets whose intersection is the set  $M$ .

\*\* See (3), Theorem 12. A space  $P$  is totally bounded if every open cover of each uniform structure compatible with the proximity of this space can be prolonged to an open cover of the bicomactum  $uP$ .

\*\*\* The completion of a proximity space  $P$  is the largest of all such subspaces of the bicomactum  $uP$  to each of which every cover by sets open in  $P$  of a uniform structure compatible with  $P$  can be prolonged to an open cover.

\*\*\*\* A space is called weakly paracompact if into each of its open covers one can inscribe a point-finite (i.e., each point belongs to only a finite number of elements of the cover  $\omega$ ) open cover  $\omega$ .

\*\*\*\*\* By  $\overline{M}^u$  we denote the closure of the set  $M$  in the bicom pactum  $uP$ .

\*\*\*\*\* By  $\emptyset$  we denote the empty set.

\*\*\*\*\* See (3), p. 286.

Yu. M. Smirnov\*, we have:  $\overline{C}^u = uC = \beta C^{**}$ . Further we have  $\beta C \setminus C = \overline{C}^u \setminus C \subseteq \Phi$ . Since the remainder  $\beta C \setminus C$  has the cardinality of the continuum,  $\Phi$  also has cardinality at least that of the continuum.

p. 2. The bicom pactum  $\Phi$  contains at least one accessible point  $x$ , i.e.  $x \in \overline{K}^u$ , where  $K$  is countable and lies in  $P$ . But  $\overline{K}^u = \overline{K} = uK^{***}$ , and  $\overline{K}$  is a complete subspace of the subspace  $P$  and has a countable base. Hence the intersection  $\Phi \cap \overline{K}^u$  is a nonempty bicom pactum of type  $G_\delta$  in the remainder  $\overline{K}^u \setminus \overline{K}$ , equal to  $uK \setminus \overline{K}$ . Hence, by p. 1, we conclude that the set  $\Phi \cap \overline{K}^u$ , and therefore also the whole bicom pactum  $\Phi$ , has cardinality not less than the cardinality of the continuum.

p. 3. The space  $P$  is locally bicom pact. In this case, since the remainder  $N$  is bicom pact, the bicom pactum  $\Phi$  has countable character in it. According to Lemmas 2 and 3, the bicom pactum  $\Phi$  contains an accessible point, and everything reduces to p. 2.

p. 4. **The general case.** Since  $\Phi$  has type  $G_\delta$  in  $N$ , we have  $N \setminus \Phi = \bigcup_k H_k$ , where  $k$  runs through the natural numbers, and all the sets  $H_k$  are closed in  $N$ . Since  $\Phi \cap \overline{H}_k^u = \emptyset$ , there exist sets  $G_k$  open in  $uP$  such that  $\overline{H}_k^u \subseteq \overline{G}_k^u \subseteq G_{k+1} \subseteq uP \setminus \Phi$  for every  $k$ . Let  $\Pi = \bigcup_k G_k = \bigcup_k \overline{G}_k^u$ , and  $P_0 = P \setminus \Pi$ . It is clear that  $\Phi = N \setminus \Pi = uP \setminus P_0 \setminus \Pi$ . If  $\Phi \cap \overline{P}_0^u = \emptyset$ , then  $\Phi = uP \setminus \bigcup_k \overline{G}_k^u \setminus \overline{P}_0^u$ , and hence the bicom pactum  $\Phi$  has type  $G_\delta$  in  $uP$ . Since  $uP$  is bicom pact,  $\Phi$  has countable character in  $uP$ . Therefore, by Lemmas 2 and 3, everything again reduces to p. 2.

Finally, suppose  $\Phi \cap \overline{P}_0^u \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $P_0$  is closed in  $P$ ,  $P_0$  is complete. We also note that  $\overline{P}_0^u = uP_0$ ,  $\Phi \cap P_0 = \emptyset$ , and  $\overline{P}_0^u \setminus \overline{P}_0 = uP_0 \setminus P_0 \subseteq uP \setminus \Pi \setminus P_0 = \Phi$ . Therefore the set  $P_0$  is locally bicom pact. Moreover, the bicom pactum  $\Phi \cap \overline{P}_0^u$  is nonempty and has type  $G_\delta$  in the remainder  $uP_0 \setminus P_0$ . Thus, by p. 3, we again see that the intersection  $\Phi \cap \overline{P}_0^u$ , and together with it the bicom pactum  $\Phi$ , have cardinality not less than the cardinality of the continuum. The theorem is proved.

**Corollary.** All points of the completion  $cP$  of the metrizable space  $P$  which do not belong to  $P$  itself are precisely those points of the remainder  $N$  which

have countable character in  $N$ , or, equivalently, all those points of the remainder which have type  $G_\delta$  in it.

Indeed, it is known that  $ucP = uP$  and that for regular extensions of the space  $P$  the character of any point  $x$  from  $P$  with respect to the extension is equal to the character of the point  $x$  with respect to the space  $P^{****}$ . This, together with Theorem 1, gives both assertions of the corollary.

**Proof of the lemmas.** 1. By bicomcompactness of the extension  $aT$ , it is enough to prove that, under the conditions of the lemma, the bicompactum has type  $G_\delta$  in  $aT$ . This latter assertion is true even in the case of regularity of the extension  $aT$ . Indeed, let  $N \setminus \Phi = \bigcup_k H_k$ , where all  $H_k$  are closed in  $N = aT \setminus T$ .

For every point  $x$  of the set  $aT \setminus \Phi$  open in  $aT$  there exists a neighborhood  $Ox$  such that  $\Phi \cap \overline{Ox}^a = \emptyset$ . From the open covering  $\omega = \{Ox : x \in T\}$  choose a countable subcovering  $\{Ox_i\}$  of the finally compact space  $T$ . It is clear that  $aT \setminus \Phi = \bigcup_k \overline{H_k}^a \cup \bigcup_i \overline{Ox_i}^a$ , since  $\Phi \cap \overline{H_k}^a = \emptyset$ . The lemma is proved.

2. Take an arbitrary nonempty open set  $H'$  of the remainder  $N = aT \setminus T$ , where  $T$  is weakly paracompact. Choose for it open in  $aT$

---

\* See (2), Ch. 2.

\*\* By  $\beta C$  we denote the Čech bicomcompact extension of the space  $C$ .

\*\*\* If  $M \subset P$ , then by  $\overline{M}$  we denote the closure of the set  $M$  in  $P$ .

\*\*\*\* See Theorems 1 and 5 and Lemma 11 in (3).

sets  $H$  and  $G$  so that  $N \cap H = H'$ ,  $\overline{G}^a \subset H$ , and  $N \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that in  $H'$  there are no approachable points. Then there are none in  $N \cap \overline{G}^a$  either. Hence every countable set  $K$  lying in  $T \cap \overline{G}^a$  has a limit point in it, i.e. the set  $T \cap \overline{G}^a$ , closed in  $T$ , is (countably-)compact. At the same time  $T \cap \overline{G}^a$  is weakly paracompact and therefore, by a theorem of B. T. Levshenko <sup>(1)</sup>, Theorem 1, bicomcompact. It is easy to see that  $\overline{T \cap \overline{G}^a}^a = \overline{G}^a$ . But then  $\overline{T \cap \overline{G}^a}^a = \overline{G}^a$ , and, by the bicomcompactness of the set  $T \cap \overline{G}^a$ , we find that  $T \cap \overline{G}^a = \overline{G}^a$ , which contradicts the choice of the set  $G$ . The lemma may be regarded as proved.

3. Let, under the hypotheses of Lemma 3,  $\Phi$  be a nonempty set of the accretion  $N = aT \setminus T$  of countable character in  $N$ . Then there exist open sets  $H_k$  of this accretion such that for every neighborhood  $O\Phi$  of the set  $\Phi$  there is some set  $H_k$  satisfying the inclusions  $\Phi \subset H_k \subset O\Phi$ . By assumption there exist approachable points  $x_i$  such that

$$x_i \in \bigcap_{j \leq i} H_j.$$

Let  $K_i$  be such a countable subset of the space  $T$  that  $x_i \in \overline{K_i}^a$ . The sequence

$C = \{x_i\}$  has a limit point  $x$  in  $\Phi$ . Indeed, if this were not so, then in the neighborhood  $O\Phi = aT \setminus \overline{C}^a$  there would be no point  $x_i$ , which, in view of the special choice of the sets  $H_j$  and the points  $x_i$ , is impossible. It is easy to see that  $x \in \overline{K}^a$ , where  $K = \bigcup K_i$ . Consequently,  $x$  is an approachable point. The lemma is proved.

**Theorem 2.** *If a metric space  $P$  is representable as the sum of such a sequence of compact sets  $\Phi_k$ , whose diameters tend to zero, that, beginning with some  $k_0$ , all distances  $\rho(\Phi_{k'}, \Phi_{k''})$  are bounded below by a positive number, then its accretion  $uP \setminus P$  is homeomorphic to the accretion of the sequence of natural numbers.*

**Proof.** Let  $\rho(\Phi_{k'}, \Phi_{k''}) \geq \delta > 0$  for all possible  $k'$  and  $k$ ,  $k' \neq k$ , exceeding the number  $k_0$ , and let  $x_k \in \Phi_k$  for  $k > k_0$ . The sequence  $C = \{x_k\}$  is proximally homeomorphic to the sequence of natural numbers and has no limit points in  $P$ . Hence  $\beta C = uC = \overline{C}^u$ . Therefore it is enough to prove the equality  $\overline{C}^u \setminus C = uP \setminus P$ , and even only the inclusion  $uP \setminus P \subseteq \overline{C}^u \setminus C$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $x$  of the difference  $uP \setminus P \setminus \overline{C}^u$ . Since it is far from the bicomcompactum  $\overline{C}^u$ , there exist disjoint neighborhoods  $Ox$  of the point  $x$  and a proximal neighborhood  $H$  of the bicomcompactum  $\overline{C}^u$ . The intersection  $H \cap P$  is a proximal neighborhood of the set  $C$ , and therefore there is a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $O_\varepsilon C$  is contained in  $H$ . By the condition of the theorem, beginning with some  $k_1 \geq k_0$ , all compact sets  $\Phi_k$  lie in  $O_\varepsilon C$ . But then the difference  $Ox \setminus \bigcup_{k < k_1} \Phi_k$  contains no point of  $P$ , being an open set in  $uP$ , which is impossible. The theorem is proved.

**Corollary.** *The following uniform properties of metric spaces cannot be characterized by topological properties of accretions: the property of being  $n$ -dimensional in the sense of the dimension  $\delta d$  of Yu. M. Smirnov, and the property "every continuous function is uniformly continuous."*

Indeed, taking in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, on the axis  $Ox$ , the sequence of natural numbers  $x_k$  and the sum  $P$  of the neighborhoods  $O_{1/k}x_k$ , we see that the sequence  $\{x_k\}$  and the sum  $P$  have homeomorphic accretions, although each of the indicated properties holds on one space and does not hold on the other.

In conclusion I take the opportunity to express my deep gratitude to Yu. M. Smirnov for his attention to my work.

Received  
23 V 1963

## REFERENCES

1. B. T. Levshenko, *Mat. sbornik*, **42** (84), 479 (1957).
2. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Mat. sbornik*, **31** (73), 3, 543 (1962).

3. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Tr. Mosk. matem. obshch.*, **3**, 271 (1954).
4. Yu. M. Smirnov, *Mat. sbornik*, **38** (80), 3, 283 (1956).
5. Yu. P. Orevkov, *DAN*, **143**, No. 2, 280 (1962).

*Note: Figure translations are in progress. See original paper for figures.*

*Source: Math-Net.Ru and CyberLeninka. Machine translation. Verify with the original.*